UTILITIES KINGSTON

CITY OF KINGSTON WASTEWATER MASTER PLAN

CONDITION ASSESSMENT REPORT - WASTEWATER

JANUARY, 2017

WSP

CITY OF KINGSTON WASTEWATER MASTER PLAN CONDITION ASSESSMENT REPORT -WASTEWATER

Utilities Kingston

Final Report

Project nº: 151-02944-00 Date : January, 2017

WSP Canada Inc.

1224 Gardiners Road Kingston, ON K7P 0G2

Phone: +1 613 634 7373 Fax: +1 613 634 3523 www.wspgroup.com



REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	SEPTEMBER 2015	Draft Report
2	JANUARY 2017	Final Report

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

AUt

Richard Waterton Process Piping Designer

REVIEWED BY

4 Moy O

Matt Morkem, P.Eng Manager, Infrastructure, Kingston

SENIOR REVIEW BY

Jaimie Whiterspoon, P.Eng, LEED AP Vice-President – Municipal Infrastructure - Ontario

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	MASTERPLAN	1
1.2	SYSTEM OVERVIEW	1
1.3	OBJECTIVE	7
2	SCOPE OF WORK	7
2.1	PUMPING STATIONS	7
2.2	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS (WWTP)	7
3	PUMP STATION FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET	8
4	FIELD ASSESSMENT	10
4.1	PUMPING STATIONS (APPENDIX A)	10
4.1.1	COMPONENT RISK LEVEL	12
4.1.2	MAINTENANCE PROGRAM	12
4.1.3	EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING	12
4.1.4	CONDITION RATING	12
4.1.5	OVERALL RISK LEVEL	12
4.1.6	OVERALL EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING	12
4.1.7	OVERALL CONDITION RATING	12
4.2	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS (APPENDIX C)	13
5	RELIABILITY RATING	15
5.1	RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET (APPENDIX D)	15
5.2	FACILITY RISK (A)	15
5.2.1	CUSTOMER TYPE	16
5.2.2	NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	17
5.2.3	RISK TO PUBLIC	17
5.2.4	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	17
5.2.5	CALCULATING TOTAL FACILITY RISK (A)	18
5.3	EQUIPMENT RISK (B)	18

5.3.1	CRITICALITY	. 19
5.3.2	PROBABILITY	. 19
5.3.3		. 19
5.3.4		. 19
5.3.5		. 20
5.3.0 5.2.7		. 20
5.5.7		. 21
5.4	CONDITION RATING (C)	. 21
5.4.1	CALCULATING TOTAL CONDITION RATING	. 22
5.4.2	PUMPING STATIONS WITHOUT BUILDINGS	. 23
5.5	OVERALL RATING	. 24
6	RESULTS – PUMPING STATIONS	25
6.1	FACILITY RISK	. 25
-		-
6.2	EQUIPMENT RISK	. 28
6.3	CONDITION RATING	. 31
6.4	OVERALL RATING	. 33
6.4.1	RELIABILITY RATING IN SEQUENCE	. 33
6.4.2	OVERALL RATING	. 33
7	ASSET SUMMARY	34
7.1	GENERAL	. 34
7.1.1	SAFETY GRATING	. 34
7.1.2	FENCING/GATES	. 35
7.1.3	PUMPS	. 35
7.1.4	VALVE MAINTENANCE	. 35
7.1.5	NETWORK PANEL	. 36
7.1.6	ROOFS	. 36
7.2	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS	. 36
7.2.1	CANA WWTP	. 37
7.2.2	CATARAQUI BAY WWTP	. 37
7.2.3	RAVENSVIEW WWTP	. 38
7.3	PUMPING STATIONS (PS)	. 41
7.3.1	BARRETT COURT PS	. 41
7.3.2	BATH ROAD PS	. 44

7.3.3	BATH – COLLINS BAY ROAD PS	
7.3.4	BATH - LOWER DRIVE PS	
7.3.5		
7.3.6		
7.3.7	COVERDALE ROAD PS	
7.3.8	CRERAR BOULEVARD PS	
7.3.9	DALTON AVENUE PS	
7.3.10	DAYS ROAD PS	76
7.3.11	GREENVIEW DRIVE PS	80
7.3.12	HATTER STREET PS	84
7.3.13	HILLVIEW ROAD PS	88
7.3.14	HIGHWAY 15 PS	
7.3.15	JAMES STREET PS	
7.3.16	JOHN COUNTER BOULEVARD PS	100
7.3.17	KENWOODS CIRCLE PS	104
7.3.18	KING STREET PS	108
7.3.19	KING – ELEVATOR BAY PS	112
7.3.20	KING – LAKE ONTARIO PARK PS	116
7.3.21	KING – PORTSMOUTH AVENUE PS	120
7.3.22	LAKESHORE BOULEVARD PS	124
7.3.23	MORTON STREET PS	128
7.3.24	NOTCH HILL ROAD PS	132
7.3.25	PALACE ROAD PS	136
7.3.26	RANKIN CRESCENT PS	
7.3.27	RIVER STREET PS	
7.3.28	SCHOONER DRIVE PS	
7.3.29	WESTBROOK ROAD PS	152
7.3.30	YONGE STREET PS	156
8	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND ASSET	
	VALUATION SUMMARY	160
8.1	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT	160
8.2	ASSET REPLACEMENT VALUE SUMMARY	163

TABLES

TABLE 4-1	EXCERPT FROM THE FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEET: BATH ROAD PS – STRUCTURAL	11
TABLE 4-2	ASSET TOTAL – OVERALL SCORE OF EACH ASSET	12
TABLE 4-3	EXCERPT FROM THE FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEET: RAVENSVIEW WWTP (CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE)	14
TABLE 5-1	EXCERPT OF THE FACILITY RISK SECTION OF THE RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET	16
TABLE 5-2	CUSTOMER TYPE SCORING	16
TABLE 5-3	CUSTOMER NUMBER SCORING	17
TABLE 5-4	RISK TO THE PUBLIC SCORING	17
TABLE 5-5	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCORING	18
TABLE 5-6	EXCERPT FROM THE EQUIPMENT RISK SECTION OF THE RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET	19
TABLE 5-7	EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING SCORING	20
TABLE 5-8	ASSET WEIGHTING	20
TABLE 5-9	ASSET WEIGHTING - REDISTRIBUTION	21
TABLE 5-10	EXCERPT OF THE CONDITION RATING SECTION OF THE RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET	
TABLE 5-11	ASSET WEIGHTING – CONDITION RATING	
TABLE 5-12	ASSET WEIGHTING – CONDITION RATING REDISTRIBUTED	24
TABLE 5-13	OVERALL RATING TABLE	24
TABLE 6-1	RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET – FACILITY RISK	
TABLE 6-2	RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET – EQUIPMENT RISK	
TABLE 6-3	RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET – TOTAL CONDITION RATING	
TABLE 6-4	TOTAL FACILITY RISK, TOTAL EQUIPMENT RISK, TOTAL CONDITION RATING AND OVERALL RATING	33
TABLE 7-1	RAVENSVIEW WWTP SUMMARY WITH SUGGESTED UPGRADES	
TABLE 7-2	RAVENSVIEW ESTIMATED COST OF REPAIRS/UPGRADES IN THE NEXT 1-20 YEARS	40
TABLE 8-1	ESTIMATED COST OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR PUMPING STATIONS (0-25 YEARS)	160
TABLE 8-2	ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT VALUE FOR PUMPING STATIONS	163
TABLE 8-3	ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT VALUE FOR WWTPS	165

FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	KINGSTON WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM	3
FIGURE 1-2	KINGSTON WEST PUMPING STATIONS	5
FIGURE 1-3	KINGSTON CENTRAL/EAST PUMPING STATIONS	6
FIGURE 3-1	COMPLETED PUMP STATION FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET – CRERAR BOULEVARD PUMPING STATION	9
FIGURE 7-1	PALACE ROAD PS – SAFETY GRATE (PHOTOGRAPH)	35
FIGURE 7-2	KENWOODS CIRCLE PS – ISOLATION VALVES (TWO PHOTOGRAPHS)	36
FIGURE 7-3	CANA WWTP PROCESS	37
FIGURE 7-4	CATARAQUI BAY WWTP PROCESS	38
FIGURE 7-5	RAVENSVIEW WWTP PROCESS	38
FIGURE 8-1	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	162
FIGURE 8-2	ESTIMATED COST OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR PS (0-25 YEARS) – COMBINED UPGRADES	163

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEETS PUMP STATIONS
- APPENDIX B FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEETS WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS
- APPENDIX C RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET

1.1 MASTERPLAN

The City of Kingston retained WSP to undertake a Wastewater Master Plan. The purpose of the Master Plan project is to establish servicing strategies for wastewater infrastructure for the core urban areas and surrounding communities in the City for the next 20 years, per the City's Official Plan.

A key component of the Master Plan is to incorporate the City's Official Plan, as well as the Utilities Kingston Vision, Values and Mission statement into long-term infrastructure planning.

An integral part of the Wastewater master plan was to conduct a condition assessment to give an overview of the state of all Utility Kingston owned and run facilities. This report will outline the process undertaken and then present the results of the Condition Assessment for the Wastewater System.

1.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The City of Kingston wastewater system comprises an area of approximately 8258 ha. It is split between three regions:

- 1. Kingston West (3953ha, 44,400 POP)
- 2. Kingston Central (2919ha, 54,600 POP)
- 3. Kingston East (1386ha, 10,200 POP)

Wastewater flow is collected from Kingston West and conveyed via gravity and pump stations to Cataraqui Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). Wastewater and combined sewer flow is collected from Kingston Central and East and conveyed via gravity and pump stations (PSs) to Ravensview WWTP. Additionally the Cana WWTP, located north of the 401, services the Cana subdivision. Figure 1-1 is a map of the 2015 City of Kingston Wastewater Collection System.

The City of Kingston has many challenging topographical and geotechnical conditions, which make conveying the City's sewage solely by gravity unfeasible at many locations. As a result, pump stations were constructed to overcome these challenges and avoid deep sewers built in rock. There are 30 sewage pump stations in the City of Kingston area, owned and operated by Utilities Kingston (UK). There are additional private pump stations in the City that also contribute to the UK collection system; however, these will not be analyzed in detail as part of this Master Plan. Figure 1-2 and Figure 1-3 demonstrate the pump station network in Kingston West and Central/East respectively.

2



			/SI	>
Redore St	1224 V	GARDINERS KINGSTON, CANADA, VWW.WSPG	S RD, SUITE ONTARIO, K7P 0G2 ROUP.COM	201
CANA WWTP 623 OFF NUB		Jtilities	UTILTIES KI P.O. BO) (INGSTON, (K7L 4	NGSTON (790, ONTARIO, X7
623 OFF-ED	Legen	d		
	WWTP	WASTEW/ TREATME	ATER NT PLANT	
GSTON EAST	PS	SANITARY	PUMPING	STATION
P. Fr	PS	SANITAR) (NOT MOI	(PUMPING DELLED)	STATION
KNOVATION OF		EXISTING	SANITARY	SEWER
WODD PARKOR	8	WATERBO	DDY	
SCHOONER DRIVE PS				
KÉNWOODS CIRCLE PS				
- BARRETT COURT PS				
RAVENSVIEW WWTP				
HIGHWAY 15 PS				
JAMES STREET PS				
In shire all	Data Source: Natural Reso Systems, Util	Ontario Base Ma urces, August 20 ties Kingston, Ap	apping, Minisitry o 13. Water and Wi ril 2015, City of K	of aste Water ingston.
	Scale:			Ņ
	0 3006	500 1,2	00 Meters	N E
	1:47,500			v S
	Project:			
	Wa Ma	ter and ' aster Pla	Wastew an Upda	ater tes
	City	of King	ston, Or	itario
	Title:			
	WAST	EWATE	R FACII	ITIES
	Project No.: 151-029	944-00	Date: DECEME	BER 2016
	Drawn By:	Checked By:	Code:	Figure No.:
	CM	MF	CA	1-1

File Location:M:2015/151-02944-00 UK Water and Wastewater Masterplan/3.0 Technical/3.7 Reports/3.7.2_Condition Assessment/6 Maps/151-02944-00_CA_Fig1-1_WWFacilities.m



Figure 1-2 Kingston West Pumping Stations



Figure 1-3 Kingston Central/East Pumping Stations

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objective of the Condition Assessment was to gain an understanding of what facilities form part of the City's vast Wastewater System and evaluate each facility's importance to the system; the condition of the facility and the establishment of a reliability rating for each facility.

The rating of each facility provides an important understanding of the condition and criticality of the different facilities and determines repair, rehabilitation and replacement needs of the facilities to develop a proper strategy for the phasing of expenditures. An understanding of the risk of failure to facility operation will enable prioritization and mitigation actions and optimize capital allocation based on this risk. This aspect will be integrated into the Master Planning process to ensure that condition and critically are an aspect of the Capital Improvement Plan.

2 SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this report was to assess the condition of all wastewater facilities owned by UK comprising of three wastewater treatment plants and 30 pumping stations (as previously seen in Figure 1-2 and Figure 1-3).

2.1 **PUMPING STATIONS**

The Condition Assessments comprised of a review of background information, which familiarised the Condition Assessment team with the wastewater system and it's facilities, but also allowed the gathering of information to complete a Pumping Facility Summary Sheet for each facility.

Following the review of background information, a high-level visual field inspection was conducted by a multidiscipline WSP team in conjunction with UK operators. Each facility was split up and assessed under the following Asset categories:

- → Civil/Site Conditions
- → Structural
- → Process Piping and Equipment
- → Instrumentation
- → Process and Building Electrical
- → Building Mechanical

During the Condition Assessment of the wastewater facilities, no testing was undertaken.

Additionally, pumping stations on the Department of National Defence (DND) base and Royal Military Collage (RMC) were excluded from the scope. Other facilities not assessed were the combined sewer overflows and bypass chambers.

2.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS (WWTP)

Although the intention was to assess all WWTPs, during the period of review there were upgrades being conducted so assessment was limited to the following:

8

CANA WWTP

Due to current upgrades (full plant replacement) the facility was not reviewed.

CATARAQUI BAY WWTP

Due to current upgrades the facility was not reviewed in full, but an explanation of the upgrade and rating was established taking the upgrade into account. Part of the treatment plant was reviewed in full:

- → The Thickening Building
- → The Anaerobic Digesters

RAVENSVIEW WWTP

A review of the whole plant was conducted with a more focused review on systems not upgraded during 2006 upgrades.

3 PUMP STATION FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET

Utilities Kingston provided us with the majority of as-built drawings and SCADA data for the pumping stations. This information was used to generate a Pump Station Facility Summary for each pumping station. This Pump Station Facility Summary compiles all of the relevant information from an operational standpoint and acts as a resource that will be used to ensure accuracy in the Wastewater Model that is being developed for the Master Plan.

Although this sheet was primarily completed from as-built drawings, any data possible was checked or completed during field work. Figure 3-1 shows a completed Pump Station Facility Summary Sheet for Crerar Boulevard Pumping Station and Section 7 contains completed Pump Station Facility Summary Sheets.



Facility Name:		Crerar Boule	vard	Notes:
Facility Address:	Corner of Crerar and L		akeshore BLVD	
Community/Service Area:		Cataraqui Bay V	WWTP	
Coordinates (Lat /Long):	37	71 718 71E 1 80	6 564 21N	-
Beference Drawing(s):	374,718.71E 4,890,304.21N			
Include Povicion(s) & Date(s)	!	541-1 & 541-2, A	ug 1994	
Page No		Page 1 of	2	4
Fage No.	Unito	Fage T O	2 Diamatar	4
Inflow Bing Longth & Dig :	onits	Eengin 5.50		4
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.	m	5.50	0.38	•
Main Pipeline Length & Dia Main Discharge Location	n/a	Crerar Blvc	0.20	-
Overflow Pine Length & D :	m			
Overflow Discharge Loc :	n/a		0.50	4
Backup Power2:	n/a		0	•
Site Fencing?	n/a			4
CofA/ECA?:	n/a	N Ye	29	
Photo: Exterior	n/a		Plan View:	
	HI.			CRERAR BLVD.
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	543	1-2	
Base Elevation & Level:	m	71.05	0.00	
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	/1.50	0.45	
Minimum Elevation:	m	71.36	0.31	-
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m m/a	72.40	1.35	4
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	73.11	4.00	4
High Alarm Elevation:	m	74.20	3.15	4
Bhysical Data	III	70.25	7.20	•
Filysical Data.		Circ	ular	4
Average Cross Section Area:		10	50	
Length & Width (or Diam):	<u> </u>	1.83	.00	
Photo: Interior		1.00	Profile View:	I

Pump Station Facility Summary



Eacility Name:		Crorar Boulo	ward	Notoo		
Eacility Address:	Corpor of Crorer and Lakophere		NOLES.			
Community/Sorvice Area:			-			
Coordinates (Lat /Long.):	274 718 71E 4 896 564 21N		-			
Potoronoo Drawing(s):	57.	4,710.71L 4,890	J,J04.21N	-		
Include Devicion(s) & Data(s)	5	41-1 & 541-2, A	ug 1994			
include Revision(s) & Date(s)				-		
Page No.		Page 2 of	2 Dataila			
		Pump	Detalls	INC.		
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	estimated bas	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes	1 4	reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated.
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CP-315	2 20 Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		No. 492	(210mm)			
Variable-Speed?:		No	No			
Year Installed		199	4.00			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		FP 3152 I	_T 3~ 492			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capcity	L/s	57	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	96	.90			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	77.00	77.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	25.00	25.00			
Elevation On:	m	72.40	72.70			
Elevation Off:	m	71.76	71.76			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	71.36	71.36			
	Piping	Details	<u> </u>		Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Type
Suction Line ():	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1994):	m	5.34	0.15	CML	1/1/1	CV, GV, 90EL
Pump Station (1994):	m	2.20	0.15	CML		GV, TF
Yard Piping (1994):	m	N/A	0.15/0.2	PVC	2/1	GV, FL
Main Pipeline (1994):	m	5/570	0.2/0.15	PVC	2/1/1/1	40EL, 90EL, GV, C
Exit Elevation:	m	76.99				

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

Problem with natural gas L.P. alarm

4 FIELD ASSESSMENT

4.1 **PUMPING STATIONS (APPENDIX A)**

Each facility underwent a high level Field Assessment – a site visit by a multidiscipline WSP team with all the findings recorded on the Field Assessment Sheet (Appendix A and Table 4-1).

Each facility was split into the following asset lifecycle categories with all major components which form part of each asset being assessed:

- → Civil/Site Conditions
- → Structural
- → Process piping and Equipment
- Instrumentation
- → Process and Building Electrical
- → Building Mechanical

The Field Assessment conducted was a high-level visual inspection of these components, and any observations made during station operation (by UK operators) and any input provided by UK staff was recorded.

Each major component was scored on its Risk Level, Effective Life and Condition Rating (Refer to Table 4-1). This scoring was recorded on the Field Assessment Sheet and formed part of the Risk Assessment calculation to give each facility its Reliability Rating (Section **5**).

City of Kingston - Wastewater Master Plan						
	Field Assess	ment Sh	eet			
Project No: UK-15-02	Dath Daad DC			Pr	oject No: 151-	02944-00
Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS		Inspecti	on By:	$\frac{\text{KW} + \text{JS}}{26+6 May 2011}$	
	01: 4054 Bath Road		Date:		2011 Widy 2013)
	Structural - Building E	nvelope/	Architec	tural		
	Condition Assessment	Com Leve	p Risk el (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life Remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition		1		22	1
Roof	Good Condition		1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition		1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition		1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition		1		22	1
Access Ways	Good Condition		1		22	1
Ladders	Good Condition		1		22	1

4.1.1 COMPONENT RISK LEVEL

The likelihood of asset failure was determined by the WSP engineer taking into account any comments made by UK staff and the overall condition of the component. The risk level is scored between 1 (Unlikely) and 5 (Very likely).

4.1.2 MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

This column is to show which components are deemed by UK to be part of a maintenance program. Any item part of the maintenance program was scored accordingly, taking this work into account.

4.1.3 EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING

The effective life (in years) is the life remaining of the component as deemed by the WSP engineer taking into account any comments made by UK staff and the overall condition of the component.

4.1.4 CONDITION RATING

The condition rating of the component as deemed by the WSP engineer taking into account any comments made by UK staff. The Condition Rating will be scored between 1 (Excellent) and 5 (Poor).

The Asset Category Risk Level, Effective Life remaining and Condition Rating of each component will be used to calculate the Overall Asset rating as described in Sections 4.1.5 - 4.1.7.

Table 4-2 Asset Total – Overall Score of Each Asset

PROCESS MECHANICAL						
Overall Risk Level	3.2					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	9.8					
Overall Condition Rating	3.0					

4.1.5 OVERALL RISK LEVEL

The average of the Risk Level for each asset is recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet (Appendix C and Section 4) where it is used to calculate the Asset Risk Factor. (Table 4-2)

4.1.6 OVERALL EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING

The average effective life (in years) remaining of each asset is recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet where it is used to calculate the Asset Risk Factor. (Table 4-2)

4.1.7 OVERALL CONDITION RATING

The average condition rating of each asset category is recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet where it is used in calculating the Total Condition of the facility. (Table 4-2)

4.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS (APPENDIX C)

The format for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Field Assessment Sheet was different to that of pumping stations, with the treatment plants being split by process (Table 4-3). Each process was reviewed by a multidiscipline team to give an overall condition assessment of each process with this being recorded on the Field Assessment Sheet. (Appendix C).

Utilities kingston		City of Kingston - Wastew S Plan	City of Kingston - Wastewater Master Plan		
		Field Assessment Sh	eet		
Proj	ect No: UK-15-02 Inspection Site:	Ravensview WWTP	Inspection By:	Project No: 151-02944-00 RW + JS + MV + MM	
	Inspection Locati		Date.		
	System	Condit	ion Assessment		
H	ead works / Septage Receiving	Bar Screen - 2 older models and 1 new bar screen added during up grade. Old bar screens - Recently retrofitted with support bracing so that screen element does not move out of position and cause a shutdown Experience normal wear and tear with occasional break down. Slurry Pumps get airlocked from time to time. Grit Channels - North conveyor on start -up experiences too much torque causing conveyor to malfunction and due to position is difficult to repair - suggested that drive is changed to soft start/vfd so power/torque is not immediate (cheap solution). Sluice gate valves take a long time to open - add the possibility to use a portable actuator. Operator reports hydrogen sulphide related odours causing rust build up. Verification of ventilation rates and gas detection system should be undertaken.			
	Primary Clarifiers	Tank concrete starting to decay - po Sludge and scum remover - run with plastic sp boards drop below surfa Tanks 2 and 7 c Remaining tanks also require upgrade to sprock plastic and oilers are a Scum pumps have had to be removed and wel Primary sludge pumps experience premature is with centrifug: Operator indicated that BAF effluent ove Hydraulics should be assessed to determine if	essible action required in prockets and steel chain ce meaning they are les urrently out of commissi et/chains - suggested s added to keep chains lu Ided - not currently an is assues with rotors and sta al solid handling pumps of lows primary bypass of happening.	n the next 10-15 years. s once plastic sprocket wears the ss effective. ion. teel sprockets are added instead of bricated. ssue, but could be required again. ator consideration for replacement chamber during high flows - can be raised to prevent this from	

 Table 4-3
 Excerpt from the Field Assessment Sheet: Ravensview WWTP (Continues on Next Page)

5 RELIABILITY RATING

The rating will provide an important understanding of the condition and criticality of the different facilities and determine repair, rehabilitation and replacement needs of the facilities to develop a proper strategy for the phasing of expenditures.

An understanding of the risk of failure to facility operation will enable prioritization and mitigation actions and optimize capital allocation based on this risk.

A formula based approach, using background information and data gathered during field work, was used to calculate the Reliability Rating for each facility:

Reliability Rating = Total Facility Risk (A) x Total Equipment Risk (B) x Total Condition Rating (C)

5.1 RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET (APPENDIX D)

The Risk Assessment tabulates the facility Information and the findings from the Field Assessment. This table was used to calculate each Facility's Facility Risk, Equipment Risk, Condition Rating and ultimately the Reliability Rating. The Risk Assessment Sheet can be found in Appendix C.

5.2 FACILITY RISK (A)

The Facility Risk involved a review of each facility – the type of customer the facility services, the quantity of customers and the outcome (if any) a failure could cause to customers health, property and safety and to the environment of the surrounding area.

The evaluation was split into the four categories and was scored as follows (Sections 5.2.1 - 5.2.4). The findings were used to calculate the Facility Risk and recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet (Appendix C). An excerpt from the Facility Risk section of the Risk Assessment Sheet is shown in Table 5-1 below. Further details are contained in Section 6.1.

Facility I	Facility Risk									
Current Name	Old Name	Customer type	Score (0.25)	No. of Customers	Score (0.25)	Risk to the Public	Score (0.4)	Environmental Impact	Score (0.1)	Total Facility Risk - A
Sewer Pump	Sewer Pump Stations									
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	3.3
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	Commercial	2	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.8
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	Residential	1	Up to 10,000	4	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.8
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Moderate	3	1.9
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	Mixed Use	4	Up to 10,000	4	Remote	2	Remote	2	3.0
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.5
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.1
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.9

Table 5-1 Excerpt of the Facility Risk Section of the Risk Assessment Sheet

5.2.1 CUSTOMER TYPE

The customer type serviced by the facility was determined, with the following scoring and weighting:

Table 5-2 Customer Type Scoring

CUSTOMER TYPE	SCORE
Residential	1
Commercial	2
Industrial	3
Mixed Use	4
High Risk	5
347 1 1 1	0.05

Weighting = 0.25

The designation "high risk" customers will be used to describe facilities that service:

- → Military bases
- Hospitals
- → Residential Institutions Nursing Homes, old age homes, etc.
- → Large water users

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS 5.2.2

The number of customers affected by any disruption was determined, with the following scoring and weighting:

Table 5-3 **Customer Number Scoring**

CUSTOMER NUMBER	SCORE					
N/A	0					
<100	2					
Up to 1,000	3					
Up to 10,000	4					
> 10,000	5					
Weighting = 0.25						

5.2.3 **RISK TO PUBLIC**

The risk to public health, property and safety by any failure was established. This included aspects such as proximity of the facility to public areas, schools, hospitals etc. The following scoring and weighting was used:

Table 5-4 **Risk to the Public Scoring**

RISK TO THE PUBLIC	SCORE					
Nil	0					
Remote	2					
Moderate	3					
Extreme	5					
Weighting - 0.4						

weighting = 0.4

5.2.4 **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

The environmental impact of failure was determined based on the proximity of the facility to natural features, creeks, rivers, lakes, environmental protected areas etc. The following scoring and weighting was used as shown in Table 5-5:

Table 5-5 Environmental Impact Scoring

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	SCORE					
Nil	0					
Remote	2					
Moderate	3					
Extreme	5					
Wetwetten 0.4						

Weighting = 0.1

5.2.5 CALCULATING TOTAL FACILITY RISK (A)

Using the weighted score of the four factors above, the Facility Risk Factor was then calculated using the following calculation:

Facility Risk (A) = Customer Type + No. of Customers. + Risk to Public + Environmental Impact

This gave a Total Facility Risk between 0.25 and 5.

5.3 EQUIPMENT RISK (B)

The Equipment Risk is the risk of failure of the equipment at each facility taking into account the Criticality, the Probability of Failure, the Overall Risk, and the Effective Life remaining of each asset as recorded during Field Assessments.

The findings were used to calculate the Equipment Risk and recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet (Appendix C). Table 5-6 below shows an excerpt from the Equipment Risk section of the Risk Assessment Sheet. Refer to section 6.2 for the entire Equipment Risk section of the Risk Assessment Sheet.

Facility Information			Equipment Risk											
		Process Mechanical				Process Electrical								
			(Pumps, l	Piping, Va	lves, etc.)		(Main Breaker , Transformer, etc.)						
		icality	bability	srall Risk om Field Assessment)		Effective Life Remaining (Years) (From Field Assessment)	cess Mech. Risk Factor - B3 (0.2)	icality	bability	srall Risk om Field Assessment)		Effective Life Remaining (Years) (From Field Assessment)	cess Electrical Risk Factor - B5 (0.15)	al Equipment Risk - B
Current Name	Old Name	Crit	Pro	OV (Fr	Years	Score	Pro	Crit	Pro	Ő Č	Years	Score	Pro	Tot
Sewer Pump	Sewer Pump Stations													
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	3	4	2.9	11-15	3	3.2	3	4	1.3	16-20	2	2.6	3.4
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	2	2	1.8	16-20	2	2.0	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	1.7
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	3	1	3.8	5-10	4	3.0	3	1	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2.1
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	1	1	2.0	11-15	3	1.8	1	1	1.5	11-15	3	1.6	1.7
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	3	1	2.0	11-15	3	2.3	3	1	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2.1
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	2	3	1.8	11-15	3	2.4	2	3	1.0	20+	1	1.8	2.2

Table 5-6 Excerpt from the Equipment Risk Section of the Risk Assessment Sheet

5.3.1 CRITICALITY

Criticality is the consequence of failure and was deemed by the WSP engineer taking into account the criticality of the asset and was scored between 1 (Minor) and 5 (Critical).

5.3.2 PROBABILITY

The probability (likelihood) of failure was deemed by the WSP engineer taking into account the asset being assessed and was be scored between 1 (Unlikely) and 5 (Very likely).

5.3.3 OVERALL RISK FOR EACH ASSET

The overall risk for each asset was established during the Field Assessment with a score between 1 (Unlikely) and 5 (Very likely).

5.3.4 EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING

The effective life remaining was established during the Field Assessment and scored using the following ranges:

Table 5-7 Effective Life Remaining Scoring

EFFECTIVE LIFE REMAINING (YEARS)	SCORE
20+	1
15-20	2
10-15	3
5-10	4
1-5	5

5.3.5 CALCULATING ASSET RISK FACTOR (B1–B7)

The Criticality, Probability, Overall Risk and Effective life were all given a weighting of 0.25 and once this weighting was applied the formula used to calculate Asset Risk Factor (B):

B1, B2, B3, etc = Criticality + Probability + Overall Risk + Effective Life remaining

This gave an Asset Risk Factor of between 1 and 5.

5.3.6 CALCULATING TOTAL EQUIPMENT RISK

To calculate the Total Equipment Risk, the importance of each asset to the daily operation of the facility was taken into account. Therefore the following weighting was used:

Table 5-8Asset Weighting

ASSET	WEIGHTING
B1 - Civil/Site	0.1
B2 - Structural	0.2
B3 - Process Mechanical	0.2
B4 - Instrumentation	0.15
B5 - Process Electrical	0.15
B6 - Building Mechanical	0.1
B7 - Building Electrical	0.1

Once the weighting was applied, the Total Equipment Risk was calculated by adding the score obtained for each Asset:

Total Equipment Risk (B) = B1 + B2 + B3 + B4 + B5 + B6 + B7

5.3.7 PUMPING STATIONS WITHOUT BUILDINGS

The following pumping stations do not have a building to evaluate. This lack of building resulted in B6 (Building Mechanical) and B7 (Building Electrical) being not applicable and therefore having a score of 0 (Zero):

\rightarrow	Bath-Collins Bay	\rightarrow	Coverdale	\rightarrow	Schooner Drive
→	Bath-Lower	→	Hatter Street	\rightarrow	Westbrook Road
→	Bayridge Drive	→	John Counter Boulevard	\rightarrow	Yonge Street
\rightarrow	Collins Bay Road	\rightarrow	Notch Hill	\rightarrow	King-Lake Ontaric

The weighting was redistributed as follows:

Table 5-9 Asset Weighting - Redistribution

ASSET	WEIGHTING
B1 - Civil/Site	0.15
B2 - Structural	0.25
B3 - Process Mechanical	0.30
B4 - Instrumentation	0.15
B5 - Process Electrical	0.15
B6 - Building Mechanical	0
B7 - Building Electrical	0

Once the weighting was applied, the Total Equipment Risk was calculated by adding the score obtained for each Asset as per the pumping stations with buildings:

Total Equipment Risk (B) = B1 + B2 + B3 + B4 + B5 + B6 + B7

5.4 CONDITION RATING (C)

The Condition Rating was established and recorded for each asset during the Field Assessments. These findings were used to calculate the Total Condition Rating and recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet (Appendix C). Table 5-10 below shows an excerpt from the Condition Rating section of the Risk Assessment Sheet. The entire Condition Rating section can be seen in section **6.3**.
Facility I	nformation			C (Fror	Conditic n Field	on Ratir Assessr	ng ment)		
Current Name	Old Name	Civil/Structural - C1 (0.1)	Structural - C2 (0.2)	Process Mechanical - C3 (0.2)	Instrumentation/SCADA - C4 (0.15)	Process Electrical - C5 (0.15)	Building Mechanical - C6 (0.1)	Building Electrical - C7 (0.1)	Total Condition Rating - C
Sewer Pump Sta	tions								
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	1.7	1.3	2.9	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.8
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	1.0	1.0	3.4	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	1.0	2.7	3.0	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.1
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	1.0	1.0	2.2	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	1.0	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	1.0	1.0	2.8	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.4

Table 5-10 Excerpt of the Condition Rating Section of the Risk Assessment Sheet

5.4.1 CALCULATING TOTAL CONDITION RATING

Once the Condition Rating results were entered into the Risk Assessment Sheet, the following weighting were used as shown in Table 5-11:

Table 5-11 Asset Weighting – Condition Rating

ASSET	WEIGHTING
C1 - Civil/Site	0.1
C2 - Structural	0.2
C3 - Process Mechanical	0.2
C4 - Instrumentation	0.15
C5 - Process Electrical	0.15
C6 - Building Mechanical	0.1
C7 - Building Electrical	0.1

Once the weighting was applied, the Total Condition Rating was calculated by adding the score of all assets together:

Total Condition Rating (C) = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7

5.4.2 PUMPING STATIONS WITHOUT BUILDINGS

The following pumping stations do not have a building to evaluate. This lack of building resulting in B6 (Building Mechanical) and B7 (Building Electrical) being not applicable and therefore having a score of 0 (Zero):

\rightarrow	Bath-Collins Bay	\rightarrow	Coverdale	\rightarrow	Schooner Drive
→	Bath-Lower	\rightarrow	Hatter Street	\rightarrow	Westbrooke Road
\rightarrow	Bayridge Drive	\rightarrow	John Counter Boulevard	→	Yonge Street
÷	Collins Bay Road	\rightarrow	Notch Hill	\rightarrow	King-Lake Ontario

The weighting was redistributed as follows in Table 5-12:

ASSET	WEIGHTING
C1 - Civil/Site	0.15
C2 - Structural	0.25
C3 - Process Mechanical	0.3
C4 - Instrumentation	0.15
C5 - Process Electrical	0.15
C6 - Building Mechanical	0
C7 - Building Electrical	0

Table 5-12 Asset Weighting – Condition Rating Redistributed

Once the weighting was applied, the Total Equipment Risk was calculated by adding the score obtained for each Asset as per the pumping stations with buildings:

Total Condition Rating (C) = C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5 + C6 + C7

5.5 OVERALL RATING

Once all data was recorded in the Risk Assessment Sheet, the Reliability Rating was calculated for all facilities. The Reliability Rating allows all facilities to be compared with the Overall Rating (A, B, C, D, E) established. Table 5-13 below outlines the Overall Rating used to determine the timeframe for any recommended intervention.

OVERALL RATING	RELIABILITY RATING	DESCRIPTION
А	0 - 5	No action required
В	6 – 10	Minor repairs may be required to non-critical components. Review required, but no work required immediately.
С	11 - 40	Certain assets/equipment may need replacing in the future. Review and plan maintenance.
D	41 – 99	Certain assets/equipment may need replacing in the immediate future and review is required to outline maintenance.
E	100 – 125	Immediate action required to prevent failure and minimise impact to customers.

Table 5-13Overall Rating Table

6 RESULTS – PUMPING STATIONS

This section gives a breakdown of each segment of the Risk Assessment Sheet (Appendix C) for all pumping stations. For WWTPs, see Section **7.2**.

6.1 FACILITY RISK

Table 6-1 below shows the importance of each Pumping Station to the Wastewater system. As it can be seen from the table below, low flow PS such as Bath-Lower or Hatter St. score lower as these PS are not as critical. Those that scored high such as Dalton Avenue or Days Road service a larger, more diverse population.

	Facility Information		Facility Risk										
Current Name	Old Name	Year of Installation/upgrade	As Builts avaialble	Pump Info Available	Customer type	Score (0.25)	No. of Customers	Score (0.25)	Risk to the Public	Score (0.4)	Environmental Impact	Score (0.1)	Total Facility Risk - A
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	1986	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	3.3
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	2012	Yes	Yes	Commercial	2	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.8
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	1977	No	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 10,000	4	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.8
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	1981	No	Yes	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Moderate	3	1.9
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	2000	No	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 10,000	4	Remote	2	Remote	2	3.0
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	1997	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.5
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	1994	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.1
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	1994	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.9
Dalton Avenue PS	North End PS	2007	Yes	Yes	Industrial	3	> 10,000	5	Extreme	5	Moderate	3	4.3
Days Road PS	Days Rd PS	1995	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	> 10,000	5	Extreme	5	Extreme	5	4.8
Greenview Drive PS	Greenview Drive PS	1970	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.1
Hatter Street PS	Hatter St PS	1975	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Remote	2	1.8
Hillview Road PS	Mona Dr PS	1997	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 10,000	4	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	3.5
Highway 15	B-40 PS	1995	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Moderate	3	2.9
James Street PS	B-64 PS	1994	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	3.3
John Counter Boulevard PS	John Counter Blvd PS	2011	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
Kenwoods Circle PS	Woods Landing PS	1990	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
King Street PS	O'Kill PS	2013	Yes	Yes	High Risk	5	> 10,000	5	Remote	2	Extreme	5	3.8
King-Elevator Bay PS	Commodore's Cove or	1988	No	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	Lake Ontario Park PS	1966	No	Yes	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Remote	2	1.8
King-Portsmouth PS	Portsmouth PS	1999	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	Front Rd PS	1995	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Moderate	3	Moderate	3	2.5
Morton Street PS	Morton St PS	2005	Yes	Yes	Mixed Use	4	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.8
Notch Hill Road PS	Notch Hill Rd PS	1970	No	Yes	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Remote	2	1.8
Palace Road PS	Palace Rd PS	2005	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
Rankin Crescent PS	Rankin Cr PS	1981	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
River Street PS	River St PS	2006	Yes	Yes	High Risk	5	> 10,000	5	Remote	2	Extreme	5	3.8
Schooner Drive PS	Rivers Edge PS	2002	No	Yes	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
Westbrook PS	Westbrook PS	1997	No	No	Residential	1	Up to 1,000	3	Remote	2	Remote	2	2.0
Yonge Street PS	Yonge St PS	1993	Yes	Yes	Residential	1	<100	2	Remote	2	Moderate	3	1.9

6.2 EQUIPMENT RISK

Table 6-2 shows how the Equipment Risk was established and provides a breakdown of which assets impact the Total Equipment Risk. From the table, it can be seen that in the majority of cases the total equipment risk is low and therefore the equipment in the pumping stations are in a good state of repair.

Barrett Court and Days Road stand out as having the worst equipment risk, with scores of 3.4 and 3.9 respectively, due to bad scoring across the board. This would imply that both require an upgrade in the coming years. Section **7.2** gives a full asset summary of what WSP advises to rectify this situation.



City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Project No: UK-15-02

Table 6-2 Risk Assessment Sheet - Equipment Risk

																					Eq	uipment Ris	sk																	
Facility In	formation		(Civil/Sit	e Condit	ions				St	ructural				Pro	ocess N	lechanic	.al		Instrun	nentat	ion and Cor	ntrols/SC/	ADA		Pro	cess Elec	rical			Buildin	g Mecha	nical			Bı	ilding El	ectrical		
		(Ac	ccess F	Roads,	Drains, F	encing,	etc.)		(Wel	l, Found	lations, w	alls, etc.)			(Pumps	s, Pipin	g, Valve:	s, etc.)		(Gauges, Flow meters, etc.)				(Mair	n Break	er , Tran	former, e	tc.)	(HVAC	, Heate	s, Therm	iostats, (etc.)		(Interi	or/Exteri	or Lightir	ig)		
Current Name	Old Name	Criticality	Probability	Overall Risk (From Field Assessment)	Affective I Ife Remaining (Vearc)	(From Field Assessment)	Civil Risk Factor - B1 (0.1)	Criticality	Probability	Overall Risk (From Field Assessment)	Effective Life Remaining (Years)	(From Field Assessment)	Structural Risk Factor - B2 (0.2)	Criticality	Probability	Overall Risk (From Field Assessment)	Effective Life Remaining (Years)	(From Field Assessment)	Process Mech. Risk Factor - B3 (0.2)	Criticality	Probability	Overall Risk (From Field Assessment) 전 이 Fffective Life Remaining (Years)	eron Field Assessment)	instrumentation Risk Factor - B4 (0.15)	Criticality	Probability Overall Bick	(From Field Assessment)	3 Effective Life Remaining (Years) 50 (From Field Assessment)	Process Electrical Risk Factor - B5 (0.15)	Criticality	Probability Overall Risk	(From Field Assessment)	 construction field Assessment) 	Building Mech. Risk Factor - B6 (0.1)	Criticality	Probability	Overall Risk (From Field Assessment)	8. Effective Life Remaining (Years) 2. (From Field Assessment)	8 Building Electrical Risk Factor - B7 (0.1)	Total Equipment Risk - B
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	3	4	1.3	11-15	3	2.8	3	4	1.3	16-20	2	2.6	3	4	2.9	11-15	3	3.2	3	4	1.8 11-15	3	3.0	3	4	1.3 16-	20 2	2.6	3	4 1.	.3 11-1!	5 3	2.8	3	4	1.0 1	.6-20 2	2.5	3.4
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2	2	1.8	16-20	2	2.0	2	2	1.0 16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 1.	.0 20+	1	1.5	2	2	1.0 1	.6-20 2	1.8	1.7
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	3	1	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	3	1	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	3	1	3.8	5-10	4	3.0	3	1	1.3 16-20	2	1.8	3	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	3	1 0.	.0 N/A	. 0	N/A	3	1	0.0	N/A (N/A	2.1
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	1	1	1.0	11-15	3	1.5	1	1	2.0	11-15	3	1.8	1	1	2.0	11-15	3	1.8	1	1	1.5 11-15	3	1.6	1	1	1.5 11-	L5 3	1.6	1	1 0.	.0 N/A	. 0	N/A	1	1	0.0	N/A (N/A	1.7
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	3	1	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	3	1	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	3	1	2.0	11-15	3	2.3	3	1	1.5 11-15	3	2.1	3	1	1.0 16-	20 2	1.8	3	1 0.	.0 N/A	0	N/A	3	1	0.0	N/A (N/A	2.1
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	2	3	1.0	11-15	3	2.3	2	3	1.0	11-15	3	2.3	2	3	1.8	11-15	3	2.4	2	3	1.5 11-15	3	2.4	2	3	1.0 20	+ 1	1.8	2	3 0.	.0 N/A	0	N/A	2	3	0.0	N/A (N/A	2.2
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	2	2	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	2.0	16-20	2	2.0	2	2	1.5 11-15	3	2.1	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	2	2	0.0	N/A (N/A	1.9
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	1	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.5	1	2	1.0	20+	1	1.3	1	2	2.0	16-20	2	1.8	1	2	1.3 16-20	2	1.6	1	2	1.2 20	+ 1	1.3	1	2 1.	0 16-20	2 נ	1.5	1	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.5	1.5
Dalton Avenue PS	North End PS	4	2	1.0	16-20	2	2.3	4	2	1.6	16-20	2	2.4	4	2	2.0	16-20	2	2.5	4	2	1.0 16-20	2	2.3	4	2	1.0 20	+ 1	2.0	4	2 1.	3 20+	1	2.1	4	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	2.3	2.3
Days Road PS	Days Rd PS	5	5	1.0	11-15	3	3.5	5	5	2.3	11-15	3	3.8	5	5	3.5	5-10	4	4.4	5	5	2.4 5-10	4	4.1	5	5	1.8 11-	15 2	3.5	5	5 1.	6 16-20	ຽ 2	3.4	5	5	2.3 1	1-15 3	3.8	3.8
Greenview Drive PS	Greenview Drive PS	PS curr	ently	being ι	pgradeo	l - See co	ommen	ts unde	r sectio	on 7.3.1	1 of Cond	ition Asse	essment	Report	t						-																			
Hatter Street PS	Hatter St PS	1	1	1.0	11-15	3	1.5	1	1	2.0	16-20	2	1.5	1	1	2.0	11-15	3	1.8	1	1	1.3 16-20	2	1.3	1	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1	1	1 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	1	1	0.0	N/A C	N/A	1.5
Hillview Road PS	Mona Dr PS	4	2	1.0	16-20	2	2.3	4	2	1.1	16-20	2	2.3	4	2	2.0	11-15	3	2.8	4	2	1.3 16-20	2	2.3	4	2	1.0 20	+ 1	2.0	4	2 1.	.0 20+	1	2.0	4	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	2.3	2.3
Highway 15	B-40 PS	2	3	1.0	11-15	3	2.3	2	3	1.1	20+	1	1.8	2	2	2.2	16-20	2	2.0	2	2	2.3 11-15	3	2.3	2	2	1.3 16-	20 2	1.8	2	2 1.	3 11-15	5 3	2.1	2	3	1.0 1	6-20 2	2.0	2.0
James Street PS	B-64 PS	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.3	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	2.5	16-20	2	2.1	2	2	2.2 16-20	2	2.1	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 1.	0 16-20	J 2	1.8	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	1.8
John Counter Boulevard PS	John Counter Blvd PS	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2	2	1.0 16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	2	2	1.0	20+ 1	. 1.5	1.6
Kenwoods Circle PS	Woods Landing PS	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2	2	2.6	11-15	3	2.4	2	2	1.8 11-15	3	2.2	2	2	1.0 16-	20 2	1.8	2	2 1.	0 16-20	J 2	1.8	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	1.9
King Street PS	O'Kill PS	4	3	1.0	20+	1	2.3	4	3	1.0	16-20	2	2.5	4	3	1.9	16-20	2	2.7	4	3	1.4 16-20	2	2.6	4	3	1.0 16-	20 2	2.5	4	3 1.	3 11-15	5 3	2.8	4	3	1.0 1	6-20 2	2.5	2.6
King-Elevator Bay PS	Commodore's Cove or	2	2	1.0	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.3	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	3.0	11-15	3	2.5	2	2	1.3 16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.2 20	+ 1	1.6	2	2 1.	3 16-20) 2	1.8	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	1.9
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	Lake Ontario Park PS	1	1	1.0	11-15	3	1.5	1	1	1.3	16-20	2	1.3	1	1	2.0	16-20	2	1.5	1	1	2.0 11-15	3	1.8	1	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1	1	1 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	1	1	0.0	N/A C	N/A	1.4
King-Portsmouth PS	Portsmouth PS	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2	2	1.4	16-20	2	1.9	2	2	2.1	11-15	3	2.3	2	2	2.3 11-15	3	2.3	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 1.	3 16-20	J 2	1.8	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	1.9
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	Front Rd PS	2	2	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	2	2	1.0	20+	1	1.5	2	2	2.0	11-15	3	2.3	2	2	2.0 11-15	3	2.3	2	2	1.4 20	+ 1	1.6	2	2 1.	0 20+	1	1.5	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	1.9
Morton Street PS	Morton St PS	2	1	1.0	20+	1	1.3	2	1	1.1	16-20	2	1.5	2	1	1.8	20+	1	1.5	2	1	1.8 11-15	3	2.0	2	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1.3	2	1 1.	0 20+	1	1.3	2	1	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.5	1.5
Notch Hill Road PS	Notch Hill Rd PS	1	1	1.0	11-15	3	1.5	1	1	2.0	16-20	2	1.5	1	1	2.0	11-15	3	1.8	1	1	1.5 16-20	2	1.4	1	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1.0	1	1 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	1	1	0.0	N/A C	N/A	1.5
Palace Road PS	Palace Rd PS	2	2	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	2	2	1.7	16-20	2	1.9	2	2	2.6	11-15	3	2.4	2	2	1.8 11-15	3	2.2	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 1.	0 16-20) 2	1.8	2	2	1.0 1	6-20 2	1.8	2.0
Rankin Crescent PS	Rankin Cr PS	2	3	1.0	16-20	2	2.0	2	3	1.7	16-20	2	2.2	2	3	3.2	5-10	4	3.1	2	3	1.3 16-20	2	2.1	2	3	1.0 16-	20 2	2.0	2	3 1.	0 16-20) 2	2.0	2	3	1.0	20+ 1	1.8	2.2
River Street PS	River St PS	4	1	1.0	20+	1	1.8	4	1	1.1	16-20	2	2.0	4	1	1.6	20+	1	1.9	4	1	1.6 16-20	2	2.2	4	1	1.0 20	+ 1	1.8	4	1 1.	2 20+	1	1.8	4	1	1.0	20+ 1	1.8	1.9
Schooner Drive PS	Rivers Edge PS	2	2	1.0	11-15	3	2.0	2	2	1.3	16-20	2	1.8	2	2	1.8	11-15	3	2.2	2	2	1.8 11-15	3	2.2	2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2	2 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	2	2	0.0	N/A C	N/A	2.0
Westbrook PS	Westbrook PS	2	1	1.0	16-20	2	1.5	2	1	1.0	11-15	3	1.8	2	1	3.5	5-10	4	2.6	2	1	1.5 11-15	3	1.9	2	1	1.0 16-	20 2	1.5	2	1 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	2	1	0.0	N/A C	N/A	1.9
Yonge Street PS	Yonge St PS	1	2	1.5	11-15	3	1.9	1	2	2.0	16-20	2	1.8	1	2	2.0	11-15	3	2.0	1	2	1.5 16-20	2	1.6	1	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.3	1	2 0.	0 N/A	0	N/A	1	2	0.0	N/A C	/ N/A	1.7



Project No: 151-02944-00

6.3 CONDITION RATING

Table 6-3 shows the Condition Rating section of the Risk Assessment Sheet. The low scoring in this section shows that the pumping stations are generally in a good condition, with most scoring well in the civil/structural, structural, electrical (building and process), building mechanical and instrumentation aspects. The main asset which scores poorly is process mechanical and this is due to the corrosion caused by the moisture buildup within.

There are some anomalies as follows:

- → Bath-Lower: Structural score is high due to the hinge on the access hatch being broken. Once this is repaired, the condition rating would be approximately 1.0.
- → In general the Total Condition Ratings are low, meaning the pumping stations are in good working condition. This is due to good condition of structural, instrumentation and process electrical assets. Process mechanical is generally in a worse state of repair across the board due to corrosion present in a large number of facilities.

Table 6-3: Risk Assessment Sheet - Total Condition Rating

Facility Information		Condition Rating (From Field Assessment)										
Current Name	Civil/Structural - C1 (0.1)	structural - C2 (0.2)	^o rocess Mechanical - C3 (0.2)	nstrumentation/SCADA - C4 (0.15)	Process Electrical - C5 (0.15)	3uilding Mechanical - C6 (0.1)	3uilding Electrical - C7 (0.1)	Fotal Condition Rating - C				
Barrett Court PS	1.7	1.3	2.9	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.8				
Bath Road PS	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2				
Bath-Collins Bay PS	1.0	1.0	3.4	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.7				
Bath-Lower PS	1.0	2.7	3.0	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.1				
Bayridge PS	1.0	1.0	2.2	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4				
Collins Bay PS	1.0	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4				
Coverdale PS	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4				
Crerar Boulevard PS	1.0	1.0	2.8	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.4				
Dalton Avenue PS	1.0	1.7	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.5				
Days Road PS	1.7	2.1	3.7	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.5				
	PS cur	rrently b	eing up	graded -	See con	nments	under					
Greenview Drive PS	sect	tion 7.3.	11 of Co	ndition	Assessn	nent Rep	port					
Hatter Street PS	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.6				
	1.0	1.3	2.8	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5				
Highway 15	1.0	1.1	2.3	2.3	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.7				
James Street PS	1.0	1.3	2.5	2.2	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.6				
Konwoods Circle PS	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.2				
King Street BS	1.0	1.0	5.4 1 0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.7				
King-Elevator Bay PS	1.0	1.5	3.0	1.4	1.0	13	1.0	1.5				
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.5				
King-Portsmouth PS	1.0	1.4	2.6	2.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6				
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	1.0	1.0	2.8	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.6				
Morton Street PS	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2				
Notch Hill Road PS	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.8				
Palace Road PS	1.0	1.6	3.6	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.7				
Rankin Crescent PS	1.0	1.7	3.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.6				
River Street PS	1.0	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.3				
Schooner Drive PS	1.7	1.7	2.4	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.8				
Westbrook PS	1.0	1.0	3.3	1.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.7				
Yonge Street PS	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.8				

6.4 OVERALL RATING

6.4.1 RELIABILITY RATING IN SEQUENCE

The reliability rating was calculated for all pumping stations and the results are shown below (Table 6-4). As previously described in Section 5.5, the Overall Rating correlates with the following description:

- → Overall Rating of A No action required.
- → Overall Rating of B Minor repairs may be required to non-critical components. Review required, but no work required immediately.
- → Overall Rating of C Certain Assets/Equipment may need replacing in the future. Review and plan maintenance.
- → Overall Rating of D Certain Assets/Equipment may need replacing in the immediate future and review is required to outline maintenance.

6.4.2 OVERALL RATING

Table 6-4 Total Facility Risk, Total Equipment Risk, Total Condition Rating and Overall Rating

Pumping Station Name	Total Facility Risk - A	Total Equipment Risk - B	Total Condition Rating - C	Reliability Rating	Overall Rating
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	1.8	1.4	1.5	3.4	А
John Counter Boulevard PS	2.0	1.6	1.2	3.8	А
Hatter Street PS	1.8	1.5	1.6	4.1	А
Notch Hill Road PS	1.8	1.5	1.8	4.6	А
Morton Street PS	2.8	1.5	1.2	5.0	А
Coverdale PS	2.1	1.9	1.4	5.5	В
Bath Road PS	2.8	1.7	1.2	5.5	В
Yonge Street PS	1.9	1.7	1.8	5.8	В
King-Elevator Bay PS	2.0	1.9	1.6	6.1	В
Crerar Boulevard PS	2.9	1.5	1.4	6.1	В
King-Portsmouth PS	2.0	1.9	1.6	6.1	В
Kenwoods Circle PS	2.0	1.9	1.7	6.6	В
Bath-Lower PS	1.9	1.7	2.1	6.6	В
Westbrook PS	2.0	1.9	1.7	6.8	В
Palace Road PS	2.0	2.0	1.7	6.9	В
Rankin Crescent PS	2.0	2.2	1.6	7.0	В
Schooner Drive PS	2.0	2.0	1.8	7.2	В
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	2.5	1.9	1.6	7.4	В
Collins Bay PS	2.5	2.2	1.4	7.7	В
Bayridge PS	3.0	2.1	1.4	8.9	В
Highway 15	2.9	2.0	1.7	7.6	В
River Street PS	3.8	1.9	1.3	9.5	В
James Street PS	3.3	1.8	1.6	9.7	В

Pumping Station Name	Total Facility Risk - A	Total Equipment Risk - B	Total Condition Rating - C	Reliability Rating	Overall Rating						
Bath-Collins Bay PS	2.8	2.1	1.7	10.0	В						
Hillview Road PS	3.5	2.3	1.5	11.7	С						
King Street PS	3.8	2.6	1.5	14.3	С						
Dalton Avenue PS	4.3	2.3	1.5	14.3	С						
Barrett Court PS	3.3	3.4	1.8	19.6	С						
Days Road PS	4.8	3.8	2.5	44.7	D						
		PS	currently being u	pgraded - See	comments						
		under section 7.3.11 of Condition Assessment									
Greenview Drive PS	2.1	Report									

Legend

34

Total Facility Risk	1.0 - 2.0	2.1 - 3.5	3.6 - 5.0
Total Equipment Risk	1.0 - 2.0	2.1 - 3.5	3.6 - 5.0
Total Condition Rating	1.0 - 2.0	2.1 - 3.5	3.6 - 5.0
Overall Rating	А	B /C	D/E

7 ASSET SUMMARY

7.1 GENERAL

The wastewater pumping stations as a whole are in a good condition due to the operators and maintenance crews working to maintain the vast network of pumping stations that make up the Wastewater System. This section of the report will focus on general issues that would help the operators and maintenance crew to complete their jobs in a safer or easier manor. Additionally, this section will discuss general issues that affect more than a handful of pumping stations. A detailed breakdown of each asset then follows below (Section **7.2**)

7.1.1 SAFETY GRATING

Palace Road Pumping Station has safety grating fitted to it's well hatches. This would be a valuable addition to other wells in terms of safety. During times when hatches are opened for inspection, the grating would serve as an extra preventative measure to stop staff or members of the public from falling into wells (Figure 7-1).



Figure 7-1 Palace Road PS – Safety Grate (Photograph)

7.1.2 FENCING/GATES

The fencing and gates are only present at a third (ten) of the pumping stations; however, all locations have lockable hatches/panels/buildings and therefore fencing is not necessarily a requirement but has been added to provide more security, or a boundary, for these locations. It is suggested that the security of the facilities is reviewed to determine if further improves to the general safety of the operators and public can be made.

7.1.3 PUMPS

The Condition Assessment was conducted as a high-level visual field inspection therefore the pumps in the wet wells were not visible. This being said, the operators were forthcoming with information regarding the state of the pumps and any recent issues or repairs conducted. From this information provided by operators while conducting the condition assessment, it can be said that the pumps are generally in good working order and are maintained at regular intervals. A further study, with testing, is required to provide a full assessment of the pumps.

7.1.4 VALVE MAINTENANCE

In most cases, valves do not appear to be maintained until there is a repair required. Turning the valves on, on a regular basis, to keep the valve in a good state of repair will allow operators to operate these valves without difficulty.





Figure 7-2 Kenwoods Circle PS – Isolation Valves (Two Photographs)

7.1.5 NETWORK PANEL

The network panel is an integral part of the majority of pumping stations and appear to be identical in all locations, which lead to the assumption that the network panels were all replaced/upgraded around the same time. Due to advances in technology and the need to keep the pumping station data available at all times it is assumed that the system will need upgraded in the next 10-15 years; with a total cost of approximately \$2000 per pumping station; approximately \$60,000 in total.

7.1.6 ROOFS

Although the type of roof varies between locations (flat/pitched) they appear, from visual inspection, to be relatively new in most cases. WSP's opinion is that all roofs will need replacing with in a 10-25 year period and a review of the roofs should be completed separately to outline which roofs should be replaced at what time. This review will allow UK to prioritise work, efficiently budget, as well as obtained reasonable pricing from contractors by combining the work into a bigger contract then proceeding to upgrade the roof of each facility as required.

7.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

The following section will summarize the findings of our Field Assessment but for the more detailed report see Appendix B.

7.2.1 CANA WWTP

Due to current upgrades (full plant replacement) the facility was not reviewed, the process flow schematic Figure 7-3 shows the liquid flow of the liquid treatment train.



7.2.2 CATARAQUI BAY WWTP

Due to current upgrades the facility was not reviewed in full. The following parts of the treatment plant were reviewed and the following observations were made:

- → Biosolids Dewatering (thickening) building: In a good state of repair, no work required.
- → The Anaerobic Digesters:
 - Heat Exchanger
 - Currently the newer of the two heat exchangers is out of commission, leaving an aging heat exchanger as the sole form of heat exchanging.
- → Motor Control Centre (MCC):
 - Beyond design life.

It is suggested that both these pieces of equipment are reviewed more thoroughly.

Although the flare was not listed for our review, it was noted that the flare is not enclosed and therefore not to code. This issue should also be addressed during the upgrade.



Figure 7-4 Cataraqui Bay WWTP Process

7.2.3 RAVENSVIEW WWTP

A review of the whole plant was conducted with a more focused review on systems not upgraded during 2006 upgrades.

Generally, the plant as a whole was found to be in satisfactory condition and the sections that were upgraded to be in excellent condition. A process flow schematic of the plant is shown below (Figure 7-5).



Figure 7-5 Ravensview WWTP Process

There are areas of the plant that require some attention over the coming years and although the majority of the plant is new, there have been some issues with sections of the plant. The following table (Table 7-1) is a breakdown of issues that should be investigated over the coming years with an advised timeline of when the upgrade or review of the area should be completed. The table also includes the criticality of these items to maintain or improve the current condition of the plant going forward.

The Criticality is scored accordingly - 1 (Non-Critical) to 5 (Critical).

Cost is scored accordingly – 1 (Inexpensive) to 5 (Very Expensive).

		REQUIRED	(YEARS)	(1-5)	
Grit Channel – North Conveyor	On start-up experiences too much torque causing conveyor to malfunction and due to position is difficult to repair.	Drive is changed to soft start/vfd so power/torque is not immediate.	1-5	1	2
Head Works	Sluice Gate valve takes a long time to open.	Provide portable actuator.	1-5	1	2
Head Works	Possible Hydrogen sulphide related odours causing rust build up.	Verification of ventilation rates and gas detection system should be undertaken.	1-5	3	1
Primary Clarifiers – Sludge/ Scum remover	Currently run with plastic sprockets and steel chains once plastic sprocket wears the boards drop below surface meaning they are less effective. (Tanks 2 and 7 out of commission during visit due to this issue.)	Steel sprockets are added instead of plastic and oilers are added to keep chains lubricated.	1-5	4	3
Primary Clarifiers – Primary bypass chamber	Operator indicated that BAF effluent overflows primary bypass chamber during high flows	Hydraulics should be assessed to determine if bypass chamber wall can be raised to prevent this from happening.	1-5	5	1
Anaerobic Digesters	Damage to roof on 3 of the Anaerobic Digesters	Replace roofs	1-5	5	5
BAF tanks	Tarps that were installed above BAF tanks prevent operators from taking measurements of bead depth along the centreline of the tank	Install fabric hatches at locations along the centreline will assist with this task.	1-5	3	1
BAF online analyzers. (DO, pH, ammonia)	Not reliable, there could be an issue related to probe placement	Further investigation required – discuss with manufacturer of analyzer/probe	1-5	2	1
General	Bray Valves/Operators seem not operate as well as other valves in the plant.	Further investigation required – possible to request other manufacturers during any further upgrades.	1-5	2	1
Cogeneration	Heat Exchanger suffers from severe corrosion	Consider upgrade of equipment	5-10	4	3
Effluent Water System	Vertical Turbine pump out of service – posing issue with redundancy.	Consider changing pump type to submersible pump. Further evaluation required.	5-10	4	3

UPGRADE/REVIEW

Ravensview WWTP Summary with Suggested Upgrades Table 7-1 ISSUE

SYSTEM

TIMELINE CRITICALITY COST

SYSTEM	ISSUE	UPGRADE/REVIEW REQUIRED	TIMELINE CRITICALITY COST (YEARS) (1-5)			
Primary Clarifiers – Tanks and Tunnels	Concrete starting to decay and leaks present in tunnels under primary clarifiers.	Conduct a more in-depth structural review. (Results from review may mean full replacement).	10-15	4	3	
Pipe work	Sections of pipe work, predominantly in the tunnels under the Primary Tanks have corrosion issues, due to present of moisture.	Consider changing out	15-20	3	4	

Table 7-2 below contains the total estimated upgrade/repair costs for each five year period from the upgrades described above in Table 7-1.

Table 7-2 Ravensview Estimated Cost of Repairs/Upgrades in the Next 1-20 Years

REPAIR / UPGRADE AND COST

0-5 YEARS		5-10 YEARS		10-15 `	YEARS	15-20 YEARS		
Upgrade 1	\$1.2 M	Upgrade 2	\$300 K	Upgrade 3	\$30 K	Upgrade 4	\$250 K	

Note: Where investigation or review is suggested, cost has been estimated for the review/investigation only and does not include any work that may result from that investigation.

ïÈH ÚWT ÚO¢ÕÁÙVOE∕OµÞÙ

7.3.1	Barrett C	ourt PS							
Reliability	y Rating	19	9.6	Overall R	Rating	С			
Total Facilit	y Risk	3.3 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	3.4 /5	Condition rating	1.8 /5		
Condition A	ssessment	:							
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion - Gravel a	ccess and com	oound with fer	nce.				
Structural: Dry Well showing evidence of water damage on walls.									
Proc. Mech.: Aging Pumps evidence of leakage and corrosion. Some corrosion present on pipe, flanges and Check Valve.									
Instrument:	Instrument: Aging Pump Control, Sensors and Transmitters.								
Proc. Elec.:	Proc. Elec.: Pump Starters recently replaced. Aging Diesel back-up generator.								
Build. Mech.: Aging Systems, Diesel Tank bunded									
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion							
Priority Wo 1) Sur	rk: np Pump to b	e reviewed a	and repaired/re	placed	(\$200)			
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ears:							
			Repair/	Upgrade & Co	st				
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	5 Years	15-	-20 Years	20-25 Ye	ears		
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace - Pumps, Pump Control Panel, Sensors and Transmitters, Instrument Panel, Transformer, Distribution Panel, Main Breaker, Generator and Diesel Tank. 2) Consider upgrade 2 during this work. (See Upgrade 2 Price) Upgrade 2: 1) Replace Pipework, Valves and Instrumentation; HVAC & Heaters									







Facility Name:		Barrett Ct	PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:	Corr	ner Barrett Ct a	nd Hwy 15			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview V	VWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	383	,404.60E 4,90	0,474.30N			
Reference Drawing(s):	146	6-4-13 & 146-4	-14, 1975			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		Construction,	1975			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
Number of Pumps		3		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?	Yes			Firm & Peak (Capacity from L	JK reported
SCADA Level?		Yes		Capacities		
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2		
Make(s):		F	airbanks Mors	se		
Model ID or Rating:		52	x8 B5444, 50 I	Чр		
Impeller ID or Size:						
Variable-Speed Pump?:		No No		No		
Year Installed						
Pump Curve(s) in Model:		P_CRER	AR_FP3(5)LT	3-210mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2		
Firm Capacity	L/s		188.00	T		
Peak Capacity	L/s		277.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	103.00	107.00	n/a		
Rated Flow:	L/s	84.20	84.20	n/a		
Rated Head:	m	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Elevation On:	m	84.19	84.44	87.34		
Elevation Off:	m	83.44	83.59	85.59		
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m		82.15	-		
	Piping	p Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1975):	m	0.90	0.25/0.2		2/1/1	90EL, GV, E
Discharge Line (1975):	m	3.50	0.20		1/1/1/1	E, CV, 90EL, 45EL, LAT
Pump Station (1975):	m	6/3.5	0.2/0.3		1/1/1/1	90EL, MF,GV, E
Yard Piping (1975):	m	15	0.30		3	45EL
Main Pipeline (1975):	m	597/447	0.3/0.4			
Exit Elevation:	m	277	7.83			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Note:

7.3.2	Bath Roa	d PS							
Reliability	/ Rating	5	5.5	Overall F	Rating	В			
Total Facility	/ Risk	2.8 /5	Total Equip	oment Risk	1.7 /5	Condition rating	1.2 /5		
Condition A	ssessment:								
Civil / Site:	Good Conditi	on							
Structural: Good Condition									
Proc. Mech.: Corrosion present on Piping and Manual Valves.									
Instrument:	strument: Good Condition								
Proc. Elec.:	Elec.: Good Condition								
Build. Mech.:	ld. Mech.: Good Condition								
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on							
Priority Wo	r k:								
None									
Work requir	ed 5-25 Yea	ars:							
E 40.34		10.1	Repair/U	pgrade & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-1	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25 1 50 000	'ears		
				Opyrade	Ψ	50,000			
Upgrade 1: 1) Upgra	ade – Replace f	Pipework, V	alves and Instru	mentation					







Facility Name:	Bath Road PS		Notes:				
Facility Address:	Corner	Bath Rd and A	Armstrong Rd				
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	376,	656.30E 4,899),272.57N				
Reference Drawing(s):	60159	9486-P01, rev :	2, Feb 2012				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	60159	9486-G01, rev	2, Feb 2012				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2	1			
		Pump	Details				
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:			
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak	
SCADA Level?		Yes		capacity estin	nated based or	n minor	
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1				
Make:		Fly	/gt				
Model ID or Rating:		CP-3152 20Hp					
Impeller ID or Size:		49	95				
Variable-Speed?:		no no					
Year Installed		2012					
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_BathRd_F	P3152HT3-				
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1				
Firm Capacity	L/s	L/s 51.40					
Peak Capacity		87	.40				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A				
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	51.40	51.40				
ECA Rated Head:	m	16.40	16.40				
Elevation On:	m	73.10	73.30				
Elevation Off:	m	72.00	72.00				
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	71.84	71.84				
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses	
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре	
Suction Line (n/a):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Discharge Line (2012):	m	4	0.15	CML	1/1/1/1	90EL, CV, MF, E	
Pump Station (2012):	m	2	0.20	CML	1	E	
Yard Piping (2012):	m	8	0.20	CML	2/2/1	GV, 45EL, 90EL	
Main Pipeline (2012):	m	558	0.20	AC			
Exit Elevation:	m	75.	.78				

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.3	Bath-Col	lins Bay F	PS .						
Reliabilit	y Rating	g).9	Overall F	Rating	В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.8 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	2.1 /5	Condition rating	1.7 /5		
Condition A	Assessment	t:							
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion - Gravel F	Parking Lot used	fo access					
Structural:	: Good Condition								
Proc. Mech.: Piping and valves heavily corroded - Valves may be corroded in place making difficult to operate.									
Instrument:	Good Condit	ion							
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condition								
Build. Mech.:	N/A								
Build. Elec.:	N/A								
Priority Wo	ork:								
None									
Work requi	red 5-25 Y	ears:							
			Repair/U	pgrade & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25 Y	ears		
Upgrade 1	\$ 50,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 60,000						
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pipework and Valves due to corrosion build up. 2) Consider upgrade 2 during this work. (See Upgrade 2 Price)									
Jpgrade 2: 1) Replace Instrument Panel and Sensors/Transmitters									







Facility Name:	Bath-Collins Bay		Notes:			
Facility Address:	Corner	of Bath and Co	ollins Bay road			
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	371	,438.61E 4,89	9,677.78N			
Reference Drawing(s):		N1/A				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		N/A				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details	<u>.</u>		
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak
SCADA Level?		No Data		capacity estim	nated based or	minor losses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CP-3102, 5Hp				
Impeller ID or Size:						
Variable-Speed?:		No	No			
Year Installed		1997	1997			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		FP 3152 I	T 3~ 492			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	16	.00			
Peak Capacity		32	.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	19.60	16.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s					
ECA Rated Head:	m					
Elevation On:	m	1.35	1.40			
Elevation Off:	m	0.90	0.90			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m					
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (n/a):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (n/a):	m	3.00	0.10	DI	2/2	GV/90EL
Pump Station (n/a):	m	2.00	0.1/0.15	DI	1/1/2/1/1	GV/CV/90EL/ E/CV
Yard Piping (n/a):	m	N/A	0.15	DI	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (n/a):	m	270.00	0.15	PVC		
Exit Elevation:	m	80	.88			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.4	Bath-Low	er PS							
Reliability	/ Rating	6	5.6	Overall F	Rating	E	}		
Total Facility	y Risk	1.9 /5	Total Equ	iipment Risk	1.7 /5	Condition rating	2.1 /5		
Condition A	ssessment:								
Civil / Site:	Construction c construction is	urrently occur completed.	ring adjacent t	o PS making acce	ess difficult	- access issue will be n	esolved once		
Structural:	Structural: Well in good condition. One Access way hatch is currently inoperable. Ladder starting to show corrosion.								
Proc. Mech.: Piping and Flanges heavily corroded. No Isolation Valves or Check valves observed, possibly in same condition.									
Instrument:	strument: Pump Control panel - Aging but in Ok condition								
Proc. Elec.: Main Breaker - Ok Condition. Currently no back-up power source - only utilised by 10 houses.									
Build. Mech.:	N/A								
Build. Elec.:	N/A								
Priority Wo Repair	rk: [,] Well hatch	(\$1,00	0)						
Work requi	red 5-25 Yea	irs:							
			Repair/L	pgrade & Cost					
5-10 \	rears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25	/ears		
	\$ 100,000	opgrade 2	[\$ 100,00	<u>~</u>					
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pump Control Panel, Main Breaker and Sensors/Transmitters. 2) Consider upgrade 2 during this work. (See Upgrade 2 Price)									
Upgrade 2: 1) Repla 2) Consi	Jpgrade 2: 1) Replace Pipe work (add Isolation valves if not present) and Instrument Panel. 2) Consider replacing access ladder. (Price not included)								







Facility Name:	Bath-Lower Drive PS		Notes:				
Facility Address:		4170 Bath F	load				
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	370,7	727.61 E 4,89	9,241.97 W	1			
Reference Drawing(s):		1001		1			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		1981					
Page No.		Page 2 of	2				
		Pump	Details				
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:			
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity based on ECA. Peak			
SCADA Level?		No Data C			nated based on	minorlosses	
Pump Type		Lead Lag 1					
Make:		Barnes					
Model ID or Rating:		DCP-2512 4S	E-151. 7.4HP				
Impeller ID or Size:		No					
Variable-Speed?:		No					
Year Installed		19	81				
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P BathLower SV15Xx1.5M					
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	ts Lead Lag 1					
Firm Capacity	L/s	L/s 6.00					
Peak Capacity	L/s	11	.60				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	8.00	9.00				
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	15.10	15.10				
ECA Rated Head:	m	13.40	13.40				
Elevation On:	m	0.80	72.42				
Elevation Off:	m	0.45	0.48				
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A				
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses	
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре	
Suction Line (n/a):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Discharge Line (1981):	m	N/A	0.10	CML	N/A	N/A	
Pump Station (1981):	m	N/A	0.10	CML	1/1	90EL/CV	
Yard Piping (1981):	m	N/A	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Main Pipeline (1981):	m	97.00 0.10		DI	1	90EL	
Exit Elevation:	m	87	.45				

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Note:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.5	Bayridge	PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	8	.9	Overall F	Rating	В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	3.0 /5	Total Equi	pment Risk	2.1 /5	Condition rating	1.4 /5		
Condition A	Assessment								
Civil / Site:	Good Access	and Gravel C	ompound						
Structural: Good Condition									
Proc. Mech.: New Discharge header, but pump discharge lines show some corrosion. Valves in good condition, starting to show some corrosion.									
Instrument: Aging Pump Control Panel - Ok Condition.									
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition									
Build. Mech.:	N/A								
Build. Elec.:	N/A								
Priority Wo	ork:								
None									
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ars:							
			Repair/	Jpgrade & Cost					
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25 \	'ears		
Upgrade 1	\$ 95,000			Upgrade 2	\$1	75,000			
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla	Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pipe supports and Pump Control Panel								
Upgrade 2:									
1) Repla	ace pump disch	arge pipewo	rk and valves;	Instrument Pane	el and Sens	ors/Transmitters.			






Facility Name:	Bayridge PS		Notes:				
Facility Address:		157 Bayridge	Drive				
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	373	,428.18E 4,89	7,316.39N				
Reference Drawing(s):		N 1/A					
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		N/A					
Page No		Page 2 of	2				
		Pumr	_ Details				
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:			
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity based on Stantec Report.			
SCADA Level?		No Data		Peak capacity	estimated		
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1				
Make [.]		Fly	/gt				
Model ID or Bating:		CP-3	102				
Impeller ID or Size:		43	32				
Variable-Speed?:		N	0				
Vear Installed		N	/A				
Pump Curve ID in Model:							
Flow and Level Set Points	Unite	Lead					
Firm Capacity							
Peak Capacity	L/s	36	90				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	19.00	22.00				
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	23.00	23.00				
ECA Rated Head:	m	9.60	9.60				
Elevation On:	m	1.4 above	1.8 above				
		0.75 above	0.75 above				
Elevation Offi	m	baso	base				
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A				
	Piping	Detalls	<u>.</u>	Minor Losses			
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре	
Suction Line (n/a):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Discharge Line (n/a):	m	N/A	0.10	CML	2/2	GV/90EL	
Pump Station (n/a):	m	4.34	0.1/0.15	CML	1/1	CV/E	
Yard Piping (n/a):	m	N/A	0.15	CML	N/A	N/A	
Main Pipeline (n/a):	m	80.00	0.15	PVC	1	45EL	
Exit Elevation:	m	80	.33				

Legend:

DI = Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

Water level gets to be 1 ft above inlet. Water level gets to be 1 ft above inlet.

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.6	Collins B	ay PS					
Reliabilit	y Rating	7	.7 (Dverall F	Rating	E	3
Total Facili	ty Risk	2.5 /5	Total Equipm	nent Risk	2.2 /5	Condition rating	1.4 /5
Condition	Assessment	:					
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion					
Structural:	Good Condit	ion					
Proc. Mech.:	Piping startir	ng to show sig	gns of corrosion.	Valves corro	sion prese	ent.	
Instrument:	Aging Pump	Control Pane	I - Ok Condition.				
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion. Portable	Back up Generat	or used.			
Build. Mech.:	N/A						
Build. Elec.:	N/A						
Priority Wo	ork:						
None							
Work requ	ired 5-25 Ye	ears:					
			Repair/Upg	rade & Cost			
5-10	rears	10-15	Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25	Years
		opgrade 1	φ 150,000			Upgrade 2	φ 5,000
Upgrade 1:							
1) Rep 2) Con	lace pipework I sider replacin	and valves; Pi I g Flowmete i	ump Control Pane r (Not included i	el; Instrumer n price)	nt Panel an	d Sensors/Transmitter	S.
Upgrade 2: 1) Maii	n Breaker						







Facility Name:	-	Collins Bay	<u>PS</u>	<u>Notes:</u>			
Facility Address:	Corner	of Collins Bay	Rd and Hwy 2				
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay	WWTP				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	371	,722.92E 4,90	2,138.18N				
Reference Drawing(s):		500 14 Oct	1007				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		590-14, OCI	1997				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2				
		Pump	Details				
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:			
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity based on ECA, Peak			
SCADA Level?		No Data		capacity estim	nated based on	minorlosses	
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1				
Make:		Fly	/gt				
Model ID or Rating:		CP-312	7 10Hp				
Impeller ID or Size:		48	33				
Variable-Speed?:		No					
Year Installed		1998					
Pump Curve ID in Model:	P_COLLINSBAY_CP3127L						
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1				
Firm Capacity	L/s	L/s 20.00					
Peak Capacity	L/s 23.80						
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	L/s 8.00 20.00					
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	22.00	22.00				
ECA Rated Head:	m	18.30	18.30				
Elevation On:	m	1.50	1.70				
Elevation Off:	m	0.50	0.50				
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A				
	Piping	Details			Minor	Losses	
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qtv.		
Suction Line (1998):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Discharge Line (1998):	m	N/A	0.10	DI	1/1	CV, 90EL	
Pump Station (1998):	m	1.63	0.10	DI	1/1/1	GV, TEE	
Yard Piping (n/a):	m	N/A	0.1/0.15	DI	1	Е	
Main Pipeline (1998):	m	818.00	0.15	PVC	2	45EL	
Exit Elevation:	m	89	.13				

Legend:

DI = Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.7	Coverda	e PS								
Reliabilit	y Rating	5	.5	Overall F	Rating	E	3			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.1 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	1.4 /5			
Condition A	Assessmen	t:								
Civil / Site:	Construction once constru	currently oco	curing adjacent leted.	to PS making a	access diffi	cult - access issue will	be resolved			
Structural:	Good Condit	ion								
Proc. Mech.: Check Valves in good condition. Manual Valves and Piping show sign of corrosion										
Instrument: Aging Pump Control Panel - Ok Condition.										
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Portable Back up Generator used.										
Build. Mech.:	N/A									
Build. Elec.: N/A										
Priority Work:										
None										
Work requ	ired 5-25 Y	ears:								
			Repair/U	pgrade & Cost						
5-10 Y	éars	10-15	Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25	Years			
Upgrade 1: 1) Repl	Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pump Control Panel									
Upgrade 2: 1) Replace Instrument Panels and Sensors/Transmitters										
Upgrade 3: 1) Pipe 2) Cons	work and Valv sider an electr	es to be repla ical upgrade (iced. Price not includ	ed)						



		Coverdele	DC	Notoo
	0.			Notes:
Facility Address:	C0\	verdale Drive nr	Bayridge Dr	
Community/Service Area:		Cataraqui Bay	WWIP	
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	37	2,649./1E 4,89	9,329.28N	
Reference Drawing(s):	44	42-18 & 442-19,	July 1994	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)			<u>,</u>	
Page No.	Linite	Page 1 of	2 Diamatar	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Main Bingling Longth & Dia.:	m	14.00	0.38	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	n/a	732.00 Covordalo Outl	0.20	
Overflow Pine Length & D ·	m n			
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	40.00 Cri	0.00	
Backup Power?	n/a	N	/Α	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	Y	es	
CofA/ECA?:	n/a	Y	es	
Photo: Exterior			Plan View:	
		4		actess RD a
		-		ALC
-	6 -			
				SA SA
				Coux
				NON STATES
				NORTH CONTRACTOR
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	442	2-18	
Base Elevation & Level:	m	70.20	0.00	
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	71.27	1.07	
Minimum Elevation:	m	70.46	0.26	
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m	71.32	1.12	
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	76.50	6.30	
High Alarm Elevation:	m	72.12	1.92	
Ground Elevation:	m	78.30	8.10	
Physical Data:	Units	C.a.		
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a	Squ		
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	50	.20	
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m	5.50	0.00	
			i rome view.	



Facility Name:		Coverdale	PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:	Cove	rdale Drive nr	Bayridge Dr			
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	372	,649.71E 4,89	9,329.28N			
Reference Drawing(s):						
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	442	2-18 & 442-19,	July 1994			
Page No		Page 2 of	2	•		
i ugo ito:		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2.00		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	estimated bas	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		My	ers			
Model ID or Rating:		14.00	0.38			
Impeller ID or Size:		305	mm			
Variable-Speed?:		No				
Year Installed	1994.00					
Pump Curve ID in Model:	P_Coverdale_4RC-4CRX-					
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Inits Lead Lag 1				
Firm Capacity	L/s 78.00					
Peak Capacity	L/s 132.60					
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	71.00	75.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	52.60	52.60			
ECA Rated Head:	m	19.90	19.90			
Elevation On:	m	1.49	1.62			
Elevation Off:	m	1.07	1.07			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	70.46	70.46			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (n/a):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1994):	m	5.50	0.20	DI	1/1/1	CV, 90EL, GV
Pump Station (1994):	m	1.90	0.20	DI	1.00	90EL
Yard Piping (1994):	m	N/A	0.20	DI	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (1994):	m	732.00	0.20	DI	2	45EL
Exit Elevation:	m	75	.95			

Legend:

Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

No Reported Issues

7.3.8	Crerar Bou	ulevard PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	6.1	L C	verall F	Rating		B		
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.9 /5 T	otal Equipm	ent Risk	1.5 /5	Condition rating	3 1.4 /5		
Condition A	Assessment:								
Civil / Site:	Good Conditio	n. Fence surrou	nding Gas Gen	erator.					
Structural:	Good Conditio	n.							
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Piping and Valves - Corrosion present. Overflows to Manhole infrequently. Gas Piping not to CSA B149.1.								
Instrument:	rument: Good Condition								
Proc. Elec.: Gas Generator - Low pressure alarm for Natural gas supply occurs frequently. Can take a long time to start.									
Build. Mech.: Good Condition									
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditio	n							
Priority Work: Gas Piping not to CSA B149.1 – entire piping system above ground should be painted yellow (\$500)									
work requi	red 5-25 Yea	ars:							
			Repair/Upgra	ade & Cost					
5-10	Years	10-15 Y	ears	15-2	0 Years	20-25	5 Years		
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla 2) Cons	ace Pump Contro ider replacing P	ol Panel, Instrur	ment Panels and Ives and electri	d Sensors/Tr	ansmitters (Price not	s. included).	<u>.</u>		







Equility Name:	Crorer Boulovard		ward	Netee		
Facility Address:	Corne	of Crorar and	d Lakashara	Notes:		
Community/Sorvice Area	Come			-		
Coordinates (Lat /Lang)	27	4 710 71E 4 000		-		
Coordinates (Lat./Long.).	574	4,/10./1E 4,090	0,504.21N	-		
Reference Drawing(s):	5	41-1 & 541-2, A	ug 1994			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)			-			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details	_		
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?	Yes			Firm capacity	estimated bas	ed on flow
SCADA Level?	Yes			reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated.
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CP-315	2 20 Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		No. 492	(210mm)			
Variable-Speed?:		No	No			
Year Installed		199	4.00			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		FP 3152 I	_T 3~ 492			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead Lag 1				
Firm Capcity	L/s	57	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	96	.90			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	77.00	77.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	25.00	25.00			
Elevation On:	m	72.40	72.70			
Elevation Off:	m	71.76	71.76			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	71.36	71.36			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line ():	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1994):	m	5.34	0.15	CML	1/1/1	CV, GV, 90EL
Pump Station (1994):	m	2.20	0.15	CML		GV, TF
Yard Piping (1994):	m	N/A	0.15/0.2	PVC	2/1	GV, FL
Main Pipeline (1994):	m	5/570	0.2/0.15	PVC	2/1/1/1	40EL, 90EL, GV, C
Exit Elevation:	m	76.99				

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

Problem with natural gas L.P. alarm

7.3.9	Dalton A	venue PS	5						
Reliabilit	y Rating	14	4.3	Overall F	Rating	С			
Total Facilit	y Risk	4.3 /5	Total Equipm	ent Risk	2.3 /5	Condition rating	1.5 /5		
Condition A	ssessment								
Civil / Site:	Good Condition	on - Asphalt	compound, wood	len fence and c	hain-link f	ence.			
Structural: Good Capacity and Condition. Some evidence of water damage to dry well walls on exterior walls.									
Proc. Mech.:	Pump No.4 or seldom used.	ut for repair	due to Cavitation.	Corrosion pres	ent on pu	mp suction lines. Overf	ow to creek		
Instrument:	Good Condition	on							
Proc. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on							
Build. Mech.:	Good Conditi	on							
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on							
Priority Work: Consider Insulation of pipework (\$10,000)									
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ars:							
			Repair/Up	grade & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-	15 Years	15-20) Years	20-25 Y	ears		
Review	\$ 4,000			Upgrade 1	\$ 40	0,000			
Review: 1) Struc	tural review re	quired - Evic	dence of water ing	ress in Dry well					
Upgrade 1: 1) Pipev	work, Valves ar	nd Instrumer	itation.						
Other Commer	nts:								
1) Scree 2) Pum be conc	en is currently a p currently out lucted.	awaiting repa for repair du	air. If repair is not ue to Cavitation iss	possible – then ue – if problem	replaceme persists th	ent will be required. Sen a review of pumps s	hould		







				-		
Facility Name:		Dalton Ave	PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:	St. R	emy PI and Da	Iton Ave Int			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	VWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	379	,512.64E 4,90	2,484.40N			
Reference Drawing(s):	267-24	4-D-15301-G1	& 267-24-D-			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	1	5306-M2, Mar	ch 1976			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		4.00		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes				
SCADA Level?		Yes				
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	
Make:			Fly	/gt		
Model ID or Rating:		C33	312	83	35	
Impeller ID or Size:		No. 492	(210mm)	63	30	
Variable-Speed?:		Y	es	N	lo	
Year Installed		20	07	20	07	
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_DALTON_	_CP3312-515			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	
Firm Capacity	L/s		990	0.00		
Peak Capacity	-		122	5.00		
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	386.00	386.00	364.00	364.00	
ECA Rated Head:	m	43.00	43.00	43.50	43.50	
Elevation On:	m	3.60	4.00	4.30	4.50	
Elevation Off:	m	3.50	3.80	4.00	4.20	
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Piping	g Details			Losses	
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1995):	m	N/A	0.6/0.45	CML	1/1/1/1	90EL/GV/E/9 0EL
Discharge Line (1970):	m	N/A	0.45	CML	2/1/1/1	90EL/CV/GV/ E
Pump Station (1970):	m	25.00	0.60	CML	2	90EL
Yard Piping (1970):	m	N/A	0.60	CMI		
Main Pipeline (1970):	m	985/1028	0.45/0.6	PVC		
Exit Elevation:	m	97	.03			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

Wet Well too small for pump. Lag (fixed) pumps draw too fast during high flow). Pumps have to be shut off manually.

7.3.10	Days Roa	d PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	4	4.7	Overall Ratir	ıg	D			
Total Facilit	y Risk	4.8 /5	Total Equipn	nent Risk	3.8 /5	Condition rating	2.5 /5		
Condition A	ssessment:								
Civil / Site:	Good Conditio	on - some we	eds growing thro	ough gravel of comp	oound.				
Structural:	Dry/Wet Well get extreamly	structurally high.	sound. Lack of ca	apacity - well gets o	verwelmed. B	ypass blockage causes w	ater level to		
Proc. Mech.:	Aging Pumps. bent. Some in	Pump discha sulation nee	arge lines corrode ds replacing.	ed, valve bodies hea	avily corroded	. Check valve manual ov	eride handle		
Instrument:	Aged Equipmo	ent							
Proc. Elec.:	Aged Equipmo	ent. Two sou	rces of back up p	ower and generato	r.				
Build. Mech.:	Aging Equipm	ent - Good C	ondition						
Build. Elec.:	Sections of w	et well lightin	ng not working di	uring condition asse	essment.				
Priority Wo 1) Sump Pum 2) Overflow cu 3) Missing Insu	rk: o in Dry well to urrently blocke ulation in dry w	be reviewed d at outlet to ell to be repl	d and replaced if o creek – should laced to prohib co	required be unblocked. orrode.	(\$ 200 (\$ 200 (\$ 5,000				
work requi	work required 5-25 years:								
			Repa	ir/Upgrade & Cost					

Repair/Upgrade & Cost												
5-10 Years		10-15 Years		15-20 Years		20-25 Years						
Review	4000											
Major Upgrade	\$3,000,000											

Review:

1) Review - Although during visual inspection well and building structure appears to be in good condition a review should be conducted.

Major Upgrade:

1) Upgrade all Process Mechanical, Instrumentation, Process Electrical, change overflow layout.







Facility Address:	415 Days Road							
Community/Service Area:		Cataraqui Bay	WWTP	1				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	374	,520.63E 4,89	98,609.95W	1				
Reference Drawing(s):				1				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		M3, M4 & 10 9	5-07-06	I				
Page No.		Page 2 o	of 2	I				
		Pum	p Details					
Number of Pumps		4.00		Notes:				
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm & Peak Ca	apacity obtained	from AECOM		
SCADA Level?		Yes		Capacity Asses	sment, 2016			
Pump Type		Pump1	Pump2	Pump3	Pump4			
Make:	I!		Worthington, Inc	gersol Dresser				
Model ID or Rating:	!							
Impeller ID or Size:	<u>ا</u> ا							
Variable-Speed?:	I!	Yes	No	No	Yes			
Year Installed	!							
Pump Curve ID in Model:	i	P-	P-DAYS_P2	P-DAYS_P3	P-			
Flow and Level Set Points								
Firm Capacity	L/s		900.	.00				
Peak Capacity	L/s		1100	.00				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown)	L/S	226.00	336.00	748.00	226.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	336.00	336.00	748.00	336.00			
ECA Rated Head:	 	23.00	23.00	23.00	23.00			
Elevation On:	m	/1./5	72.10	72.35	72.70			
Elevation Off:		/1.23	/1.60	/1.82	/2.20			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m		70.1	8±				
	Pipin	g Details			Minor	Losses		
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре		
Suction Line (1995):	m	7.00	0.50		1.00	EL		
Discharge Line (1970):	m	2/3.5/3.5	0.35/0.45/0.60		2/1/1	REL/CV/GV		
Pump Station (1970):	m	14.00	0.60					
Yard Piping (1970):	m	10.00	0.75	concrete	1.00	EL		
Main Pipeline (1970):	m	1500/3800	0.9/0.6		1.00	EL		
Exit Elevation:	m	74	4.07					
_egend: EL - Elbow, REL - Reducing Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, E = Expansion								

Notes:

Lengths indicated are approximate

7.3.11 Greenvie	ew Drive	PS								
Reliability Rating	g 0	.0	Overall I	Rating	0					
Total Facility Risk	2.1 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	0.0 /5	Condition rating	0.0 /5				
Condition Assessment:										
A major upgrade is expected to start in the coming months at Greenview Drive; which will include:										
o Pur o Ful o Ful o Sor This will considerab	o Pump and Process Mechanical replacement o Full Electrical upgrade o Full Building Mechanical Upgrade o Some structural changes This will considerably improve the standard of the pumping station. Once completed there should be									
no requirement for	upgrade in the	e next 20 years	, but a review l	is suggeste	u in 10 years to re-evait	late.				
Priority Work: The current Upgrade.										
Work required 5-25	lears:									
		Repair/U	pgrade & Cost	t						
5-10 Years	10-15 Beview	Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25 Y	'ears				
Review: 1) Condition Review	1.									







Facility Name:	Greenview Drive Ps			<u>Notes:</u>		
Facility Address:	Corner C	Greenview Dr an	d Bayswater Pl			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	VWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	377,	257.85E 4,89	9,028.41N			
Reference Drawing(s):	C-7	71 and C71-2, J	an 1970.			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		Pining, Aug 1970				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
5		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak
SCADA Level?		Yes		capacity estim	ated based on	minorlosses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Chicago	Pumps			
Model ID or Rating:		2-B-SO-61	-08509-2A			
Impeller ID or Size:		260	mm			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Year Installed		19	70			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_Greenv	riew_2235			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	47.	.30			
Peak Capacity	L/s	85.10				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	39.00	54.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	47.30	47.30			
ECA Rated Head:	m	9.10	9.10			
Elevation On:	m	72.45	72.55			
Elevation Off:	m	72.05	72.15			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	71.20	71.20			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1970):	m	1.58 / 0.61	0.2 / 0.15	CML	1/1/1	90EL, E, GV
Discharge Line (P1) (1970):	m	1.00/1.00	0.15	CML	2/2/2/1	CV/90EL/GV/ 45EL
Pump Station (1970):	m	N/A	0.15/0.25	CML	1/1/1	E/90EL/GV
Yard Piping (1970):	m	N/A	0.25	PVC	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (1970):	m	60.49	250.00	PVC	1.00	90EL
Exit Elevation:	m	76.	.59			

Legend:

CML = Cement lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.12	Hatter St	treet PS							
Reliability	y Rating	4	.1	Overall F	Rating		A		
Total Facilit	y Risk	1.8 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.5 /5	Conditio	n rating	1.6 /5	
Condition A	ssessment	•							
Civil / Site:	Parking avai	able on quiet	road.						
Structural: Access wasn't available to well during condition assessment, Operator stated that there is no issues and PS has a low flow.									
Proc. Mech.:	N/A - No acc	ess							
Instrument:	ent: Good Condition								
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Portable back up									
Build. Mech.:	N/A								
Build. Elec.:	N/A								
Priority Wo	rk:								
None									
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ears:							
			Repair/U	ograde & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	5 Years	15-20 Years			20-25 Y	ears	
Review	\$ 4,000			Upgrade 1	\$	35,000			
Comments:									
1) Low Flow Pumping Station. Access to well not available at time of review.									
2) Operator suggested PS may be removed from service in next 1-5 years Review:									
1) It is suggested a more thorough review is completed before upgrade									
Ungrade 1.									
1) Pump	o Control Pane	el and Sensors	/Transmitters.						







Facility Name:	Hatter Street Ps			Notes:		
Facility Address:	Corn	er Hatter St an	d Yonge St			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	WTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	378	,718.96N 4,89	7,897.82E			
Reference Drawing(s):				1		
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		A-120-E, May 1960.				
Page No.		Page 2 of 2				
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Not Modelled		
SCADA Level?		Yes				
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		My	ers			
Model ID or Rating:		SRM4P-	1, 0.4HP			
Impeller ID or Size:		N	/A			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Year Installed		19	60			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_Hatter.S	ST_25EV-L			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	N	/A			
Peak Capacity	L/s	N/A				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Head:	m	N/A	N/A			
Elevation On:	m	84.12	84.28			
Elevation Off:	m	83.61	83.61			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	83.30	83.30			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1960):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1960):	m	1.06	0.08	N/A	1	CV
Pump Station (1970):	m	1.50	0.08	N/A	0	N/A
Yard Piping (1970):	m	N/A	0.08	N/A	1 /1	45EL, 90EL
Main Pipeline (1970):	m	24.71	0.20	N/A	N/A	
Exit Elevation:	m	85	.50			

Legend:

90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.13	Hillview	Road PS								
Reliabilit	y Rating	11	1.7	Overall F	Rating	С				
Total Facilit	y Risk	3.5 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	2.3 /5	Condition rating	1.5 /5			
Condition A	ssessment	:								
Civil / Site:	Civil / Site: Good Condition									
Structural: Good Condition. Large Access way hatches have no handles.										
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Piping and Valving corroded.									
Instrument:	nent: Good Condition. System not fully calibrated.									
Proc. Elec.:	Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Deisel Generator - in good working order									
Build. Mech.:	ld. Mech.: Good Condition. Diesel Tank bunded									
Build. Elec.:	Build. Elec.: Good Condition.									
Priority Wo	ork:									
Hate	ches for acce	ss to Wet we handles to	II are large and protect employ	cumbersome ees from bein	e – require Ig injured.	(\$500)				
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ears:								
5 (0)		10.15	Repair/Up	ograde & Cost	20.14	00.05.	,			
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25	rears			
		opyraue I	φ 200,000							
Upgrade 1: Replace Pipework, Valves, Sensors/Transmitters and Instrument panel.										







Facility Name:	Hillview Rd			Notes:			
Facility Address:	Corner Hillview Rd and Mona Dr						
Community/Service Area	(Cataragui Bay	WWTP				
Coordinates (Lat /Long.):	372	025 14F 4 900	0.062.33W				
Coordinates (Lat./Long.).	072,	072,020.142 4,000,002.0077					
heleice Diawing(s).	600-1,	600-2 and 600	-3 Sept 1997				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		D					
Page No.		Page 2 of	2 Deteile				
Number of Dumps	1	Pump	Details	Notoo			
SCADA Flow2		2		<u>Notes:</u> Eirm conceitu	hanad on Stan	too Doport	
SCADA FIOW?		Vos		Pinn capacity	octimated	nepon,	
		Lead	l ag 1	reak capacity	estimateu.		
Maka:		Fh	uat Lagi				
Model ID or Bating:		CP-3231	110 Hn				
Impeller ID or Size		6520-10	55				
Variable-Speed?		0.					
		10	07				
Year Installed			97				
Flow and Lavel Set Points	Unito	P_Hillview					
Flow and Level Set Points		Leao 1/1					
Pinin Capacity Roak Capacity	L/S	230	.00				
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/S	1/1 00	1/2 00				
FCA Bated Flow:	L/S	192.00	192.00				
ECA Bated Head:	m	26.00	26.00				
Elevation On:	m	71 18	71 48				
Elevation Off:	m	70.45	70.45				
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	69.45	69.45				
	Dining	n Detaile	00.40		Minor Losses		
Description (Vear Installed)	Unite	Length	Diameter	Mat	Otv	Type	
Description (Tear installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	wat.	Gity.	Турс	
Suction Line (1997):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Discharge Line (1007)	m	5 20	0.20	CM	1/1/1	CV, 90EL,	
Discharge Line (1997).		5.30	0.20	CIVIL	1/1/1	GV	
Pump Station (1997):	m	4.50	0.20	CML	N/A	N/A	
Yard Piping (1997):	m	10.00	0.20	CML	1.00	MF	
Main Pipeline (1997):	m	579.84	0.25	N/A	2	90EL	
Exit Elevation:	m	75.	.00				

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

'High Alarm Elevation: Level' may not be correct as normal level to be 2.22

7.3.14	Highway	15							
Reliabilit	ty Rating	(Э.5	Overall	Rating		В		
Total Facili [†]	ty Risk	2.9 /5	Total E	quipment Risk	2.0 /5	Condition	rating	1.7 /5	
Condition A	Assessment	t:							
Civil / Site: Good Condition - Gravel access and compound with fence.									
Structural:	Wet Well not	t assessed. [ວry well/bເ	uilding/access way	s in good Cor	ndition.			
Proc. Mech.:	Valves - start	ing to show	corrosion.	. Aging Pumps - Go	od Condition	1.			
Instrument:	Instrument: Pump Control Panel beyond design life capacity - should be replaced.								
Proc. Elec.:	Good Conditi	ion. Aging d	iesel back-	up generator					
Build. Mech.:	uild. Mech.: Aging equipment. Bunded Tank								
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	ion							
Priority Work: Replace Pump Control Panel as it is beyond Life expectancy (\$40,000)									
Work requ	ired 5-25 Y	ears:							
Repair/Upgrade & Cost									
5-10 Y	/ears	10-1	5 Years	1	5-20 Years		20-25 Y	ears	
			<u> </u>	Upgrade 1	\$	800,000			
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pumps, Pipework, Valves, Instrumentation, Transformer, Generator, Diesel Tank, Process Electrical and Building Mechanical.									


				Notoo
				Notes:
Facility Address:		Devenention M		
Community/Service Area:	20	Ravensview W		
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	30	53,195.77E 4,695	9,090.2411	
Reference Drawing(s):	K-I	335-9305160-40	4 94/06/24	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		Page 1 of	0	
Page No. Inflow and Outflow Types	Unite	Length	2 Diamotor	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia	m	9.00		
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	505.00	0.30	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	Hwv 15 / Rave	ensview Trunk	
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m	N/A	1.20	
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	Cataraq	ui River	
Backup Power?:	n/a	Ye	es	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	Y€	es	
CofA/ECA?:	n/a	N/	Ά	
Photo: Exterior			Plan View:	
Vier seine dien.				MH 12008020
		and the second se		SA
2		-		
	-11	ALC: NO		a HI
	All and a second second			s
	1			
				NORTH
				NORTH
	Storage	Well & Pump Si	uction Details	NORTH
Operational Data	Storage Units	Well & Pump St HGL	uction Details Level	Notes:
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number:	Storage Units n/a	Well & Pump So HGL N/	uction Details Level	Notes: Assumed piping details from field
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level:	Storage Units n/a m	Well & Pump St HGL N/ 86.40	Level (A 0.00	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation:	Storage Units n/a m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A	A Level A 0.00 N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation:	Storage Units n/a m m m	Well & Pump St HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A	A Contraction Details Level A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	Storage Units n/a m m m m	Well & Pump St HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00	2000 Details Level A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60 2.591	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation:	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98	A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation:	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m	Well & Pump St HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A	A A A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation:	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m n/a m	Well & Pump S HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A	A A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data:	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m m Units	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A	A CA A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc)	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m units n/a	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A Squ	A A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A N/A N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area:	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A	A CA A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A N/A are assumed	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.):	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A CA A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are ssumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are are ssumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m n/a m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump So HGL N/ 86.40 N/A N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A O.00 N/A N/A O.60 3.581 Assumed N/A Assumed N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump St HGL N/ 86.40 N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are assumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: High Alarm Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m n/a m Units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump St HGL N/A 86.40 N/A 89.98 N/A N/A N/A Squ 14.9 m A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are ssumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation: Ground Elevation: Ground Elevation: Physical Data: Section (circular, oval, etc) Average Cross-Section Area: Length & Width (or Diam.): Photo: Interior	Storage Units n/a m m m n/a m units n/a sq.m m	Well & Pump St HGL N/A 86.40 N/A 87.00 89.98 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	A A 0.00 N/A 0.60 3.581 Assumed N/A N/A are are ssumed N/A Profile View:	Notes: Assumed piping details from field survey



Facility Name:		HWY 15		Notes:		
Facility Address:	676, Highway 15					
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	/WTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	383,195.77E 4,899,898.24N					
Reference Drawing(s):						
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	K-B3	35-9305160-40	4 94/06/24			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	estimated base	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CT-317	0 30Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		443	3.00			
Variable-Speed?:		Ν	lo			
Year Installed		N	/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P HWY1	5 NS3171MT	3-256mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	86	.50			
Peak Capacity	L/s	147	'.10			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	54.00	55.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	73.00	73.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	N/A	N/A			
Elevation On:	m	87.89	88.05			
Elevation Off:	m	87.00	87.15			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	87.39	87.39			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1970):	m	0.84	0.20	CML	2/1	90EL/CV
Discharge Line (1970):	m	1.73	0.15	CML	1/1/1	90EL/CV/BV
Pump Station (1970):	m	3.73	0.20	CML	N/A	N/A
Yard Piping (1970):	m	N/A	0.30	CML	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (1970):	m	505.00	0.30	N/A	1	90EL
Exit Elevation:	m	88	.37			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, BV = Ball Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

7.3.15	James St	reet PS							
Reliability	y Rating	g).7	Overall I	Rating		В		
Total Facilit [,]	y Risk	3.3 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.8 /5	Condition rating	1.6 /5		
Condition A	ssessment	;•							
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion							
Structural:	Structural: Wet Well not assessed. Dry well shows signs of water damage.								
Proc. Mech.:	Aging Pumps	s - evidence o	f leakage and c	orrosion. Pipi	ng and Valve	es starting to show signs	of corrosion.		
Instrument:	Instrument: Pump Control Panel beyond design life capacity - should be replaced. Pump Starters recently installed.								
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Currently no back-up power on site, but will share new generator at James Street Booster Station once complete.									
Build. Mech.:	Build. Mech.: Aging - Good Condition.								
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion.							
Priority Wo Replace	rk: 2 Pump Contro	ol Panel as it	is beyond Life e	expectancy	()	\$ 40,000)			
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ears:							
			Repair	/Upgrade & Co	ost				
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	Years	1	5-20 Years	20-25	Years		
		Upgrade 1	\$ 600,000						
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla 2) Consi	Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pumps, Sensors/Transmitters, Instrument panel and Building Mechanical. 2) Consider replacing Pipework and Valves (Not included in costing).								



Eacility Name:		James St	PS	Notes:
		107 Jamos S	Stroot	10103.
				-
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W		-
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	38	2,956.02E 4,89	9,241.41N	-
Reference Drawing(s):		K-B35-9305/6	0-403	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		June 24, 19	994	
Page No.		Page 1 of	2	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	N/A	0.20	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	71.00	0.20	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	River	St. FM	-
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m	N/A	1.20	-
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	Cataraq	ui River	
Backup Power?:	n/a	N	0	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	N	0	-
COTA/ECA?:	n/a	N/	/A	
Photo: Exterior			Plan View:	
Operational Data Reference Drawing Number: Base Elevation & Level: Low Alarm Elevation: Minimum Elevation: Initial/Normal Elev. & Level: Maximum Elevation:	Bitorage Units n/a m m m m n/a	Well & Pump S HGL N/A 71.78 N/A 72.24 72.71 73.91	uction Details Level /A 0.00 N/A 0.46 0.93 2.13	JAMES ST.
High Alarm Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	
Ground Elevation:	m	79.25	7.47	
Physical Data:	Units			
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a	Recta	ngular	
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	9.3 Constant S	hape Assumed	J
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m			
Photo: Interior			Profile View:	



Facility Name:		James St.	PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:		107, James S	Street			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview V	WTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	382,956.02E 4,899,241.41N					
Reference Drawing(s):		K-B35-9305/6	0-403			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		June 24. 19	994			
Page No		Page 2 of	2			
i ugo ito:		Pumr	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Eirm capacity	ostimated bas	od on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes		renorts Peak	canacity estim	ated
		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:			Flvat			
Model ID or Rating:			CT-3201 47HF)		
Impeller ID or Size:			452.00			
Variable-Speed?:			No			
Year Installed			1997.00			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P-JAMES.S	ST CP3201HT	3-330.5MM		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1	Lag		
Firm Capacity	L/s	67	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	115	5.20			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	30.00	44.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	43.00	43.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	36.60	36.60			
Elevation On:	m	74.04	74.38			
Elevation Off:	m	72.63	72.63			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	72.85	72.85			
	Piping	g Details	-	-	Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1997):	m	0.86	0.20	CML	2/1	90EL/CV
Discharge Line (1997):	m	1.47	0.15	CML	1/1	90EL/CV
Pump Station (1997):	m	5.18	0.20	PVC	3/1	MF/CV
Yard Piping (1997):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (1997):	m	71.00	0.20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	75	.00			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.16	John Cou	unter Bou	ulevard PS						
Reliabilit	y Rating	3	3.8	Overall I	Rating	ļ	ł		
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equipm	ent Risk	1.6 /5	Condition rating	1.2 /5		
Condition Assessment:									
Civil / Site:	/ Site: New facility. Repair required to paving.								
Structural:	Good Condit	ion							
Proc. Mech.:	Good Condit	ion							
Instrument:	Good Condit	ion							
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion. Back up	gas generator ons	ite					
Build. Mech.:	N/A								
Build. Elec.:	Exterior Ligh	ting in good	Condition.						
Priority Wo Damag	Priority Work: Damaged Paving to be repaired (\$ 3,000)								
Work requi	ired 5-25 Y	ears:							
			Repair/Up	grade & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-	15 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25	Years		
Upgrade 1: 1) Repl:	ace Instrumer	ntation			I	Upgrade 1	\$ 100,000		







Facility Name:	L. L.	Iohn Counter E	Blvd PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:	Crn of J	John Counter E	Blvd & Old Mill			
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	377	,150.19E 4,90	1,579.66N			
Reference Drawing(s):	288PS	PP04 & 288P	S PP06 July			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	2011					
Page No	Page 2 of 2					
i age ito.		Pumr	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow2		Yes				Deels
SCADA Loval2		Ves		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak
		Lead			aleu baseu on	THINOHOSSES
Fullip Type			Layı			
Make: Model ID or Pating:		NID3153				
Impeller ID or Size:		NF 3133	13			
Variable Speed 2:						
			10 11 1			
Year Installed		20 D. Jahr Cau	III	T0.017mm		
Flow and Loval Sat Dainta	Linita	P_JohnCou		_13-21/mm		
Firm Capacity		Leau 50	60			
Peak Capacity	L/S	86	00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/S	50.60	50.60			
ECA Bated Flow:	L/s	50.60	50.60			
ECA Rated Head:	m	9.60	9.60			
Elevation On:	m	69.16	69.46			
Elevation Off:	m	68.86	68.86			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	68 40	68 40			
	Pining	n Details		Minor Losses		
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qtv.	
	onito	Longin	Diamotor		<u> </u>	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Suction Line (2011):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (2011):	m	7.90	0.20	PVC	1/1/1	GV, SUEL,
Pump Station (2011):	m	4.07	0.20	PVC	1	Е
						Motoring with
Yard Piping (2011):	m	N/A	0.20	PVC	1	by-pass
Main Pipeline (2011):	m	331.50	0.20	PVC	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	76	.40			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral

Notes:

7.3.17	Kenwoo	ds Circle I	PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	6	5.6	Overall F	Rating	В				
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	1.7 /5			
Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site:	Good Condition									
Structural:	Good Condit	ion								
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Piping and valves heavily corroded. Valves may be corroded in place making difficult to operate.									
Instrument:	Aging Pump	Control Pane	l - Ok Condition							
Proc. Elec.:	Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. No back-up power due to high capacity and low flow.									
Build. Mech.:	Good Condition									
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion								
Priority Wo	ork:									
None										
Work requi	ired 5-25 Y	ears:								
			Repair/U	ograde & Cost						
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25 Y	ears			
Upgrade 1	150,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 400,000	Upgrade 3	\$ 10	JU,UUU				
Upgrade 1: 1) Repl	ace pipework	and valves								
Upgrade 2: 1) Repl	ace Pump Cor	itrol Panel, Ins	strument Panel,	Sensors/Trans	mitters, Tra	ansformer.				
2) Cons	sider upgrade	3 during this v	vork. (See Upgra	ade 3 Price)						
Upgrade 3: 1) Repl	ace all Process	s Electrical and	d Building Mech	anical						



		Konwooda Cir		Notos
		Kenwoods Circ		Notes.
Facility Address:		Kenwoods C	ircie	-
Community/Service Area:	Ravensview WWIP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	382,983.51E 4,901,406.47N			
Reference Drawing(s):	1004	8-AS1 & 10048-0	C1. Apr 1990	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)			• .,p	
Page No.		Page 1 of	2	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	41.00	0.20	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	457.00	0.20	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	HWy	/ 15	
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m	N/A	N/A	
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	N/	/A	
Backup Power?:	n/a	N	0	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	N	0	
COTA/ECA?:	n/a	N/	/A	
Photo: Exterior			Plan View:	
				KENWOODS CIRCLE
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	10048-01	. Apr 1990	Assumed piping details from field
Base Elevation & Level:	m	72.90	0.00	survey
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	73.50	/3.50	-
Minimum Elevation:	m	73.20	0.30	-
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m n/a	73.67	0.77	-
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	80.20	7.30	-
Ground Elevation:	m	70.00	2.10	-
Physical Data:	Unite	79.90	7.00	
Section (circular oval etc.)	n/a	Circ	ular	
Average Cross-Section Area:	60 m	7 (17	
Length & Width (or Diam):		3.00	57	
Photo: Interior		0.00	Profile View:	



Facility Name:		Kenwoods Cir	cle PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:		Kenwoods C	ircle			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	VWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	382	,983.51E 4,90	1,406.47N			
Reference Drawing(s):		, ,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	10048-	AS1 & 10048-	C1, Apr 1990			
Page No	Page 2 of 2					
r age no.		Pumr	Details			
Number of Pumps		2	Details	Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity	estimated base	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		No Data		reports Peak	capacity estim	ated
		Lead	Lag 1	roportor r out		
Make:		Fly	vat			
Model ID or Bating:		CP-315	2 20 Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		49	96			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Vear Installed		19	93			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Kenwo	od FP3151HT	3 215mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	l ead				
Firm Capacity	L/s	48	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	95	.40			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	44.00	20.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	38.00	38.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	28.00	28.00			
Elevation On:	m	74.50	74.70			
Elevation Off:	m	73.70	73.70			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Pipino	Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1993):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1993):	m	4.36	0.15	CML	1/1/1	CV, GV, 90EL
Pump Station (1993):	m	2.24	0.15	CML	N/A	N/A
Yard Piping (1993):	m	8.31	0.15 / .2	PVC	1/1	E, 45EL
Main Pipeline (1993):	m	451.50	0.20	PVC	1.00	45EL
Exit Elevation:	m	77	.80			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined DI, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.18	King Stre	eet PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	14	1.3	Overall F	Rating		С		
Total Facilit	y Risk	3.8 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	2.6 /5	Condition rating	g 1.5 /5		
Condition Assessment:									
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion							
Structural:	Structural: Wet Well not assessed. Dry well shows signs of water damage on areas around pump suction - has been repaired using Polyurethane Injection. New Tin roof.								
Proc. Mech.:	Piping in goo George Stree	od Condition. et CSO used to	Valves starting control flow l	to show signs evels. Grider r	of corrosion. ecently repaired	I.			
Instrument:	Good Condit	ion							
Proc. Elec.:	oc. Elec.: Good Condition. Gas Generator Back-up								
Build. Mech.: Old furnace and thermostat needs replacing									
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion							
Priority Wo	ork:	• :- h			ć 7,000 \				
керіасі	e runnace as i	t is beyond Li	eexpectancy	(ş 7,000 j				
Work requi	red 5-25 Y	ears:							
			Repair	/Upgrade & Co	st				
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	Years	1	5-20 Years	20-25	5 Years		
		Upgrade 1	\$ 160,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 60	00,000			
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla 2) Cons	Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Instrument Panel and Sensors/Transmitters. 2) Consider upgrade 2 during this work. (See Upgrade 2 Price)								
Upgrade 2:									
1) Repl	ace Pump Cor	ntrol Panel, Pro	ocess Electrical	(Excluding ger	erator) and Buil	ding Mechanical.			
2) Revie	ew Pumps and	d piping.							







			0	Matea		
Facility Name:	1/1 0	King St. P	5	Notes:		
Facility Address:	King St. West Near University Ave.					
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	WTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	380,714.06E 4,897,472.54N					
Reference Drawing(s):	П	1 lon/00 % D2	lan/00			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	F	1, Jan/99 & P2	, Jan/99			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		4		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	based on FCA	Peak
SCADA Level?		Yes		capacity estim	ated based on	minorlosses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:			Fly	ygt		
Model ID or Rating:			320	2.00		
Impeller ID or Size:			630	0.00		
Variable-Speed?:			N	lo		
Year Installed			19	99		
Pump Curve ID in Model:			OKILL4	PUMPS		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	
Firm Capacity	L/s		576	6.00		
Peak Capacity	L/s		731	.00		
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	242.00	242.00	242.00	242.00	
ECA Rated Head:	m	8.90	8.90	8.90	8.90	
Elevation On:	m	72.09	72.48	72.88	73.28	
Elevation Off:	m	72.09	71.28	71.68	72.08	
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (2011):	m	N/A	0.90	DI	2'/1/1	90EL/E/CV
Discharge Line (2011):	m	4.90	0.3/0.35	DI	3'/1/1	90EL/E/CV
Pump Station (2011):	m	N/A	0.35	DI	4'/2	TF/CV
Yard Piping (2011):	m	N/A	0.60	N/A		
Main Pipeline (2011):	m	274.00	0.60	N/A		
Exit Elevation:	m	76.	.50			

Legend:

90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.19	King-Elev	ator Bay	PS								
Reliabilit	Reliability Rating 6.1 Overall Rating B										
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	1.6 /5				
Condition A	Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion									
Structural:	Good Condit opposite dire	ion. Hinges o ection.	n one well hatch	ı broken. Well v	vould be ea	sier to access if hatche	s opened in				
Proc. Mech.:	Piping and V	alving heavily	y corroded.								
Instrument:	Good Condit	ion									
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion. Aging Di	esel Generator.								
Build. Mech.:	Good Condit	ion									
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion									
Priority Wo 1) Repa 2) Hato easier openeo from th	Priority Work: 1) Repair Hinges on Well hatch. (\$ 200) 2) Hatches open in the wrong direction - (\$ 1,000) easier to maintain the well if they opened in the opposite direction, away from the building										
Work requi	Work required 5-25 Years:										
Repair/Upgrade & Cost											
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25	Years				
		Upgrade 1	\$ 200,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 5	00,000					
Upgrade 1: 1) Repl 2) Cons	ace Pipework, sider upgrade I	Valves, Instru 2 during this v	ument Panel and work. (See Upgra	Sensor/Transm ide 2 Price)	itter.						

Upgrade 2:

1) Replace Pump Control panel, pumps, flowmeter, diesel generator and tank.

2) Consider HVAC and Heaters. (Price not included)



Facility Name:		King Elevator	Bay PS	Notes:
Facility Address:	Kina S	St. W near Trailh	ead Place Int	
Community/Sorvice Area	T thing c	Ravensview M		
Continuity/Service Area:	27	7 110 455 4 90	7 000 74NI	
Beforence Drowing(a)	577,110.45L 4,097,222.74N			-
helerence Drawing(s).		N/A		
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		Dogo 1 of	0	-
Page No.	Unito	Fage 1 0	2 Diamatar	-
Inflow Bing Longth & Dig :	Units		Diameter	-
Main Pipeling Longth & Dia.	m	609.00	0.20	-
Main Pipeline Lengin & Dia	n/a	690.00 King St Wa	0.25	-
Overflow Pine Length & D :	m II/a			-
Overflow Discharge Loc :	n/a		/Δ	
Backup Power2:	n/a			-
Site Eencing?:	n/a			-
CofA/FCA?	n/a		/^	
Photo: Exterior	n/a	IN,	Plan View:	
				School Sc
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	N	/A	Assumed piping details from field
Base Elevation & Level:	m	71.00	0.00	survey
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	72.20	1.20	
Minimum Elevation:	m	<u>N/A</u>	N/A	-
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m	/3.30	2.30	-
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	N/A	N/A	
High Alarm Elevation:	m	73.70	2.70	
Ground Elevation:	m	75.00	4.00	-
Physical Data:	Units	Deat		-
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a	Recta	angle	-
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	10	.20	-
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m	3.51	2.90	1
			FTOILE VIEw.	



Facility Name:	King Elevator Bay PS			Notes:		
Facility Address:	King St. W. near Trailbead Place Int					
	Tring OL					
Community/Service Area:						
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	377	,110.45E 4,89	7,222.74N			
Reference Drawing(s):		N/A				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		10,71				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump) Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No		Firm capacity	estimated base	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CP-320)1 43Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		4	52			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Year Installed		N	/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P KINGE	LEVATOR CF	32014T3-		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	88	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	149	9.60			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	88.00	91.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Head:	m	N/A	N/A			
Elevation On:	m	73.40	73.60			
Elevation Off:	m	72.50	72.75			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	Details	<u> </u>		Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	
Suction Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.25	CML	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.25	CMI	1/1/2	CV, GV, 90EL
Pump Station (N/A):	m	N/A	0.25	CML	2.00	90EL
Yard Piping (N/A):	m	N/A	0.25	CML	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (N/A):	m	698.00	0.25	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	92	.10			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

7.3.20	King-Lak	e Ontario	Park PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	3	.8	Overall R	ating	A				
Total Facilit	y Risk	1.8 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.4 /5	Condition rating	1.5 /5			
Condition A	Condition Assessment:									
Civil / Site: Good Condition - Low Flow station (Only used in summer months.)										
Structural:	Tal: Metal structure with some slight signs of corrosion.									
Proc. Mech.:	Pumps, Pipir	ng and Valves	not visible - ope	erator stated tl	hat there v	vas no issue.				
Instrument:	Ok Conditio	n								
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	tion								
Build. Mech.:	N/A									
Build. Elec.:	N/A									
Priority Wo	ork:									
None										
Work requi	ired 5-25 Y	ears:								
			Repair/U	pgrade & Cost						
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	years	15-2	0 Years	20-25	/ears			
		Upgrade 1	\$ 30,000							
Comments: Low Flow Pumping Station – only used in the summer months.										
o Upgra	ade – Pump C	ontrol Panel								



		King Lake Onto	ria Darle	Notoo
Facility Name:		King-Lake Onta		Notes:
Facility Address:	Lake	Ontario Pk south	of King-St W	
Community/Service Area:		Ravensvie	W	
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	37	7,652.90E 4,89	6,852.01N	
Reference Drawing(s):		N/A		
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		14/7 (
Page No.		Page 1 of	2	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	87.00	0.20	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	456.00	0.15	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	King Street	W. Collector	
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m	N/A	N/A	
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	N	/A	
Backup Power?:	n/a	Ye	es	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	N	0	
CofA/ECA?:	n/a	N/	/A	
				KING ST. W
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	N	/A	Assumed piping details from field
Base Elevation & Level:	m	83.11	0.00	survey
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	
Minimum Elevation:	m	83.11	0.00	1
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m	2.5 Assumed		1
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	87.72	4.61	1
High Alarm Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	1
Ground Elevation:	m	87.72	4.61	
Physical Data:	Units			
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a	Circ	ular	
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	1.	50	
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m	0.69		
Photo: Interior			Profile View:	



	King Lake Onteria Dark			Notoo		
Facility Name:	King-Lake Ontario Park			Notes:		
Facility Address:	Lake O	ntario Pk south	of King-St W			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensvie	W			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	377,652.90E 4,896,852.01N					
Reference Drawing(s):		N1/A				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		IN/A				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details	<u>.</u>		
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity	estimated base	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		No Data		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Smith &	Loveless			
Model ID or Rating:		4B2A	15HP			
Impeller ID or Size:		N	0			
Variable-Speed?:		N	0			
Year Installed		N	/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P K	ingLakeONT-6	B3X		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	12.	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	21.	.60			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	12.60	12.60			
ECA Rated Head:	m	12.20	12.20			
Elevation On:	m	85.61	86.11			
Elevation Off:	m	84.61	85.11			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	J Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (N/A):	m	1.50	0.10	N/A	1	GV
Discharge Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	2/2	90E, CV
Pump Station (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	2.00	90EL
Yard Piping (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (N/A):	m	455.57	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	92.	.10			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

7.3.21	King-Port	smouth I	pS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	6	.1	Overall F	Rating		В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	1.6 /5			
Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site:	il / Site: Good Condition									
Structural:	Wet Well not	assessed. Dr	y well shows si	gns of water d	amage.					
Proc. Mech.:	Corrosion pro clamps. Grino	esent on pum ler beyond lif	p suction line. (e expectancy.	Corrosion star	ting to show	v on Manual valves ar	nd pipe			
Instrument:	Instrument P	anel beyond o	design life expe	ctancy.						
Proc. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on. Supplied	by 2 separate p	owergrids.						
Build. Mech.:	Mech.: Good Condition									
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on								
Priority Wo 1) Evide replace	ork: ence of overflo ment or repai	ow from Sump r required. (\$2	o and Sump Pui 200)	np visibly old	- (\$ 200.00)				
2) Instr	ument panels	to be replace	d – beyond life	expectancy.	(\$ 40,000.00)				
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ars:								
			Repair/Up	grade & Cost						
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	Years		15-20 Years	20	-25 Years			
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla Upgrade 2: 2) Repla	ace Grinder ace Building M	echanical and	Sensors/Trans	mitters	Ψ	200,000				







Facility Name:	King-Portsmouth PS			Notes:		
Facility Address:	(621 King Stree	t West			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	/WTP			
Coordinates (Lat /Long.):	378.872.90E 4.897.332.22N					
Beference Drawing(s):		,,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	1040	3 - E02 & P02,	June 1999			
		Page 2 of	2			
Page No.		Faye 2 01	∠ Notaile	l		
Number of Pumps		2	Details	Notos		
SCADA Flow2		Voc		Firm canacity	based on ECA	Poak
SCADA Level?		Ves		canacity estim	lated based on	minorlosses
		Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2		1111101103303
Make:		2000	Elvat	Lug L		
Model ID or Bating:			CP-3231 90Hr)		
Impeller ID or Size:			655.00	, 		
Variable-Speed?:			Yes			
Voor Installed		SP-11	1 and SP-112	1999		
Pump Curve ID in Model:				, 1000 231-400mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Unite	beal				
Firm Capacity		LCau	285.00	Lugz		
Peak Capacity	L/s		405.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	166.00	158.00	165.00		
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	150.00	150.00	150.00		
ECA Rated Head:	m	24.00	24.00	24.00		
Elevation On:	m	73.77	73.97	74.17		
Elevation Off:	m	72.77	73.17	73.47		
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	72.93	72.93	72.93		
	Piping	Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Lenath	Diameter	Mat.	Qtv.	Tvpe
						90EL E.
Suction Line - SP111&2 (1999)	m	N/A	0.25/0.3	CML	1/1/1/1	KGV. EJ
Suction Line - SP113 (1999):	m	N/A	0.30	CML	1/1/1	90EL, KGV, EJ
Discharge Line (1999):	m	N/A	0.25/0.2	CML	1/1/1	EJ, 90EL, CV, KGV
Pump Station (1999):	m	N/A	0.3/0.4	CML	2/2/1	E, TEE, FE
Yard Piping (1999):	m	N/A	0.45	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (1999):	m	443.00	0.45	N/A	3.00	45EL
Exit Elevation:	m	74	.33			

Legend:

CML = Cement Lined Ductile Iron , 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, KGV = Knife Gate Valve

Notes:

7.3.22	Lakesho	re Bouleva	ard PS						
Reliability	y Rating	7	.4	Overall F	Rating	В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.5 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	/5		
Condition A	ssessmen	t:							
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion - Gravel C	ompound						
Structural:	Good Condit	ion							
Proc. Mech.:	Piping and V	alves showing	corrosion						
Instrument:	Aging Pump working.	Control Panel	- Ok Condition	. SCADA data a	appears to sh	now the Flowmeter is not cu	ırrently		
Proc. Elec.:	Generator C	ontroller is be	yond expected	life expectance	y.				
Build. Mech.:	Build. Mech.: Good Condition. Generator Tank is bunded.								
Build. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion							
Priority Wo	rk:								
1) SCAD and rep	A data show air/replace	s Flowmeter is	not currently	working or und	lersized – rev	view (\$ 20,000.00)		
2) Gene	erator Contro	ller is aged be	yond expected	life and should	l be replaced	(\$ 10,000.00 I.)		
Work requi	red 5-25 Y	ears:							
			Repair/U	ograde & Cost					
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25 Years			
Upgrade 1	\$ 75,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 250,000						
Upgrade 1: 1) Repla 2) Cons	ace Pump Cor ider upgrade	itrol Panel 2 during this w	vork. (See Upgra	ade 2 Price)					
Upgrade 2: 1) Repla	ace Instrumer	it Panel, Senso	rs/Transmitters	s, Pipework and	d Valves.				







Facility Name:	Lakeshore Blvd PS			Notes:		
Facility Address:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front					
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat /Long.):	374.225.72E 4.897.048.23N					
Beference Drawing(s):		, - ,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	572	2-1 and 572-2,	Aug 1995			
		Page 2 of	2			
Page No.		Faye 2 01	∠ Dotaile	<u> </u>		
Number of Pumps	1	Pump	Details	Notos		
SCADA Flow2		 		Firm capacity	based on Star	too Roport
SCADA Level?		Ves		Peak canacity	estimated	liec riepori,
		Lead	Lag 1	r can capacity		
Make:		Fly	_ _∝g : /at			
Madel ID or Bating:		CP-320	1.35 Hn			
Impeller ID or Size		6	36			
Variable-Speed?		N	0			
Vear Installed		19	95			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Lakosh	ore CP3201M	T3-335mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Unite			13-33311111		
Firm Capacity		117	2 00			
Peak Capacity	L/S	210	.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/S	112.00	101.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	126.00	126.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	12.70	12.70			
Elevation On:	m	71.80	72.22			
Elevation Off:	m	70.81	70.81			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1995):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1995):	m	5.49	0.25	PVC	1/1/1	CV, 90EL, GV
Pump Station (1995):	m	3.20	0.25	PVC	0	N/A
Yard Piping (1995):	m	N/A 0.25/0.4		PVC	1	MF
Main Pipeline (1995):	m	429.66	0.40	PVC	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	76	.30			

Legend:

90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow

Notes:

7.3.23	Morton S	treet PS	I.				
Reliabilit	y Rating	5	5.0	Overall I	Rating		А
Total Facilit	zy Risk	2.8 /5	Total Equi	pment Risk	1.5 /5	Condition rating	1.2 /5
Condition A	Assessment	:					
Civil / Site:	Good Conditi	on					
Structural:	Wet Well not	assessed. D	ry well shows	signs of water	damage or	areas around pump	suction.
Proc. Mech.:	Piping and Ma	anual Valves	s starting to sh	now corrosion.	Overflow t	o Sanitary Sewer nev	er used.
Instrument:	SCADA data a	ppears to sh	now the Flowr	neter is unders	ized for the	e capacity of the PS	
Proc. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on. Portable	back-up gene	erator			
Build. Mech.:	Good Conditi	on					
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on					
Priority Wo	ork:						
SCADA repair/	data shows Fl replace	owmeter is i	not currently	working or und	ersized – re	eview and	(\$10,000)
Work requi	ired 5-25 Ye	ars:					
			Repai	r/Upgrade & Co	st		
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-2	25 Years
				Upgrade 1	\$	75,000 Upgrade 2	\$ 150,000
Upgrade 1: 1) Repl Upgrade 2: 1) Repl 2) Revie	ace Instrument ace Pipework, ew Condition o	Panels and Valves and P f Pumps and	Sensors/Trans Pump Control F d consider repl	smitters Panel. acing. (Cost Not	t included)		






Facility Name:	Morton Street PS			Notes:		
Facility Address:		Morton W	ay			
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	379	739.26E 4,89	7,245.84N			
Reference Drawing(s):		, ,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	C	101 & M101, F	eb 2005			
Page No		Page 2 of	2			
l uge ive.		Pumr	_ Details			
Number of Pumps		2	Dottallo	Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	based on ECA	. Peak
SCADA Level?		Yes		capacity estim	ated based on	minorlosses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		NT-312	7 7.4Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		48	39			
Variable-Speed?:		Ν	lo			
Year Installed		200	5.00			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Morto	n NX3127HT	195mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	18	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	32	.40			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	18.00	18.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	14.60	14.60			
Elevation On:	m	73.09	74.68			
Elevation Off:	m	72.41	74.28			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	72.55	72.55			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (2005):	m	1.03	0.10	DI	1/1	90EL, GV
Discharge Line (2005):	m	1.88	0.1/0.15	DI	1/1/1/1	90EL, E, CV, GV
Pump Station (2005):	m	2.35	0.15	DI	1	GV
Yard Piping (2005):	m	5.40	0.15	DI	2	45EL
Main Pipeline (2005):	m	144.75	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	74	.67			

Legend:

90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion,

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.24	Notch Hi	ill Road P	PS							
Reliabilit	y Rating	4	1.6	Overall I	Rating	ŀ	4			
Total Facili	ty Risk	1.8 /5	Total Equ	ipment Risk	1.5 /5	Condition rating	1.8 /5			
Condition /	Assessmen	t:								
Civil / Site:	vil / Site: Good Condition									
Structural:	ructural: Access wasn't available to well during condition assessment, Operator stated that there is no issues and PS has a low flow.									
Proc. Mech.:	oc. Mech.: N/A - No access to well.									
Instrument:	ent: Good Condition									
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion								
Build. Mech.:	N/A									
Build. Elec.:	N/A									
Priority Wo	ork:									
None										
Work requ	ired 5-25 Y	ears:								
			Repair	/Upgrade & Cost	ţ					
5-10 Y	/ears	10-1	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25	Years			
Review	\$ 4,000			Upgrade 1	\$	40,000				
Comments: 1) Low Flow Pumping Station. Access to well not available at time of review. Review: 1) It is suggested a more thorough review is completed before upgrade										
Upgrade 1: 1) Pum	1) It is suggested a more thorough review is completed before upgrade Upgrade 1: 1) Pump Control Panel and Sensors/Transmitters.									



Facility Name:		Notch Hill I	PS	Notes:
Facility Address:		119 Notch Hill	Boad	
	Cataragui Bay WWTP			
Continuity/Service Area:	27	77 447 04E 4 90	0 127 70N	
	37	7,447.04⊏ 4,09	9,137.791	
		N/A		
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		Dogo 1 of	0	-
Page No.	Unito	Page 1 of	2 Diamatar	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	-
Innow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	38.00	0.20	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m n/o	50.00	0.30	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a			
Overflow Discharge Loc :	n/a	IN/A	N/A ۸	
Backup Bower2:	n/a	N	/A /A	
Site Econoing 2:	n/a	N		
	n/a		/^	
Photo: Exterior	n/a	IN/	A Blan Viewu	
				SA MH 4401020
On exetien al Data	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Derational Data				Notes:
Reco Elevation & Loval:	n/a	Ν/Δ		
Law Alarm Elevation	m	N/A	N/A	
Low Alarm Elevation:	 	N/A	N/A	
Initial/Normal Elev. & Lovel:	m	N/A	N/A	
Maximum Elevation:	n/a			
High Alarm Elevation:	m		Ν/Δ	
Ground Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A	
Physical Data:	Units	11/7	14/7	
Section (circular oval etc)	n/a	N	/A	
Average Cross-Section Area:	sa m	N	/A	
Length & Width (or Diam):	m	N/A	N/A	
Photo: Interior		4	Profile View	
	P			



Facility Name:	Notch Hill PS			Notes:		
Facility Address:		119 Notch Hill	Road	Not Modelled		
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay '	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	377,447.04E 4,899,137.79N					
Reference Drawing(s):		N 1/A				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		N/A				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details	<u>.</u>		
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Not Modelled		
SCADA Level?		No Data				
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		My	ers			
Model ID or Rating:		MW 50-1	1 0.5 HP			
Impeller ID or Size:		N	/A			
Variable-Speed?:		N	/A			
Year Installed		N	/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		N	/A			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	N	/A			
Peak Capacity		N	/A			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N	/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	N	/A			
ECA Rated Head:	m	N.	/A			
Elevation On:	m	N	/A			
Elevation Off:	m	N	/A			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N	/A			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pump Station (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yard Piping (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (N/A):	m	N/A N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	N	/A			
Logondu	-					

Legend:

Notes:

Not Modelled - Assessed for Condition

7.3.25	Palace R	oad PS								
Reliabilit	y Rating	(6.9	Overall I	Rating		В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.00 /5	Total Equi	pment Risk	2.0 /5	Condition rating	1.7 /5			
Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site: Good Condition										
Structural: Well in good condition. Building in good condition, but aging. Access way hatches have safety gates installed to prevent accidental falls into well.										
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Main/Pump out Piping and Valve bodies heavily corroded.									
Instrument:	Instrument: SCADA data appears to show the Flowmeter is undersized for the capacity of the PS									
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Portable back-up generator.										
Build. Mech.: Good Condition										
Build. Elec.:	Good Condi	tion								
Priority Wo SCADA repair/	ork: data shows F replace	lowmeter is	not currently v	vorking or unde	ersized – re	view and (\$ 10,000)			
Work requi	red 5-25 Y	ears:								
			Repai	r/Upgrade & Co	st					
5-10 Y	ears	10-1	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-2	5 Years			
		neview	4000	upgrade 1	৯ 32	:0,000				
Review: 1) Revie	ew Building St	ructure and	Pumps.							
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pipework, Valves and Instrumentation.										







- 111 N	Deless Deed DC			Notos		
Facility Name:			185	NOLES.		
Facility Address:	Corne	er of Palace Ro	d and Brock			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview V	WTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	379,099.64E 4,898,548.77N					
Reference Drawing(s):	0101	E101 9 M10	1 Eab 0005			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	CIUI		I, FED 2005			
Page No.		Page 2 of	2			
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	estimated bas	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		Yes		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		NP 312	7 7.5Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		48	39			
Variable-Speed?:		Y	es			
Year Installed		200	6.00			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Palace	Rd NP3127HT	1-195mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	22	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	22	.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	51.00	51.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	8.40	8.40			
Elevation On:	m	3.80	98.80			
Elevation Off:	m	1.95	1.95			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (2005):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (2005):	m	5.63	0.15/0.2	N/A	1/1	E, CV
Pump Station (2005):	m	2.27	0.20	N/A	1/2/1/1	GV, 45EL, PG, DF
Yard Piping (2005):	m	1.66	0.20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (2005):	m	235.00 0.20		N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	100).83			

Legend:

Check Valve, DF = Doppler Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion, LAT = Lateral, PG - Pressure Gauge

Notes:

Only run pump at a time due to forcemain. Not big enough for two pumps Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.26	7.3.26 Rankin Crescent PS									
Reliabilit	y Rating	7	7.0	Overall I	Rating	В	5			
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Eq	uipment Risk	2.2 /5	Condition rating	1.6 /5			
Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site:	Civil / Site: Good Condition									
Structural: Concrete well cap crumbling, rest of well in good condition. Ladder rusting. Roof - slight evidence of leak.										
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Piping and valves heavily corroded - Valves may be corroded in place making difficult to operate.									
Instrument:	trument: Good Condition									
Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Portable back-up generator										
Build. Mech.:	ech.: Good Condition									
Build. Elec.:	Good Conditi	on								
Priority Wo Roof Co	Priority Work: Roof Condition to be reviewed - evidence of leak.									
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ars:								
			Repa	ir/Upgrade & Cost						
5-10 Y	ears	10-1	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25	Years			
Upgrade 1	\$ 50,000			Upgrade 2	\$	/5,000				
Upgrade 1: 1) Replace Pipework and Valves. Upgrade 2: 1) Replace Instrumentation 2) Review Well Structure and Pumps (Cost Not Included)										



			50	I
Facility Name:		Rankin Cres	S PS	Notes:
Facility Address:		Rankin Cres	cent	
Community/Service Area:	Cataraqui Bay WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	370,491.72 E 4,899,475.06 N			
Reference Drawing(s):		SP0344-PS M	ar 1982	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		01 0044 1 0, 100		
Page No.		Page 1 of	2	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	9.14	0.20	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	560.00	0.15	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	MH340	22-020	
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m	17.80	0.20	
Overflow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	Lake C	Intario	
Backup Power?:	n/a	N	lo	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	N	0	
COTA/ECA?:	n/a	Y	es	
				SA S
	Storage	Well & Pump S	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	SP03	44-PS	
Base Elevation & Level:	m	77.34	0.00	
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	77 5 4	0.00	-
Minimum Elevation:	m	//.54	0.20	
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m	77.95	0.61 Assumed	
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	81.10	3.76	
High Alarm Elevation:	m	78.54	1.20	
Ground Elevation:	m	81.08	3.73	
Physical Data:	Units			
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a	Circ	ular	
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	2.	63	
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m	1.83		
		Profile view:		



Facility Name:		Rankin Cres	s PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:		Rankin Cres	cent			
Community/Service Area:	(Cataraqui Bay	WWTP			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	370,491.72 E 4,899,475.06 N					
Reference Drawing(s):	,	,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)	S	SP0344-PS, Ma	ar 1982			
Page No		Page 2 of	2			
r age no.		Pump	Details	L		
Number of Pumps		2	Dotano	Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		 No Data		Firm capacity	estimated base	ed on flow
SCADA Level?		No Data		reports. Peak	capacity estim	ated
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1	1		
Make:		Fly	/gt			
Model ID or Rating:		CP-312	7 10 Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		48	35			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Year Installed		19	82			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Ranki	in CR127HT3	200mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	19.	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	32.	.30			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	61.00	73.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	15.10	15.10			
ECA Rated Head:	m	13.40	13.40			
Elevation On:	m	78.40	78.48			
Elevation Off:	m	77.95	77.95			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	77.54	77.54			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (1982):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1982):	m	N/A	0.10	CML	1/1/1	90E
Pump Station (1982):	m	N/A	0.10	CML	1/1/1/1	90E, CV, GV, TEE
Yard Piping (1982):	m	N/A	0.15	CML	1	E
Main Pipeline (1982):	m	559.32	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	81.	.52			

Legend:

Cement Lined Ductile Iron, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.27	River Str	eet PS								
Reliabilit	y Rating	ç).5	Overall R	Rating		В			
Total Facilit	y Risk	3.8 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Conditior	n rating	1.3 /5		
Condition Assessment:										
Civil / Site:	I / Site: Good Condition									
Structural:	structural: Wet Well not assessed. Dry well shows insignificant signs of water damage.									
Proc. Mech.:	oc. Mech.: Generally in a good condition. Slight corrosion on pipe and valves.									
Instrument:	istrument: Good Condition									
Proc. Elec.:	Proc. Elec.: Good Condition. Gas back-up generator									
Build. Mech.: Good Condition										
Build. Elec.: Good Condition										
Priority Work: Grit System not currently in working order - review and repair if possible. If not replace.										
Work requi	red 5-25 Yo	ears:								
			Repair/U	ograde & Cost		-				
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2 Boview	0 Years	7 500	20-25 \	'ears		
Review: 1) Full Condition assessment of Pumpng Station										



Facility Name		Divor St D	с	Notos
				notes.
Facility Address:		12 River Str	eet	
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W		
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	38	1,/9/.0/E 4,899	9,783.19N	
Reference Drawing(s):		P3 & G3 06/0	8/31	
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)				
Page No.		Page 1 of	2	
Inflow and Outflow Types	Units	Length	Diameter	
Inflow Pipe Length & Dia.:	m	120.00	1.35	
Main Pipeline Length & Dia.:	m	1134.00	0.99	
Main Discharge Location.	n/a	Raveshview		
Overflow Pipe Length & D.:	m m/c	46.00	1.20	
Overnow Discharge Loc.:	n/a	Lake C	Dintario	
Backup Power ?:	n/a	Ye	es	
Site Fencing?:	n/a	Ye	25	
COTA/ECA?.	n/a	YE	2S	
Photo: Exterior		T	Plan View:	RIVER ST.
			-	
	Storage	Well & Pump Si	uction Details	
Operational Data	Units	HGL	Level	Notes:
Reference Drawing Number:	n/a	P	3	
Base Elevation & Level:	m	68.20	0.00	
Low Alarm Elevation:	m	71.00	2.80	
Minimum Elevation:	m	68.81	0.61	
Initial/Normal Elev. & Level:	m	70.89	2.69	
Maximum Elevation:	n/a	73.42	5.22	
High Alarm Elevation:	m	72.00	3.80	
Ground Elevation:	m	77.32	9.12	
Physical Data:	Units			
Section (circular, oval, etc)	n/a			
Average Cross-Section Area:	sq.m	58m Const	ant Shape	
Length & Width (or Diam.):	m			
Photo: Interior			Well 1 level 2.8 Well 2 level 2.8 Well 2 level 2.8 flow over cham	m m ber 1.99



Facility Name:		River St P	rs	<u>Notes:</u>			
Facility Address:		12 River St	reet				
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	WTP	1			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	381	797.07E 4.89	9,783.19N				
Reference Drawing(s):		, ,	,				
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		P3 & G3 06/0	08/31				
Page No		Page 2 of	2				
rage No.		Pumr	∠ Details				
Number of Pumps		1 ding 4	Details	Notes:			
SCADA Flow?		Yes		Firm capacity	based on FCA	Peak	
SCADA Level?		Yes		capacity estim	ated based on	minorlosses	
		Lead	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3		
Make:			Fly	vat			
Model ID or Rating:			Ct-3312	2 385HP			
Impeller ID or Size:			630	0.00			
Variable-Speed?:			Y	es			
Year Installed			20	06			
Pump Curve ID in Model:			P RiverST C	P3312-510mm			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead			Lag 3		
Firm Capacity	L/s	2000	g ! 170	0.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s		213	0.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	420.00	420.00	420.00	420.00		
ECA Rated Head:	m	40.00	40.00	40.00	40.00		
Elevation On:	m	70.70	71.10	71.40	Manuel On		
Elevation Off:	m	70.20	70.85	70.90	Manuel Off		
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	69.71	69.71	69.71	69.71		
	Piping	Details	<u> </u>		Losses		
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре	
Suction Line (2006):	m	4.42	0.6/401	Steel	1/1/1	C, GV, 90EL	
Discharge Line (2006):	m	3.12	0.305/0.4	Steel	1/2/1/1	C, 45EL, CV, GV	
Pump Station (2006):	m	N/A	0.90	Steel	1/2/1	90EL, TEE, GV	
Yard Piping (2006):	m	N/A	0.90	Steel	1/1/1	FM, 90EL, E	
Main Pipeline (2006):	m	1047/1774	2x1.08	HDPE	1	45EL	
Exit Elevation:	m	99	.76				

Legend:

C = Contraction/Reducer , 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

7.3.28	Schoone	r Drive PS	5						
Reliabilit	Reliability Rating 7.1 Overall Rating B								
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	2.0 /5	Condition rating	1.8 /5		
Condition A	Assessment	:							
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion							
Structural:	Good Condit	ion. Occasion	al Grease build	up					
Proc. Mech.:	Piping and V	alves - Corros	ion Present						
Instrument:	Aging - Pum	o Control Pan	el and Transmit	ters.					
Proc. Elec.:	Good Condit	ion. Portable	back-up genera	tor					
Build. Mech.:	uild. Mech.: N/A								
Build. Elec.:	N/A								
Priority Wo	ork:								
None									
Work requi	red 5-25 Yo	ears:							
			Repair/Up	grade & Cost					
5-10 Y	'ears	10-15	years	15-2	20 Years	20-25	Years		
		Upgrade 1	\$ 150,000						
Upgrade 1: Replace	Jpgrade 1: Replace Pipework, Valves, Pump Control Panel, Instrument Panel, Sensor/Transmitter								







Facility Name:		Schooner Driv		Notes:		
		Conconci Din	ver 3	Notes:		
Facility Address:		Schooner D	rive			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview W	WTP	1		
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	384	,043.10E 4,90	2,984.32N			
Reference Drawing(s):						
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		N/A				
Page No.		Page 2 of	2	•		
		Pump	Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak
SCADA Level?		No Data		capacity estim	nated based on	minorlosses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly	ygt			
Model ID or Rating:		NP-312	27 10hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		48	34			
Variable-Speed?:		Y	es			
Year Installed		20	02			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_Schoor	ner_CP3127HT	G-217mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	10.	.00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	19.	.60			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown)	: L/s	16.00	15.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	18.00	18.00			
ECA Rated Head:	m	14.50	14.50			
Elevation On:	m	93.15	93.45			
Elevation Off:	m	92.85	92.85			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	2/2/2	90EL/CV/GV
Pump Station (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yard Piping (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (N/A):	m	555.60	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	102	2.65			

Legend:

90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, GV = Gate Valve

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.29	Westbro	ok PS					
Reliabilit	y Rating	(5.7	Overall F	Rating	В	
Total Facilit	y Risk	2.0 /5	Total Equip	ment Risk	1.9 /5	Condition rating	1.7 /5
Condition A	ssessment	t:					
Civil / Site:	Good Condit	ion					
Structural:	Good Condit	ion					
Proc. Mech.:	Proc. Mech.: Well/Pumps do not have the capacity for high flow occurences (Operator comment). Piping and valves heavily corroded - Valves may be corroded in place making difficult to operate.						
Instrument:	Aging -						
Proc. Elec.:	Main						
Build. Mech.:	N/A						
Build. Elec.:	N/A						
Priority Wo	ork:						
None							
Work requi	red 5-25 Y	ears:					
			Repair/U	pgrade & Cost			
5-10 Y	ears	10-1	5 Years	15-2	20 Years	20-25 Y	'ears
Upgrade 1	\$ 150,000	Upgrade 2	\$ 125,000				
 Jpgrade 1: 1) Replace Pipework, Valves, Pump Control Panel, Sensor/Transmitter and Main Breaker. 2) Consider upgrade 2 during this work. (See Upgrade 2 Price) Jpgrade 2: 1) Replace Instrument Panel and Pumps 							







Facility Name:	٧	Vestbrook Ro	ad PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:	1147 Westbrook Road					
Community/Service Area:	Cataragui Bay WWTP					
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	370.3	370.320.82E 4.902.343.77N				
Reference Drawing(s):		, , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ , _ ,	,			
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		N/A				
Page No		Page 2 of	· 2	-		
r age No.			2 Details			
Number of Pumps		2	Details	Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		NOICES.		
SCADA Level?		No Data		-		
		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		Fly				
Model ID or Bating:			CP-3127 7 5Hr	<u>ו</u>		
Impeller ID or Size:		`	463	•		
Variable-Speed?:		No	No			
Year Installed		N/A	N/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P Westbrooke CP3127HT		T1-231mm		
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead				
Firm Capacity	L/s	14	g			
Peak Capacity	L/s	18	.00			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	8.00	14.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	14.60	14.60			
ECA Rated Head:	m	15.60	15.60			
Elevation On:	m	80.53	80.63			
Elevation Off:	m	80.21	80.21			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
• • •	Piping	p Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	CML	1/2/1	CV, 90EL, GV
Pump Station (N/A):	m	1.85	0.15	CML	N/A	N/A
Yard Piping (N/A):	m	N/A	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Main Pipeline (N/A):	m	1864.00	0.15	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	89	.00			

Legend:

C = Contraction/Reducer, 90EL = 90 DEG Elbow, CV = Check Valve, MF = Magnetic Flow Meter, GV = Gate Valve, 45EL = 45 Deg Elbow, E = Expansion

Notes:

Assumed piping details from field survey

7.3.30	Yonge Str	eet PS					
Reliability	y Rating	5	5.7	Overall R	Rating	В	
Total Facilit	y Risk	1.9 /5	Total Equip	oment Risk	1.7 /5	Condition rating	1.8 /5
Condition A	ssessment:						
Civil / Site:	Good Conditio	on					
Structural:	Access wasn't and PS has a l	available to ow flow.	well during co	ndition assessn	nent, Oper	ator stated that there i	s no issues
Proc. Mech.:	N/A - No acce	SS					
Instrument:	Good Conditio	on					
Proc. Elec.:	Good Conditio	on					
Build. Mech.:	N/A						
Build. Elec.:	N/A						
Priority Wo	rk:						
None							
Work requi	red 5-25 Ye	ars:					
			Repair/L	Ipgrade & Cost			
5-10 Y	ears	10-15	5 Years	15-2	0 Years	20-25 \	/ears
Review	\$ 4,000			Upgrade 1	\$	40,000	
Comments: 1) Low Flow Pumping Station. Access to well not available at time of review.							
Review: 1) It is suggested a more thorough review is completed before upgrade							
_,,							
Jpgrade 1: 1) Pump Control Panel and Sensors/Transmitters.							







Facility Name:		Yonge St I	PS	Notes:		
Facility Address:		Yonge Stre	eet			
Community/Service Area:		Ravensview	r PS			
Coordinates (Lat./Long.):	378	,677.67E 4,89	6,970.65N			
Reference Drawing(s):						
Include Revision(s) & Date(s)		03201, Sept	1979			
Page No		Page 2 of 2		-		
. ugo 1101		Pump	_ Details			
Number of Pumps		2		Notes:		
SCADA Flow?		No Data		Firm capacity	based on ECA	, Peak
SCADA Level?		No Data		capacity estim	nated based on	minorlosses
Pump Type		Lead	Lag 1			
Make:		My	ers			
Model ID or Rating:		WHR5-2	1C 0.5Hp			
Impeller ID or Size:		N	/A			
Variable-Speed?:		N	lo			
Year Installed		N	/A			
Pump Curve ID in Model:		P_HatterS	T_25EV-L			
Flow and Level Set Points	Units	Lead	Lag 1			
Firm Capacity	L/s	4.	00			
Peak Capacity	L/s	9.9	90			
Tested Flow (e.g.: Drawdown):	L/s	4.00	7.00			
ECA Rated Flow:	L/s	N/A	N/A			
ECA Rated Head:	m	N/A	N/A			
Elevation On:	m	74.67	74.73			
Elevation Off:	m	74.45	74.45			
Pump (Impeller) Elevation:	m	N/A	N/A			
	Piping	g Details			Minor	Losses
Description (Year Installed)	Units	Length	Diameter	Mat.	Qty.	Туре
Suction Line (N/A):	m	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Discharge Line (1979):	m	N/A	0.08	GS	1	CV
Pump Station (1979):	m	1.20	0.08	GS	2/2	90EL/GV
Yard Piping (1979):	m	N/A	0.08	GS/PE	0	N/A
Main Pipeline (1979):	m	23.28	0.08	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exit Elevation:	m	76	.34			

Legend:

CV = Check Valve, PE - Polyethylene, GS = Galv. Steel, Sch 40

Notes:

8 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN AND ASSET VALUATION SUMMARY

8.1 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT

The table below (Table 8-1) shows the estimated cost of capital improvement over a 25 year period. The cost for each five year period is calculated using the estimated cost of repairs as detailed on the Asset Summary Sheets (Section 7.3). The cost of improvement in the near future (0-5 years) does not include any current improvements underway or already committed.

Table 8-1 Estimated Cost of Capital Improvements for Pumping Stations (0-25 Years)

FACILITY NAME	FORMER FACILITY	0-5 YEARS	5-10 YEARS	10-15 YEARS	5 15-20 YEARS	20-25 YEARS
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	\$200		\$415,000	\$150,000	\$6,000
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS				\$250,000	\$6,000
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS		\$50,000	\$60,000		
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	\$1,000	\$100,000	\$100,000		
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS		\$95,000		\$175,000	
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS			\$150,000		\$5,000
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS		\$60,000	\$40,000	\$85,000	
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	\$500			\$100,000	\$6,000
Dalton Avenue PS	North End PS	\$10,000	\$4,000		\$400,000	\$8,000
Days Road PS	Days Rd PS	\$5,400	\$3,004,000			\$8,000
Greenview Drive PS	Greenview Drive PS			\$4,000		
Hatter Street PS	Hatter St PS		\$4,000		\$35,000	
Hillview Road PS	Mona Dr PS	\$500		\$250,000		\$6,000
Highway 15	B-40 PS	\$40,000			\$800,000	\$6,000
James Street PS	B-64 PS	\$40,000		\$600,000		\$6,000
John Counter Boulevard PS	John Counter Blvd PS	\$3,000				\$100,000
Kenwoods Circle PS	Woods Landing PS		\$150,000	\$400,000	\$100,000	\$6,000
King Street PS	O'Kill PS	\$7,000		\$160,000	\$600,000	

FACILITY NAME	FORMER FACILITY	0-5 YEARS	5-10 YEARS	10-15 YEARS	15-20 YEARS	20-25 YEARS
King-Elevator Bay PS	Commodore's Cove	\$1,200		\$200,000	\$500,000	\$6,000
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	Lake Ontario Park PS			\$30,000		
King-Portsmouth PS	Portsmouth PS	\$40,200		\$150,000	\$250,000	\$6,000
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	Front Rd PS	\$30,000	\$75,000	\$250,000		\$6,000
Morton Street PS	Morton St PS	\$10,000			\$75,000	\$160,000
Notch Hill Road PS	Notch Hill Rd PS		\$4,000		\$40,000	
Palace Road PS	Palace Rd PS	\$10,000		\$4,000	\$325,000	
Rankin Crescent PS	Rankin Cr PS		\$50,000		\$75,000	\$6,000
River Street PS	River St PS				\$7,500	
Schooner Drive PS	Rivers Edge PS			\$150,000		
Westbrook PS	Westbrook PS		\$150,000	\$125,000		
Yonge Street PS	Yonge St PS		\$4,000		\$40,000	
Cana WWTP	Cana WWTP					
Ravensview WWTP	Ravensview WWTP	\$1,200,000	\$300,000	\$30,000	\$250,000	
Cataraqui Bay WWTP	Cataraqui Bay WWTP					
тс	DTAL	1,399,000	\$4,050,000	\$3,118,000	\$4,257,500	\$347,000

As can be seen from the graph in Figure 8-1, the cost of capital improvements is concentrated in the years 5 through 20. This is due to the year of construction or last upgrade of the pumping stations and the life-span of the components, with the worst predicted expenditure falling in years 15-20.

In years 5-10 there is a full refurbishment at an estimated cost of \$3.0 million for Days Road PS, with expected major upgrades at an estimated cost of \$≈500-800k a piece to James Street PS, Kenwoods Circle, and Barrett Court in years 10-15, and Highway 15, and King-Elevator Bay in years 15-20.



Figure 8-1 Total Estimated Cost of Capital Improvements

Within the Asset Summaries, Section7.3, it was suggested that expected upgrades be brought forward and combined with those in earlier years. Section 7.3.1 – Asset Summary of Barrett Court for example, suggests completing "Upgrade 2" – Pipework, Valves, Instrumentation, HVAC and Heaters" at the same time as "Upgrade 1" – Pumps, Pump Control Panels, Instrument Panel and Electrical. The graph below (Figure 8-2) shows the predicted distribution of expenditure expected if these upgrades were combined.





8.2 ASSET REPLACEMENT VALUE SUMMARY

The following table provides a summary of the estimated replacement value associated with each pumping station and wastewater treatment plant. Estimates were based upon WSP knowledge of PS/WWTP cost, using recently tendered quotes within eastern Ontario as a bench mark.

Replacement value included a complete rebuild of the facility with replacement of all equipment ("Like" for "Like")

FACILITY NAME	FORMER FACILITY NAME	STANTEC (2008 STUDY)	WSP (2015 STUDY)	% OF TOTAL VALUE
Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	\$1,093,684	\$1,720,000	3.2%
Bath Road PS	Bath Rd (Walmart) PS	\$448,148	\$1,349,000	2.5%
Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	\$276,002	\$309,000	0.6%
Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	\$136,361	\$304,000	0.6%
Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	\$522,142	\$886,000	1.6%
Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	\$259,579	\$407,000	0.8%

Table 8-2 Estimated Replacement Value for Pumping Stations

Facility Name	Former Facility Name	Stantec (2008 Study)	WSP (2015 Study)	% of Total Value
Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	\$662,448	\$860,000	1.6%
Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	\$502,006	\$927,000	1.7%
Dalton Avenue PS	North End PS	\$5,045,233	\$5,099,000	9.5%
Days Road PS	Days Rd PS	\$3,462,464	\$5,711,000	10.6%
Greenview Drive PS	Greenview Drive PS	\$639,311	\$1,432,000	2.7%
Hatter Street PS	Hatter St PS	\$95,175	\$165,000	0.3%
Hillview Road PS	Mona Dr PS	\$1,075,906	\$1,736,000	3.2%
Highway 15	B-40 PS	\$1,227,420	\$1,782,000	3.3%
James Street PS	B-64 PS	\$1,102,341	\$1,324,000	2.5%
John Counter Boulevard PS	John Counter Blvd PS	N/A	\$1,545,000	2.9%
Kenwoods Circle PS	Woods Landing PS	\$425,342	\$1,473,000	2.7%
King Street PS	O'Kill PS	\$2,466,553	\$3,966,000	7.4%
King-Elevator Bay PS	Commodore's Cove	\$551,744	\$1,437,000	2.7%
King-Lake Ontario Park PS	Lake Ontario Park PS	\$279,317	\$124,000	0.2%
King-Portsmouth PS	Portsmouth PS	\$1,766,946	\$2,369,000	4.4%
Lakeshore Boulevard PS	Front Rd PS	\$665,424	\$1,602,000	3.0%
Morton Street PS	Morton St PS	\$532,829	\$1,344,000	2.5%
Notch Hill Road PS	Notch Hill Rd PS	\$59,420	\$144,000	0.3%
Palace Road PS	Palace Rd PS	\$340,516	\$922,000	1.7%
Rankin Crescent PS	Rankin Cr PS	\$272,534	\$1,030,000	1.9%
River Street PS	River St PS	\$9,170,002	\$12,056,000	22.4%
Schooner Drive PS	River Edge PS	\$280,294	\$1,159,000	2.2%
Westbrook PS	Westbrook PS	\$292,869	\$458,000	0.9%
Yonge Street PS	Yonge St PS	\$47,163	\$134,000	0.2%
Total		\$33,699,173	\$53,774,000	100.0%

Table 8-3 **Estimated Replacement Value for WWTPs**

FACILITY NAME	FORMER FACILITY	STANTEC (2008 STUDY)	WSP (2015 STUDY)	% OF TOTAL VALUE
Cana WWTP	Cana WWTP	N/A	\$3,500,000	0.7%
Ravensview WWTP	Ravensview WWTP	N/A	\$275,000,000	58.7%
Cataraqui Bay WWTP	Cataraqui Bay WWTP	N/A	\$190,000,000	40.6%
Total			\$468,500,000	100.0%

*Stantec's cost estimate includes – Construction Cost; General Overhead and Profit; Bond and Insurance; and a 15% Contingency. For ease of comparison WSP have retained this approach. *WSP suggest that a 15% Engineering fee be added to the costs above to get a total estimated cost of replacement.
Appendix A

FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEETS – PUMPING STATIONS





Project No: UK-15-0	12			Project No: 1	.51
	Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel access - good condition Soon to be under construction	1		15	1
Paths	Gravel coumpound - grassing over	2		10	3
Gates/Fences	Good Condition	1		15	1
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			





		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15
	Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015	ĺ

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition - evidence of water damage on walls.	3		15	3
Roof	Good Condition	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		22	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		22	1

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Aging pumps, evidence of leakage and corrosion	4		10	4
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges in good condition - some corrosion	3		15	3
Pipe Supports	Concrete shoes - good condition	2		15	2
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves in good condition	2		18	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corroded - should not affect operation	3		14	3
Overflow	Over flows to creek	3		14	2
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Evidence of water damage to dry well floor	3		3	3

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 2.9				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	12.7			
Overall Condition rating	2.9			





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	Aged controls	2		10	2	
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Sensors and Transmitters	Aged transmitter	2		10	2	
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2	
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2	

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Pump Starters	Recently Replaced	1		25	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		15	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		10	1
Back up power source	Diesel back-up generator - aging	3		10	3
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical					
Overall Risk Level	1.3				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.7				
Overall Condition rating	1.3				





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Project No: 151-02944-00

 Inspection Site:
 Barrett Court PS
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15
 Date:
 28th May 2015

	Building Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Aging Tank - Bunded	2		12	3
HVAC	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2
Heaters	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2
Thermostats	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.3			





Project No: UK-15-0	12	Field Assessment Sheet		Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Barrett Court PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Barrett Court and Hwy 15	Date:	28th May 2015

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
- Sump Pump to be reviewed and repaired/replaced	- No issues					





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking Lot behind Pumping station used for parking	1		20	1
Paths	Not Applicable				
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
-	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015

	Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		22	1	
Roof	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		22	1	

Structural	
Overall Risk Level	1.0
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.0
Overall Condition rating	1.0





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015

	Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	1	
Main Process Piping	Corrosion present	2		15	3	
Pipe Supports	Pipe supports in good condition	1		15	1	
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present	2		15	3	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Valves in good condition	2		15	1	
Overflow	Pump out position available on well.	2		20	2	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		20	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	1		20	1

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



WSP

Kings	iton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Back up power source	Gas generator present - good condition	1		30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	
Inspection Site:		Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Not Applicable					
HVAC	Good Condition	1	Ν	25	1	
Heaters	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	
Thermostats	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Bath Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	4054 Bath Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Street lights used	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

Comments from City of Kingston Personnel
ent - no longer an issue
ent





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project N	
Inspection Site:		Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Attached to parking lot, access in good condition	1		20	1	
Paths	Gravel parking lot surrounding wet well	1		20	1	
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable					
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Project No: UK-15-02 Proje					
Inspection Site:		Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		15	1	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No
Inspection Site:	Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues during regular flows. Well/pumps do not have the capacity for high flow times. (Thaw/Storm)	4		15	2	
Main Process Piping	Pipe work heavily corroded	4		7	4	
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present. One Valves looks corroded in place therefore inoperable. Valves only turned when required, should be turned regularly to stop sticking.	4		5	4	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion, will not impact use	3		8	3	
Overflow	Pump out position available on well. Valves and pipe work corroded	4		7	4	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	3.8			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	8.4			
Overall Condition rating	3.4			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1	N	30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1	N	30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1	N	30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1	N	30	1	
Back up power source	Portable Back up Generator	1	N	30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2				
Inspection Site:		Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	0.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0		
Overall Condition rating 0.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15:
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Crnr Bath and Collins Bay Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	0.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0		
Overall Condition rating	0.0		

General				
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel			
	- No Issues during usual flow. - Levels get High during Thaw and Storms			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Currently construction is occuring on building next door, access presently difficult. No issue once work complete.	1		15	1
Paths	Not Applicable				
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Channels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Good condition - No cracks present	1		20	1
Roof	Not Applicable				
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable				
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable				
Foundations	Not Applicable				
Access Ways	Note written on Hatch - "Don't Open" - Believed to have a broken hinge - Hinge to be replaced.	3		3	5
Ladders	Ladder rusted, but structually sound	2		15	2

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	12.7			
Overall Condition rating	2.7			



Field Assessment Sheet



		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	10 houses serviced by pumping station therefore low flows. Pumps not visible below water line, but operator states that pumps are in good order.	1		20	1
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges extremely rusted.	2		10	4
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	No Isolation Valves observed - no way of isolating pumps during repair unless valves are in separate pit	N/A		N/A	N/A
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	No Check Valves observed - no way of isolating pumps during repair	N/A		N/A	N/A
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.5			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - aging	2		8	3	
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		10	1	
Gauges	Not Applicable					
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2	

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.3			
Overall Condition rating 1.8				





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	OK Condition	1		10	1
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Not Applicable - No Back up power source - Only supplies 10 houses therefore no requirement	3		N/A	N/A
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2	Field Assessment Sheet		Project No:
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating				





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No
	Inspection Site:	Bath-Lower PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	4170 Bath Road (Corner of Bath and Lower)	Date:	4th June 2015

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel						
- Well Hatch to be repaired	 Never over flowed to Lake. Pumping Station runs well. Only services approx. 10 properties. Is in good condition New monitoring box added this week due to collision from construction company next door. 					



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-0
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Access in good condition. Gravel compound	1		15	1
Paths	Gravel compound - good condition	1		15	1
Gates/Fences	Good condition	1		15	1
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level 1.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				







Project No: UK-15-0	2	Den Site: Bayridge Drive PS Inspection By: RW + JS		Project No: 1	51-0
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Structure in good condition - no visible issues	1		15	1
Roof	Not Applicable				
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable				
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable				
Foundations	Not Applicable				
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1

Structural					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	1		15	1		
Main Process Piping	New discharge header, pump discharge lines corrosion present	2		15	3		
Pipe Supports	Corrosion present	3		8	3		
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present	2		15	3		
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Check	Valves in good condition - some corrosion present	2		15	1		
Overflow	Not Applicable						
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable						
Dosing System	Not Applicable						
Sampling System	Not Applicable						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable						
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable						
Insulation	Not Applicable						
Sump Pump	Not Applicable						

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 2.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.6				
Overall Condition rating	2.2				





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151-
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - however quite aged components	2		8	3
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level 1.5				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	14.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151-
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		25	1	
Main Breaker	Not Applicable					
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable					
Transformer	Not Applicable					
Back up power source	Not Applicable					
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 0.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating 0.0				



WSP

Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Bayridge Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	157 Bayridge Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Building Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level 0.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General	
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel
	- During peak flows the level gets to 1ft above inlet. - Services 20-30 houses - No other issues




Kings	iton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Proje
	Inspection Site:	Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2	Date:	26th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel off road parking - good condition	1		15	1
Paths	Not Applicable				
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Project No: UK-15-0	12			Project No: 1	5
	Inspection Site:	Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2	Date:	26th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		15	2
Roof	Not Applicable				
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable				
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable				
Foundations	Not Applicable				
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			



Project No: UK-15-02



: UK-15-0)2			Project No: 3	151
	Inspection Site:	Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2	Date:	26th May 2015	

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	1		15	1	
Main Process Piping	Pipe work starting to corrode	2		15	2	
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present.	2		15	2	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion present, will not impact use	2		15	2	
Overflow	Not Applicable					
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 1.8				
Overall Effective Life Remaining 15.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2	Date:	26th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - aging	2		10	2
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		10	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level 1.5				
Overall Effective Life Remaining 13.8				
Overall Condition rating	1.5			





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Collins Bay PS

 Inspection Location:
 Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2
 Date:
 26th May 2015

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1	N	15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Field Assessment Sheet



 Project No: UK-15-02
 Project No: 151-02944-00

 Inspection Site:
 Collins Bay PS
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2
 Date:
 26th May 2015

Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Not Applicable					
HVAC	Not Applicable					
Heaters	Not Applicable					
Thermostats	Not Applicable					

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No:
	Inspection Site:	Collins Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Collins Bay Road and Hwy 2	Date:	26th May 2015

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General
Comments from City of Kingston Personnel
- No Issues





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Construction in effect during visit. Access good once complete	1		15	1
Paths	Not Applicable				
Gates/Fences	Privacy fencing present - good condition	1		15	1
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		20	1	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	1		15	1	
Main Process Piping	Corrosion present	2		20	3	
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present	2		15	3	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Valves in good condition	2		20	1	
Overflow	Overflow to creek in good condition			15	2	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - however quite aged components	2		8	3	
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		10	1	
Gauges	Not Applicable					
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2	

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		20	1
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1	N	15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	22.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	Ī
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks	Not Applicable						
HVAC	Not Applicable						
Heaters	Not Applicable						
Thermostats	Not Applicable						

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	0.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0				
Overall Condition rating	0.0				





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	;
	Inspection Site:	Coverdale PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Coverdale Drive nr Bayridge Drive	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical					
Overall Risk Level	0.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0				
Overall Condition rating	0.0				

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
	- No Issues				



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Good condition	1		25	1	
Paths	Good condition	1		25	1	
Gates/Fences	Fence surrounding the Gas Generator in good condition	1		10	1	
Drainage	Not Apllicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Apllicable					

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Crerar Boulevard PS

 Inspection Location:
 46 Crerar Blvd
 Date:
 27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Structually in good condition - no visible cracks	1		22	1	
Roof	Good Condition - no visible issues	1		15	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Structural					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.4				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0 <u>2</u>			Project No: 1	51
Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	2
Main Process Piping	Corrosion present	2		20	3
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion on body, but good working order	2		15	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Corroded	2		20	3
Overflow	Overflow to Man Hole, used infrequently	2		20	2
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Gas Piping not to CSA B149.1 - entire piping system shall be painted yellow	2		2	4
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	2.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.2		
Overall Condition rating	2.8		





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No:	151-
Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	1

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation			
Overall Risk Level	1.3		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5		
Overall Condition rating	1.3		



WSP

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1
Back up power source	Gas Generator - Low Pressure alarm for Natural gas supply, can take a long time for generator to start	2		20	3
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.2		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.8		
Overall Condition rating	1.3		





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		



WSP

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Crerar Boulevard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	46 Crerar Blvd	Date:	27th May 2015	

Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
- Gas piping to conform to code - Painted Yellow	- Problems with Natural gas supply - Low Pressure alarm - long time to start generator					





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Dalton Ave
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place
 Date:
 27th May 2015

	Civil/Site Conditions				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt compound - good condition	1		25	1
Paths	Asphalt compound - good condition	1		25	1
Gates/Fences	Wooden Fence with chain-link Gate - Good condition	1		10	1
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Dalton Ave	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place	Date:	27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Good capacity and condition	2		20	2	
Roof	No evidence of problems - good condition	1		15	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good condition - no visible issues, but evidence of water damage inside drywell	3		10	3	
Walls - Interior	Evidence of water damage in dry well - ingress through wall from outside	2		10	3	
Foundations	Good condition	1		20	1	
Access Ways	All in good condition	1		20	1	
Ladders	Ladders and stairs in good condition	1		20	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.6			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.4			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Dalton Ave	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pump No. 4 out for repair due to Cavitation, operator stated that there are no other issues.	2		15	3
Main Process Piping	Corrosion present on the pump suction lines, all other piping in good presently in good condition. Huge build up of condensation, which will cause an issue in the long term	2		20	2
Pipe Supports	Pipe hangers and Concrete shoes - good condition	2		15	2
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion on body, but appear to be in good working order	2		15	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Corrosion starting to appear	2		20	2
Overflow	Overflow creek hardly ever used	2		20	2
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Auxilary piping in good condition	1		20	1
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				
Screen	Screen currently broken - awaiting repair	3		8	5

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 2.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.6			
Overall Condition rating	2.5			





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	
Inspectio	n Site:	Dalton Ave	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspectio	n Location:	Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place	Date:	27th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		20	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Gauges	Good Condition	1		20	1
Flowmeters	Good Condition	1		20	1

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level 1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Dalton Ave
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place
 Date:
 27th May 2015

Process Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Back up power source	Gas Generators - Good condition	1		30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Dalton Ave	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place	Date:	27th May 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment Co		Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		25	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		25	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		25	1
Gantry Crane	Good working order	2		20	2

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	23.8			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Dalton Ave	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Dalton Ave and St Remy Place	Date:	27th May 2015

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating				

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
	 Upgraded 5-6 years ago (actually 2007) - Pumps, Electrical and Generator upgrade Level seldom above lower grating level Pump No. 4 out for repair due to cavitation 					





Project No: UK-15-02 Project					
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel Access way - good condition	1		15	2	
Paths	Whole compound gravel - some weeds growing through	1		15	2	
Gates/Fences	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			





Project No: UK-15-02 Project						
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015		

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Dry Well - Structually sound. Gets overwelmed due to lack of capacity. Waste water level gets extreamly high (11" from top of wet well), due to overflow (bypass) blockage	4		10	4	
Roof	Good condition - no evidence of leaking	2		10	2	
Walls - Exterior	Aging, but structually sound	2		15	2	
Walls - Interior	Good condition - wall has been damaged in one location	2		15	2	
Foundations	Good Condition	2		15	2	
Access Ways	Good Condition	2		10	1	
Ladders	Ladders in good condition. Aging Concrete stairs	2		10	2	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	2.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	12.1			
Overall Condition rating	2.1			





Project No: UK-15-02 Project No						
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015		

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment Co Le			Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Aging pumps, but still in relativelty good condition.			13	4	
Main Process Piping	Corrosion occuring, Mainly present on first segment of pump discharge	3		8	4	
Pipe Supports	Concrete shoes - all in good condition	2		15	2	
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valve flanges and bodies badly corroded	3		10	4	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Manual override handle bent. Leakage from manual override gland causing corrosion on body of valve.	4		8	4	
Overflow	Pumping station should overflow to creek - overflow blocked. During overflow requirement creek is at a higher level than the overflow from pumping station.			2	5	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Coated in condensation - corrosion starting	3		15	2	
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not replaced on auxilary pipe work after a repair	4		4	3	
Sump Pump	Dry Well floods due to sump pump failure	4		4	4	
Screen	Screen currently broken - awaiting repair	3		7	5	
Wet Well	Gets overwelmed due to lack of capacity. Waste water level gets extreamly high (11" from top of wet well), due to overflow (bypass) blockage	4		6	4	

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 3.5				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	8.4			
Overall Condition rating	3.7			





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-02	2			Project No: 15	1
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
-	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Aged components	3		10	3
Instrument Panels	Aged components	3		8	3
Sensors and Transmitters	Aged components	2		10	2
Gauges	Aged components	2		10	2
Flowmeters	Aged components	2		12	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.4			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	10.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.4			





Project No: UK-15-02 Project No: 151							
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS			
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015			

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Aged equipment	2		10	2
Main Breaker	Aged equipment	2		10	3
Distribution Panel	Aged equipment	3		8	3
Transformer	Aged equipment	2		15	2
Back up power source	2 sources of power and a back up Diesel Generator	1		20	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.8			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Project No: UK-15-02 Project						
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015		

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Tank in good condition, but Not bunded	3		15	3
HVAC	Aging - Good Condition	1		18	2
Heaters	Aging - Good Condition	1		18	2
Thermostats	Aging - Good Condition	1		18	2
Gantry Crane	Aging - Good working order	2		15	2

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.6				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.8				
Overall Condition rating	2.2				



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

WSP

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0	02			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Days Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	415 Days Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Section of the Wet well lighting not working during visit. All other interior lighting in OK condition	3		15	3
Exterior Lighting	OK Condition	2		10	2
Emergency lighting	OK Condition	2		8	2

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	2.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	11.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.3			

General							
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel							
 Sump Pump in Dry well to be reviewed and replaced if required Overflow to be unblocked Insulation to be replaced 	 Dry Well Floods - due to Sump Pump quiting Wet well gets overwelmed due to lack of capacity Overflow - Creek floods higher than overflow level + Overflow to creek blocked 1.5 years since last flood 						



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Upgrade to commence in next couple of months. Awaiting upgrade breakdown				
Paths					
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining				
Overall Condition rating				


Project



Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
No: UK-15-0 <u>2</u>			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Upgrade to commence in next couple of months. Awaiting upgrade breakdown				
Roof					
Walls - Exterior					
Walls - Interior					
Foundations					
Access Ways					
Ladders					

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	0.00			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.00			
Overall Condition rating	0.00			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kings	Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No:	
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps						
Main Process Piping						
Pipe Supports						
Main Process Valves Manual On/Off						
Main Process Valves Actuated						
Main Process Valves Check						
Overflow	Not Applicable					
Filters/Strainers						
Dosing System						
Sampling System						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)						
Sample Pumps						
Insulation						
Sump Pump						

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining				
Overall Condition rating				





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel					
Instrument Panels					
Sensors and Transmitters					
Gauges					
Flowmeters					

Instrumentation			
Overall Risk Level			
Overall Effective Life Remaining			
Overall Condition rating			



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Electrical Upgrades commenced					
Main Breaker						
Distribution Panel						
Transformer						
Back up power source						
Emergency lighting						

Process Electrical		
Overall Risk Level		
Overall Effective Life Remaining		
Overall Condition rating		







Io: UK-15-02 Proj					
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks							
HVAC							
Heaters							
Thermostats							

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining				
Overall Condition rating				





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Greenview Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	38 Greenview Drive	Date:	27th May 2015

	Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Network Access panel							
Interior Lighting							
Exterior Lighting							

Building Electrical		
Overall Risk Level		
Overall Effective Life Remaining		
Overall Condition rating		

General				
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
	- Refit of Pumping Station to commence in next couple of months			





		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0	-02			Project No: 151-02944-00		
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015		

Civil/Site Conditions								
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)			
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available on Road	1		15	1			
Paths	No path to Well cap or panel, but both adjacent to road.	1		15	1			
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable							
Drainage	Not Applicable							
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable							

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15:
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015	Ī

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Well	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		20	2		
Roof	Not Applicable						
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable						
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable						
Foundations	Not Applicable						
Access Ways	Not Applicable						
Ladders	Not Applicable						

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Process Pumps	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2		
Main Process Piping	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2		
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2		
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Check	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2		
Overflow	Not Applicable						
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable						
Dosing System	Not Applicable						
Sampling System	Not Applicable						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable						
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable						
Insulation	Not Applicable						
Sump Pump	Not Applicable						

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	5
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1		
Instrument Panels	Not Applicable						
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1		
Gauges	Not Applicable						
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2		

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		25	1
Main Breaker	Not Applicable				
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	23.3		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks	Not Applicable						
HVAC	Not Applicable						
Heaters	Not Applicable						
Thermostats	Not Applicable						

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:		Hatter Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
		Corner of Hatter Street and Yonge Street	Date:	27th May 2015

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

	General
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	5
Inspection Site:		Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspection	Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015	1

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Good condition	1		20	1
Paths	Good condition	1		20	1
Gates/Fences	Not Apllicable				
Drainage	Not Apllicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Apllicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Hillview Road Ps
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 740 Hillview Road
 Date:
 26th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Structually in good condition - no visible cracks	1		22	1
Roof	Good Condition - no visible issues	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good condition - no handles for larger access hatches	2		15	3
Ladders	Good Condition	1		20	1

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.1			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:		Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		15	2
Main Process Piping	Corroded	2		13	3
Pipe Supports	Corroded	2		13	3
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corroded	2		13	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Corroded	2		13	3
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.4			
Overall Condition rating	2.8			





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 15
Insp	pection Site:	Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Insp	pection Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Gauges	Not Applicable					
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2	

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingste	on	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02	1			Project No: 1
1	nspection Site:	Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS
1	nspection Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015

	Process Electrical							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)			
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1			
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1			
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1			
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1			
Back up power source	Diesel Generator - in good working order	1		15	1			
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1			

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Project



Kings	iton	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks	Bunded and in good order	1		25	1		
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1		

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.3				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Hillview Road Ps	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	740 Hillview Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		

General				
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel			
- Add handles for larger access hatches	- System not fully Calibrated - No handles on access hatches			



Project No:



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet			
UK-15-0 <u>2</u>				Project No: 1	5
I	nspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
I	nspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel access - good condition	1		15	1
Paths	Gravel coumpound - Good condition	1		15	1
Gates/Fences	Chain-link fenceand gate - Good Condition	1		15	1
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project
	Inspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition.	2		20	2
Roof	Good Condition	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		22	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		22	1

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.1			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.1			





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Aging pumps - good condition	2		15	3	
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges in good condition - no signs of corrosion	2		20	2	
Pipe Supports	Pipe supports - good condition	2		20	2	
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves in good condition - slight corrosion visible	2		20	2	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corroded - should not affect operation	3		15	3	
Overflow	Not Applicable					
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Good Condition	2		10	2	

Process Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	2.2		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.7		
Overall Condition rating	2.3		





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	51
	Inspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Beyond Design Life Expectancy - Should be replaced	4		5	4
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	2		15	2
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.8			
Overall Condition rating	2.3			



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No:	151-02944-00	
In	spection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
In	spection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015		

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		15	1
Back up power source	Diesel back-up generator - aging	3		15	3
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00	
	Inspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015]	

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Aging Tank - Bunded	2		15	3
HVAC	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2
Heaters	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2
Thermostats	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.8			
Overall Condition rating 2.3				



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00	
	Inspection Site:	Highway 15 PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	676 Highway 15	Date:	28th May 2015		

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment		Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Air				
-Pump Control Panel should be replaced	- Flow has reduced due to hospital being decommissioned - An airlock occasional occurs as pumps hardly run				





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	
	Inspection Site:	James Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Concrete driveway - good condition	1		20	1	
Paths	Concrete - good condition	1		20	1	
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable					
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





	Field Assessment Sheet			
			Project	
n Site:	James Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
n Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015	
,	on Site: on Location:	Field Assessment Sheet James Street PS In Location: 107 James Street	Field Assessment Sheet In Site: James Street PS Inspection By: In Location: 107 James Street Date:	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - water damage	3		15	3
Roof	Good Condition	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		22	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		22	1

Structural					
Overall Risk Level	1.3				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.3				





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	James Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Process Pumps	Aging pumps, evidence of leakage and corrosion	4		10	4		
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges in good condition - slight signs of corrosion	2		20	2		
Pipe Supports	Pipe supports - good condition	2		20	2		
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves in good condition - slight corrosion visible	2		20	2		
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corroded - should not affect operation	3		15	3		
Overflow	Not Applicable						
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable						
Dosing System	Not Applicable						
Sampling System	Not Applicable						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable						
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable						
Insulation	Not Applicable						
Sump Pump	Good Condition	2		10	2		

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 2.5					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.8				
Overall Condition rating	2.5				





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:	James Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Beyond Design Life Expectancy - Should be replaced	4		5	4
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Pump Starters	Recently Installed	1		25	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	2		10	2
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.4			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.4			



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	James Street PS Inspection By: RW + JS		RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		20	1
Back up power source	Currently No Back-up power. New generator to be added to James street Booster station and power shared with pumping station. (Score based on work being completed)	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.8			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site: James Street PS Inspection By:		RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment Comp Risk Level (1-5) Maint. (Y/N)			Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks	Not Applicable						
HVAC	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2		
Heaters	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2		
Thermostats	Aging - Good Condition	1		16	2		
Gantry Crane	Good Condition	1		18	1		

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	James Street PS Inspection By: RW + JS		RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	107 James Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Air				
-Pump Control Panel should be replaced	- Draining of swimming pool at the military Sports centre causes high flow.				







		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt access road. New facility. Damage present in paving off to one side - needs to be repaired.	1		15	4		
Paths	Not Applicable						
Gates/Fences	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Drainage	Not Applicable						
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable						

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5		
Overall Condition rating	2.5		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		25	1	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Structural			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.3		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		




		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	1		20	1	
Main Process Piping	New facility - pipe work in good condition	1		25	1	
Pipe Supports	New facility - pipe supports in good condition	1		25	1	
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	New facility - valves in good condition	1		25	1	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	New facility - valves in good condition	1		25	1	
Overflow	Not Applicable					
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 1.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	24.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No:
Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		20	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	1		20	1

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			







Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1
Back up power source	Back up Gas Generator on Site and abbility to use portable back up Generator	1		20	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.8			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0				
Overall Condition rating	0.0				





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	John Counter Blvd PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner John Counter Blvd & Old Mill Road	Date:	26th May 2015

Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable					
Exterior Lighting	Present and look in good condition	1		25	1	
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable					

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
- Hole in paving to be repaired	- Capacity is greater than current requirement - awaiting subdivision completion - No Issues					





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	1
Inspection Site:		Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt access - good condition	1		20	1
Paths	Asphalt access - good condition	1		20	1
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-02 Proj					
Inspection Site:		Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		22	1	
Roof	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		22	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:		Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible below water line, but operator states that pumps are in good order.	2		15	2
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges extremely rusted.	2		10	4
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves corroded, but mainly on body, should not affect operation	3		15	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corroded - should not affect operation	3		15	3
Overflow	Pump out position available on well. Valve and pipe work heavily corroded. Valve unlikely to work	3		8	5
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 2.6					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	12.6				
Overall Condition rating	3.4				





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Shape, However - Aged Components	2		10	3
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Aged transmitter	2		10	2
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				



Inspection Location:

Project No: UK-15-02

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

86 Kenwoods Circle



28th May 2015

Field Assessment Sheet Inspection Site: Kenwoods PS Inspection By: RW + JS

Date:

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		15	1
Back up power source	No Back-up power source. No requirement due to large capacity and low flow	N/A		N/A	N/A
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level 1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	51
	Inspection Site:	Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		18	2
Heaters	Good Condition	1		18	2
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		18	2

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 1.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.0				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Kenwoods PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	86 Kenwoods Circle	Date:	28th May 2015

	Building Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				

General				
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel			
	- Very Low flow - Runs for 0.1Hrs a day - Lots of Capacity - during power outages, no need to check level			





Kingsto	on	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1
In	spection Site:	King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
In	spection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015

	Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt access - good condition	1	Ζ	25	1	
Paths	Concrete access - good condition	1	Ζ	25	1	
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable					
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:		King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition - some evidence of water damage on walls near pump discharge line, has been repaired with Polyurethane Injection	2		12	3	
Roof	New tin roof - good condition	1		20	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1	
Ladders	Ladders and stairs - Good Condition	1		20	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.1			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:		King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues during regular flows.	3		15	2	
Main Process Piping	New Piping	2		20	2	
Pipe Supports	Pipe hangers and Concrete shoes - good condition	2		15	2	
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	2		20	2	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	1		20	2	
Overflow	George Street CSO Tank now used to control levels, no overflow requirement	1		25	1	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					
Rock Trap	Good working condition	2		15	2	
Weir	Good working condition	2		15	2	
Grinder	Had a fault - now repaired	2		15	2	

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.9				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.8				
Overall Condition rating	1.9				



Project



Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0 <u>2</u>			Project No: 1	51
Inspection Site:	King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Good Condition	2		20	2

Instrumentation					
Overall Risk Level	1.4				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.4				





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 Field Assessment Sheet

 Inspection Site:
 King Street PS
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 61 King Street
 Date:
 28th May 2015

Process Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Back up power source	Gas back up generator	1		25	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical					
Overall Risk Level	1.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:		King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		15	2
Heaters	Old furnace and Thermostat - needs replacing	2		4	4
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		15	2

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.3				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	11.3				
Overall Condition rating	2.7				



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	61 King Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment		Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
- Furnace	- Aging Furnace - Grinder had a fault - recently repaired				



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02944-00
Inspection Site:		King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available in parking lot - good condition	1		20	1
Paths	Good Condition	1		20	1
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	5:
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Well	Structually in good condition - no visible cracks	1		22	1		
Roof	Good Condition - no visible issues	1		15	1		
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1		
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1		
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1		
Access Ways	Hinges on Well hatch broken. Hatches open in the wrong direction - well easier to maintain if they opened in the opposite direction.	3		5	5		
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1		

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.6			
Overall Condition rating	1.6			



WSP

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Mechanical								
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)				
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues during regular flows.	3		15	2				
Main Process Piping	Pipe work heavily corroded	4		9	4				
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable								
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present	3		10	3				
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable								
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion, will not impact use	2		12	3				
Overflow	Not Applicable								
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable								
Dosing System	Not Applicable								
Sampling System	Not Applicable								
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable								
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable								
Insulation	Not Applicable								
Sump Pump	Not Applicable								

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 3.				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	11.5			
Overall Condition rating	3.0			





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			



WSP

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1
Back up power source	Diesel Generator - aging, but good condition. Drain on vent pipe bent, may leak.	2		15	2
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.2		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.2		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Diesel tank aging, but bunded and in relatively good condition	2		15	2
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	1.3		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.8		
Overall Condition rating	1.3		



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	.51
	Inspection Site:	King-Elevator Bay PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	I
	Inspection Location:	1100 Elevator Bay	Date:	27th May 2015	I

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
- Repair hinges on the well access hatch	- No Issues				





Project No: UK-15-02 Pr				
	Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available at Park parking lot	1		15	1	
Paths	Asphalt path - good condition	1		15	1	
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable					
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 15
Inspe	ection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspe	ection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Metal structure - some signs of corrosion, but no structural issues	2		20	2	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good working order	1		20	1	
Ladders	Good working order	1		20	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	2
Main Process Piping	Pipe work not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	2
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	2
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Valves not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	2		20	2
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 1	151-0
Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015	ĺ

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition	2		12	2	
Instrument Panels	Not Applicable					
Sensors and Transmitters	Not Applicable					
Gauges	Not Applicable					
Flowmeters	Not Applicable					

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	12.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151
	Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		25	1
Main Breaker	Not Applicable				
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Not Applicable				
Network Access panel	Not Applicable				

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015	-

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0				
Overall Condition rating 0.0					



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00	
	Inspection Site:	King-Lake Ontario PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	King-Lake Ontario Park	Date:	27th May 2015	-	

	Building Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level 0.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
	- Small capacity and only used in the summer to service toilet block in park - No issues					



WSP

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt compound - good condition	1		25	1
Paths	Asphalt compound - good condition	1		25	1
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level 1.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.0				





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 1
Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition - some evidence of water damage on walls.	2		15	2
Roof	No evidence of problems - good condition	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	2		20	2
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1
Ladders	Ladders in good condition Aging concrete steps - slippy in winter	2		10	2

Structural					
Overall Risk Level	1.4				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.7				
Overall Condition rating	1.4				



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet				
No: UK-15-02			Project No: 1		
Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
Inspection Location	e: 621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015		

Process Mechanical							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Process Pumps	Pumps in good condition	2		20	2		
Main Process Piping	Corrosion on pump suction line. Corrosion starting to show on clamps. All other pipework in good condition	2		20	3		
Pipe Supports	Pipe hangers and Concrete shoes - good condition	2		15	2		
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Slight corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	2		20	2		
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Check	No corrosion - good condition	1		20	1		
Overflow	Not Applicable						
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable						
Dosing System	Not Applicable						
Sampling System	Not Applicable						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable						
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable						
Insulation	Not Applicable						
Sump Pump	Evidence of overflow around sump. Sump pump visibly old - possible replacement or repair required	3		5	4		
Grinder	Beyond Life expectancy - needs replacement in the near future	3		5	4		

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.1			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.6			




Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-0
	Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
-	Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Not Applicable				
Instrument Panels	Beyond Design Life Expectancy - Should be replaced	4		5	4
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Good Condition	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating 2.3				



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kings	ton	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Back up power source	2 seperate power grids	1		30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015

	Building Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		18	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		18	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		18	1
Gantry Crane	Good working order	2		15	2

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 1.3				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.3			
Overall Condition rating 1.3				



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15
	Inspection Site:	King-Portsmouth PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	1
	Inspection Location:	621 King Street West	Date:	28th May 2015	ĺ

	Building Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		15	1
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		15	1

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.7		
Overall Condition rating			

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
- Grinder repair/replacement - Sump Pump repair/replacement - Instrument Panels	- Grinder getting old				





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No:
Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel - Good condition	1		15	1
Paths	Gravel - Good condition	1		15	1
Gates/Fences	Not Apllicable				
Drainage	Not Apllicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Apllicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			







Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:		Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Structually in good condition - no visible cracks	1		22	1
Roof	Good Condition - no visible issues	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1
Ladders	Good Condition	1		20	1

Structural			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.4		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		







		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pump body visible, no signs of issue and operator stated that there are no issues.	2		15	2
Main Process Piping	Corroded	2		14	3
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corroded	2		12	3
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Corroded	2		14	3
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.8			
Overall Condition rating	2.8			





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 2
Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - however quite aged components	2		8	3
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	From SCADA data it appears that Flowmeter is not currently working at PS	4		4	4

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	10.5			
Overall Condition rating	2.3			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		20	1
Back up power source	Diesel Generator - in good working order	1		15	1
Generator Controller	Generator Controller is aged beyond expected life and should be replaced.	4		5	4
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.4			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.4			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Bunded and in good order	1		25	1
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Lakeshore Boulavard PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner Lakeshore Blvd and Front Road	Date:	27th May 2015

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		

General				
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel			
 Flowmeter may be undersized. Review and possible replacement required. Generator Controller is aged beyond expected life and should be replaced. 	- No Issues			



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Civil/Site Conditions				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt access - good condition	1		25	1
Paths	Asphalt access - good condition	1		25	1
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Kingstoi	n	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1
Ins	spection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Ins	spection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition - some evidence of water damage on walls near pump discharge line.	2		17	2	
Roof	No evidence of problems - good condition	1		15	1	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1	
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1	N	20	1	

Structural			
Overall Risk Level	1.1		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.7		
Overall Condition rating	1.1		





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-0
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	ĺ

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps in good condition	2		20	2
Main Process Piping	Corrosion starting to show	2		20	1
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	2		20	2
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	No corrosion - good condition	1		20	1
Overflow	Overflow to Sanitary Sewer, never used	2		25	1
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	1.8		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.4		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Gauges	Older - Good Condition	2		15	2	
Flowmeters	From SCADA data it appears to show that Flowmeter is undersized for the capacity of the PS	4		5	4	

Instrumentation			
Overall Risk Level	1.8		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	14.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.8		



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	-

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1
Back up power source	Portable Generator used	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Good Condition	1		22	1
Heaters	Good Condition	1		22	1
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		22	1

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	22.0			
Overall Condition rating 1.0				



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet				
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00	
	Inspection Site:	Morton Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	Morton Way	Date:	28th May 2015	-	

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General							
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel						
- Flowmeter may be undersized. Review and possible replacement required.	- Low flow - No problems						







Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-029
	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015	1

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available on Parking lot	1		15	2
Paths	No path to Well cap or panel.	2		15	2
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Project No: UK-15-02 Pro				Project No: 151
Inspection Site:	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		20	2
Roof	Not Applicable				
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable				
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable				
Foundations	Not Applicable				
Access Ways	Not Applicable				
Ladders	Not Applicable				

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	2.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Project No: UK-15-02 Pro				Project No: 151
Inspection Site:	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015

	Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2	
Main Process Piping	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2	
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves Manual On/Off	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2	
Overflow	Not Applicable					
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level 2.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	2.0			





Kings	iton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02
	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1
Instrument Panels	Not Applicable				
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level 1.5				
Overall Effective Life Remaining 17.5				
Overall Condition rating	1.5			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	oject No: 151-029	
	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015		

	Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		25	1	
Main Breaker	Not Applicable					
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable					
Transformer	Not Applicable					
Back up power source	Not Applicable					
Network Access panel	Not Applicable					

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-02			Project No			
Inspection Site:		Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	y: RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015]	

Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Not Applicable					
HVAC	Not Applicable					
Heaters	Not Applicable					
Thermostats	Not Applicable					

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	Notch Hill Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Notch Hill Road	Date:	27th May 2015	

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment Comp Risk Level (1-5) Maint. Effective Prog. Life (Y/N) remaining the comp Risk Level (1-5) Remaining the comp Risk L					
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable					
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable					
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable					

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
	- Scarcely in operation				
	 12 years since operator has accessed the well 				
	- Red light alarm light on top of electrical box				
	<u> </u>				





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015

	Civil/Site Conditions				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Good Condition	1		15	1
Paths	Asphalt upto Well and control room	1		15	1
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-02 Pr						
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS		
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015		

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Well	Structurally sound - No cracks - Good Condition	2		21	1		
Roof	Aging - good condition	1		11	2		
Walls - Exterior	Aging - good condition	2		15	2		
Walls - Interior	Aging - good condition	2		15	2		
Foundations	Aging - good condition	2		15	2		
Access Ways	Good Condition - safety gate included to prevent accidental fall into well. (Should be included for all wells)	1		20	1		
Ladders	Good Condition	2		15	1		

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.7			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.6			





		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	5
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015	

Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible below water line, but operator states that pumps are in good order. Forcemain not big enough for 2 pumps in operation. Only 1 pump operated at a time	3		15	4	
Main Process Piping	Pipe and flanges extremely rusted.	2		10	4	
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Valves starting to corrode, but mainly on body, should not affect operation	3		15	3	
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable					
Main Process Valves - Check	Body majorly corroded - should not affect operation	3		15	4	
Overflow	Pump out position available on well. Valves and pipe work corroded	2		15	3	
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable					
Dosing System	Not Applicable					
Sampling System	Not Applicable					
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable					
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable					
Insulation	Not Applicable					
Sump Pump	Not Applicable					

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	2.6			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	14.0			
Overall Condition rating	3.6			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1		
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Gauges	Not Applicable						
Flowmeters	From SCADA data it appears to show that Flowmeter is undersized for the capacity of the PS	4		4	4		

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.8			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	14.8			
Overall Condition rating	1.8			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015

Process Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	15:
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015	Ī

	Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Tanks	Not Applicable - No Generator						
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1		
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1		

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





		Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Palace Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Corner of Palace Road and Brock Street	Date:	27th May 2015

	Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		

General					
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel				
- Flowmeter may be undersized. Review and possible replacement required.	- Forcemain not big enough for 2 pumps in operation. Only 1 pump operated at a time				





Project No: UK-15-0	2		Project N				
Inspection Site:		Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS			
	Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015			

	Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available on turn around	1		20	1	
Paths	Not Applicable					
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable					
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Channels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	5
Inspect	on Site:	Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
Inspect	on Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	Well concrete structure crumbling on top. No cracks within well	3		15	3	
Roof	New Roof - some evidence of a slight leak.	3		10	3	
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Foundations	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Ladders	Ladder rusted, but structurally sound	2		15	2	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.7			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.4			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Field Assessment Sheet

No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015	

Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible below water line, but operator states that pumps are in good order.	2		15	2
Main Process Piping	Corrosion Present.	3		8	3
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present. One Valves looks corroded in place therefore deemed difficult to operate. Valves only turned when required, should be turned regularly to stop sticking.	4		6	4
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion	4		10	4
Overflow	Overflows to Lake Ontario	3		10	2
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxiliary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical		
Overall Risk Level	3.2	
Overall Effective Life Remaining	9.8	
Overall Condition rating	3.0	





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2		Project No: 151-0		
	Inspection Site:	Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		20	1
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation			
Overall Risk Level	1.3		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5		
Overall Condition rating	1.3		


Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No: 15
Inspection Site:	Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015

Process Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Transformer	Good Condition	1		30	1	
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1	
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	27.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02
Inspection Site:		Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Not Applicable - No Generator					
HVAC	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Heaters	Good Condition	1		20	1	
Thermostats	Good Condition	1		20	1	

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Field Assessment Sheet

Project No: UK-15-02					51-02944-00
Ĩ	Inspection Site:	Rankin Crescent PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Rankin Crescent	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1		20	1

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel						
- Roof condition to be reviewed - evidence of leak.	 General Note - Valves are not operated at any site on a regular basis. Therefore they are usually stuck in position, requiring a lot of work to get valves to turn. No other issues 					





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15	
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	12 River Street Date:		

Civil/Site Conditions						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Access Roads and Driveways	Asphalt access road and parking lot - good condition	1		25	1	
Paths	Mostly gravel pathways - good condition	1		25	1	
Gates/Fences	Chain-link fence and Gate - Good condition	1		20	1	
Drainage	Not Applicable					
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable					

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	23.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	51
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	Wet Well - Not assessed - but operator states in good condition Dry well - Good condition - evidence of water damage on walls.	2		15	3
Roof	No evidence of problems - good condition	1		15	1
Walls - Exterior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Walls - Interior	Good Condition	1		22	1
Foundations	Good Condition	1		22	1
Access Ways	Good condition	1		20	1
Ladders	Ladders and stairs in Good Condition	1		20	1

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.1			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.4			
Overall Condition rating	1.3			





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 2
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Process Pumps	Pumps have been replaced due to tripping out under low flow conditions. Generally in good condition	2		20	2		
Main Process Piping	Some signs of corrosion starting	2		20	2		
Pipe Supports	Pipe hangers and Concrete shoes - good condition	2		15	2		
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	2		20	2		
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable						
Main Process Valves - Check	Corrosion starting to show on body, but good working order	1		20	2		
Overflow	Emma CSO Tank now used to control levels, no overflow requirement	1		25	1		
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable						
Dosing System	Not Applicable						
Sampling System	Not Applicable						
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Grit System - good condition Hydrogen Peroxide system - new piping Compressed Air piping - Good condition	1		25	1		
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable						
Insulation	Not Applicable						
Sump Pump	Not Applicable						
Grit System	Not worked since upgrade. Needs attention	4		4	4		

Process Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	1.6			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			

Note - Grit system removed from calculations.





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	02			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Valve Control Panel	Good Condition	2		15	2
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		20	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		20	1
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.6			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	19.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.6			



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1	Ν	30	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1	Ν	30	1
Distribution Panel	Good Condition	1	Ν	30	1
Transformer	Good Condition	1	Ν	30	1
Back up power source	Gas back up generators	1	Ν	30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1	Ν	20	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	28.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





 Field Assessment Sheet

 Project No: UK-15-02

 Inspection Site:
 River Street PS
 Inspection By:
 RW + JS

 Inspection Location:
 12 River Street
 Date:
 28th May 2015

Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Chemical Tanks in good condition	1	N	25	1	
HVAC	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	
Heaters	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	
Thermostats	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	
Gantry Crane	Good working order	2	N	15	2	

Building Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.20				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	23.0				
Overall Condition rating	1.20				



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	River Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	12 River Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Interior Lighting	Good Condition	1	Ζ	25	1	
Exterior Lighting	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	
Emergency lighting	Good Condition	1	N	25	1	

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	25.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year Comments from City of Kingston Personnel						
- Grit System to be reviewed and replaced if cannot be repaired	 Upgrade completed 2014 Overflow used to occur before Emma CSO tank added New Hydrogen Peroxide System online soon Grit removal system not worked since upgrade Pumps trip out on Low Flow 					



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Access Roads and Driveways	Gravel Access way - good condition	1		15	2		
Paths	Gravel path way to well	1		15	2		
Gates/Fences	Good Condition	1		15	1		
Drainage	Not Applicable						
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable						

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			





Kingst	ion	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-02	2			Project No: 1	51
1	Inspection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
1	Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition Greese build up	2		20	3	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.3			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	16.7			
Overall Condition rating	1.7			



Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
Inspection Site:		Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Process Mechanical							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)			
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues.	1		15	1			
Main Process Piping	Corrosion present	2		15	3			
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable							
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present	2		15	3			
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable							
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion, will not impact use	2		13	3			
Overflow	Pump out position available on well.	2		15	2			
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable							
Dosing System	Not Applicable							
Sampling System	Not Applicable							
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable							
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable							
Insulation	Not Applicable							
Sump Pump	Not Applicable							

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level	1.8				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	14.6				
Overall Condition rating	2.4				





Kingst	ion	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
-	Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Pump Control Panel	Good Shape, However - Aged Components	2		10	3		
Instrument Panels	SCADA Panel in Good Condition	1		15	1		
Sensors and Transmitters	Aged Transmitter	2		10	2		
Gauges	Not Applicable						
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2		

Instrumentation					
Overall Risk Level	1.8				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.8				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				





Kingston	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02			Project No:
Inspection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015

Process Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	Good Condition	1		20	1
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Portable Back-up Generator	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	21.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Project No: 151-02944-00

Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 151	
In	spection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
In	spection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating 0.0				



Kings	iton	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Schooner Drive PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	22 Schooner Drive	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

	General
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel
	- Greese Build up



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



No: UK-15-0	02			Project No: 1	.51-0
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Road side parking	1		20	1
Paths	Not Applicable				
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			









Project No: UK-15-0	12			Project No: 1	.51-0
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Well	No Cracks - Good Condition	1		15	1	
Roof	Not Applicable					
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable					
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable					
Foundations	Not Applicable					
Access Ways	Good Condition	1		15	1	
Ladders	Good Condition	1		15	1	

Structural				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			



Project

City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
No: UK-15-0)2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

	Process Mechanical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	Pumps not visible, but operator stated that there are no issues during regular flows. Well/pumps do not have the capacity for high flow times. (Thaw/Storm)	4		15	2
Main Process Piping	Pipe work heavily corroded	4		7	4
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	Corrosion present. One Valves looks corroded in place therefore inoperable. Valves only turned when required, should be turned regularly to stop sticking.	4		6	4
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	Body corrosion, will not impact use	2		8	3
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	3.5		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	9.0		
Overall Condition rating	3.3		





Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Pump Control Panel	OK Condition - aging	2		8	3
Instrument Panels	Good Condition	1		15	1
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		10	1
Gauges	Not Applicable				
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2

Instrumentation			
Overall Risk Level	1.5		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	13.3		
Overall Condition rating	1.8		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 15
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015

	Process Electrical				
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		20	1
Main Breaker	OK Condition	1		10	1
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable				
Transformer	Not Applicable				
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1

Process Electrical			
Overall Risk Level	1.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	18.8		
Overall Condition rating	1.0		





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	Ī

Building Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Tanks	Not Applicable				
HVAC	Not Applicable				
Heaters	Not Applicable				
Thermostats	Not Applicable				

Building Mechanical			
Overall Risk Level	0.0		
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0		
Overall Condition rating	0.0		



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Westbrook Road PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	1147 Westbrook Road	Date:	26th May 2015	

Building Electrical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable				
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable				

Building Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			

General						
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel					
	- Bad Greese Build up. Requires Pumping out every 4-6 weeks. - Due for Upgrade - High Flow station					





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet	Field Assessment Sheet		
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151-	
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Civil/Site Conditions					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Access Roads and Driveways	Parking available on Parking lot	1		15	2
Paths	No path to Well cap or panel.	2		15	2
Gates/Fences	Not Applicable				
Drainage	Not Applicable				
Over flow Chanels	Not Applicable				

Civil/Site					
Overall Risk Level	1.5				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				





Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151	1-
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Structural - Building Envelope/Architectural					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Well	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		20	2
Roof	Not Applicable				
Walls - Exterior	Not Applicable				
Walls - Interior	Not Applicable				
Foundations	Not Applicable				
Access Ways	Not Applicable				
Ladders	Not Applicable				

Structural					
Overall Risk Level	2.0				
Overall Effective Life Remaining	20.0				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Process Mechanical					
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)
Process Pumps	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2
Main Process Piping	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2
Pipe Supports	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Manual On/Off	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2
Main Process Valves - Actuated	Not Applicable				
Main Process Valves - Check	No access available - operator states no issues, due to low capacity requirement	2		15	2
Overflow	Not Applicable				
Filters/Strainers	Not Applicable				
Dosing System	Not Applicable				
Sampling System	Not Applicable				
Auxilary Pipe and Valves (Sampling/Dosing)	Not Applicable				
Sample Pumps	Not Applicable				
Insulation	Not Applicable				
Sump Pump	Not Applicable				

Process Mechanical					
Overall Risk Level 2.0					
Overall Effective Life Remaining	15.0				
Overall Condition rating	2.0				





Kingston		Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 1	51-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

	Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA							
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)			
Pump Control Panel	Good Condition	1		15	1			
Instrument Panels	Not Applicable							
Sensors and Transmitters	Good Condition	1		15	1			
Gauges	Good Condition	2		20	2			
Flowmeters	Not reviewed in person, but no issues	2		20	2			

Instrumentation				
Overall Risk Level	1.5			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	17.5			
Overall Condition rating	1.5			





Field Assessment Sheet	
 Vonge Street PS	 R

Project No: UK-15-0	2	Tield Assessment Sheet		Project No: 1
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015

	Process Electrical								
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)				
Utility Power Feed	Good Condition	1		25	1				
Main Breaker	Not Applicable								
Distribution Panel	Not Applicable								
Transformer	Not Applicable								
Back up power source	Portable Back Up Generator	1		30	1				
Network Access panel	Good Condition	1		15	1				

Process Electrical				
Overall Risk Level	1.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	23.3			
Overall Condition rating	1.0			





Project No: UK-15-02				Project No: 1	151-02
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	

Building Mechanical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)	
Tanks	Not Applicable					
HVAC	Not Applicable					
Heaters	Not Applicable					
Thermostats	Not Applicable					

Building Mechanical				
Overall Risk Level	0.0			
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0			
Overall Condition rating	0.0			



Kings	ston	Field Assessment Sheet			
Project No: UK-15-0)2			Project No:	151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Yonge Street PS	Inspection By:	RW + JS	
	Inspection Location:	Yonge Street	Date:	28th May 2015	-

	Building Electrical						
	Condition Assessment	Comp Risk Level (1-5)	Maint. Prog. (Y/N)	Effective Life remaining	Cond. Rating (1-5)		
Interior Lighting	Not Applicable						
Exterior Lighting	Not Applicable						
Emergency lighting	Not Applicable						

Building Electrical		
Overall Risk Level	0.0	
Overall Effective Life Remaining	0.0	
Overall Condition rating	0.0	

General		
Comments from City of Kingston Personnel		
Foam Build up effecting floats		
F		

Appendix B

FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEETS – WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS





Project No: UK-15-0	2	Field Assessment Sheet		Project No: 151-02944-00
	Inspection Site:	Cataraqui Bay WWTP	Inspection By:	RW + JS + MV
	Inspection Location:	Sand Bay Lane	Date:	
		·		

System	Condition Assessment		
Head works	Only the Thickening Building and Anaerobic Digesters reviewed - The rest of Plant is to be upgraded		
Septage Receiving	N/A		
Primary Clarifiers	N/A		
Aeration Tanks	N/A		
Secondary Clarifiers	N/A		
Chloronation/ Dechloronation	N/A		
Alum. Chemical feed systems	N/A		
Thickening Building	Motor Control Centre (MCC's) in good condition.		
Anaerobic digesters	- 1 x Heat Exchanger currently out of comission. Currently the older of the 2 heat exchangers is in use. - Gas Compressor recently replaced. -Motor Control Centre (MCC) beyond it's design life and should be replaced. - Operator commented that replacement of equipment during the upgrade would be advantageous		
Dewatering	N/A		





Project No: UK-15-0	2	Field Assessment Sheet		Project No: 151-0294	4-00
	Inspection Site:	Cataraqui Bay WWTP	Inspection By:	RW + JS + MV	
	Inspection Location:	Sand Bay Lane	Date:		

System	Condition Assessment
Biosolids Storage	N/A
Other Chemical Systems	N/A
Electrical Substation	N/A
Blowers	N/A
Stand-by generator	N/A
Flare Stack	Non-Enclosed Flare - not to code

General			
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel		
 Equipment within the Anaerobic digestor building to be included in upgrade Digestor Building MCC should be replaced. 	 Replacement of equipment in the Anaerobic digestor building during the upgrade would be advantageous 		


City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



Field Assessment Sheet

Project No: UK-15-0	2			Project No: 151-02						
	Inspection Site:	Ravensview WWTP	Inspection By:	RW + JS + MV + MM						
	Inspection Location:	936 Highway 2	Date:	15th July 2015						

System	Condition Assessment										
	Bar Screen - 2 older models and 1 new bar screen added during up grade. Old bar screens - Recently retrofitted with support bracing so that screen element does not move out of position and cause a shut down Experience normal wear and tear with occasional break down.										
	Slurry Pumps get airlocked from time to time.										
Septage Receiving	ng Grit Chanels - North conveyor on start -up experiences too much torque causing conveyor to malfunction and due to position is difficult to repair - suggested changed to soft start/vfd so power/torque is not immediate (cheap solution).										
	Sluice gate valves take a long time to open - add the possability to use a portable actuator.										
	Operator reports hydrogen sulphide related odours causing rust build up. Verification of ventilation rates and gas detection system should be undertaken.										
	Tank concrete starting to decay - possible action required in the next 10-15 years.										
	Sludge and scum remover - run with plastic sprockets and steel chains once plastic sprocket wears the boards drop below surface meaning they are less effective.										
	Tanks 2 and 7 currently out of commision.										
Primary Clarifiers	Remaining tanks also require upgrade to sprocket/chains - suggested steel sprockets are added instead of plastic and oilers are added to keep chains lubricated.										
	Scum pumps have had to be removed and welded - not currently an issue, but could be required again.										
	Primary sludge pumps experience premature issues with rotors and stator consideration for replacement with centrifugal solid handling pumps.										
	Operator indicated that BAF effluent overflows primary bypass chamber during high flows - Hydraulics should be assessed to determine if bypass chamber wall can be raised to prevent this from happening.										
	Tarps that were installed above BAF tanks, prevent operators from taking measurements of bead depth along the centreline of the tank - Ability to install fabric hatches at locations along the centreline will assist with this task.										
Biological Aerated Filters	On-line analyzers (DO, pH, ammonia) are not reliable, there could be an issue related to probe placement. This could potentially be resolved with conversations with suppliers.										
	Operator stated that Bray Valves/Operators seem not to work as well as other valves in the plant - further investigation required, possible to request other manufacturers on any upgrades.										
Anaerobic digesters	Pumps require general maintenance.										
	Roofs cracked and require repair.										
Cogeneration	Heat exchanger suffers from corrosion										
Biosolids Storage	Emptied once a year (May-Dec) - No issues										
Effluent Water System	One of the two vertical turbine pumps were out of service, posing an issue with redundancy. If this style of pump is problematic at the site, consideration to switch to submersible pumps may resolve the issue (further evaluation may be required).										



City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan



Field Assessment Sheet

Project No: UK-15-0	2	Project No: 151-0294							
	Inspection Site:	Ravensview WWTP	Inspection By:	RW + JS + MV + MM					
	Inspection Location:	936 Highway 2	Date:	15th July 2015					

System	Condition Assessment
Electrical Substation	Good Condition with plenty of life expectancy
Electrical Distribution and Motor Control Centres	Good Condition with plenty of life expectancy
Scada / PLC Panels and Instrumentation	Good Condition with plenty of life expectancy
Blowers	New transformer required.
Stand-by generator	Good Condition with plenty of life expectancy
Flare	Current flow is only 1/3 of its capacity - possibly due to collection of water in flare header.
Pipe work	Generally in good condition. Some pipe work under the Primary Tanks (in tunnels) starting to Rust due to moisture.
Structural	Various locations have leaks through concrete into the tunnels - could cause structural issues going forward

General												
Work to be conducted in the next 5 year	Comments from City of Kingston Personnel											

Appendix C

RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET



City of Kingston - Water and Wastewater Master Plan

Project No: UK-15-02

Risk Assessment

																			Equipme	ent Risk														Condition Design										
			Facility Risk						Civil/Site Conditions Structural						Process Mechanical				Instrumentation and Controls/SCADA Process Electrical						Building Mechanical Building Electrical									(From Fie			(1							
											(Access Roads, Drains, Fencing, etc.)			.)	(Well, Foundations, walls, etc.)			(Pump	s, Piping, Val	ves, etc.)		(Gauges, Flow	meters, etc.)	1)	Main Breal	ker, Transforn	er, etc.)	(HVAC, He	aters, Therm	nostats, etc.)	(In	(Interior/Exterior Lighting)				(non neid Assessment)						(1		
b b b b b b b <	Gurrant Name	Old Name	ear of Installation/upgrade	ıs Builts avaialble ump Info Available	ustomer type	core (0.25) Io. of Customers	core (0.25)	iisk to the Public	core (0.4) nvironmental Impact core (0.1)	otal Facility Rsk - A	riticality	robability	bverall Risk From Field Assessment)	Effective Life Remaining (Years) (From Field Assessment)	ivil Risk Factor - B1 (0.1) riticality	robability	From Field Assessment)	Fffective Life Remaining (Years) (From Field Assessment)	tructural Risk Factor - B2 (0.2)	iriticality robability	Prom Field Assessment)	(From Field Assessment)	rocess Mecn. Kisk Factor - B3 (U.2) Articality	robability verall Risk From Field Assessment)	Effective Life Remaining (Years) (From Field Assessment)	nstrumentation Risk Factor - B4 (0.15) riticality	robability	Prenail Risk From Field Assessment) A Effective Life Remaining (Years)	rocess Electrical Risk Factor - B5 (0.15)	riticality robability	Verall Risk From Field Assessment)	(From Field Assessment) (From Field Assessment)	unding week, was ractor - bo (v) .riticality	Toteonity Derail Risk From Field Assessment) Effention (16 bonninion (Yoared	(From Field Assessment)	uliding Electrical Kisk Factor - B7 (0.1) Otal Fouinment Risk - R	ivil/Structural - C1 (0.1)	tructural - C2 (0.2)	rocess Mechanical - C3 (0.2) Astrumentation/SCADA - C4 (0.15)	rocess Electrical - C5 (0.15)	uilding Mechanical - C6 (0.1) uilding Electrical - C7 (0.1)	otal Condition Rating - C	3eliability Rating = A x B x C	Overall Rating
ball <	Barrett Court PS	Butternut Creek PS	1986 V	Ves Ves	Mixed Lise	4 Un to 1.00	00 3 M	Ioderate 3	3 Moderate 3	33	3	4	13	11-15 3	28 3	4	13 16-	20 2	26	3 4	29 11-1	5 3 3	2 3	4 18	11-15 3	30 3	4	1 3 16-20	2 26	3 4	13 11-1	5 3 2	8 3 4	1 10 16-20	n 2 2	5 3	4 17	13	29 15	8 13	23 10	1.8	19.6	<u> </u>
	Bath Boad PS	Bath Bd (Walmart) PS	2012 Y	Yes Yes	Commercial	2 Up to 1.00	100 3 M	Ioderate 3	3 Moderate 3	2.8	2	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2 2	1.8 16-2	0 2 2	.0 2	2 1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	1.0 20+	1 1	5 2	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1	.8 1.	7 1.0	1.0	2.0 1.0	0 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.2	5.5	B
ball <td>Bath-Collins Bay PS</td> <td>Collins Bay PS</td> <td>1977 N</td> <td>No Yes</td> <td>Residential</td> <td>1 Up to 10.0</td> <td>000 4 M</td> <td>loderate 3</td> <td>3 Moderate 3</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>16-20 2</td> <td>1.8 3</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0 11-</td> <td>15 3</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>3 1</td> <td>3.8 5-10</td> <td>0 4 3</td> <td>.0 3</td> <td>1 1.3</td> <td>16-20 2</td> <td>1.8 3</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0 20+</td> <td>1 1.5</td> <td>3 1</td> <td>0.0 N/A</td> <td>A 0 N/</td> <td>(A 3</td> <td>1 0.0 N/A</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>/A 2.</td> <td>1 1.0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>3.4 1.7</td> <td>3 1.0</td> <td>0.0 0.0</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>9.9</td> <td>B</td>	Bath-Collins Bay PS	Collins Bay PS	1977 N	No Yes	Residential	1 Up to 10.0	000 4 M	loderate 3	3 Moderate 3	2.8	3	1	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 3	1	1.0 11-	15 3	2.0	3 1	3.8 5-10	0 4 3	.0 3	1 1.3	16-20 2	1.8 3	1	1.0 20+	1 1.5	3 1	0.0 N/A	A 0 N/	(A 3	1 0.0 N/A	0 0	/A 2.	1 1.0	1.0	3.4 1.7	3 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.7	9.9	B
See 1. See	Bath-Lower PS	Highway 33 PS	1981 N	No Yes	Residential	1 <100	2 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	1.9	1	1	1.0	11-15 3	1.5 1	1	2.0 11-	15 3	1.8	1 1	2.0 11-1	5 3 1	.8 1	1 1.5	11-15 3	1.6 1	1	1.5 11-15	3 1.6	1 1	0.0 N/A	A 0 N/	A 1 :	1 0.0 N/A	0 N	/A 1.	7 1.0	2.7	3.0 1.5	8 1.0	0.0 0.0	2.1	6.6	В
Columb 3 (3) See 3 (3)<	Bayridge PS	Smugglers Cove PS	2000 1	No Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 10,0	000 4 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	3.0	3	1	1.0	11-15 3	2.0 3	1	1.0 11-	15 3	2.0	3 1	2.0 11-1	5 3 2	.3 3	1 1.5	11-15 3	2.1 3	1	1.0 16-20	2 1.8	3 1	0.0 N/A	4 0 N/	A 3 :	1 0.0 N/A	0 N	/A 2.	1 1.0	1.0	2.2 1.5	8 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.4	8.9	В
Concerestive Con	Collins Bay PS	Highway 2 PS	1997 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 M	loderate 3	3 Moderate 3	2.5	2	3	1.0	11-15 3	2.3 2	3	1.0 11-	15 3	2.3	2 3	1.8 11-1	5 3 2	.4 2	3 1.5	11-15 3	2.4 2	3	1.0 20+	1 1.8	2 3	0.0 N/A	A 0 N/	/A 2 3	3 0.0 N/A	0 N	/A 2.	2 1.0	1.3	1.8 1.5	5 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.4	7.7	В
Carrent condition (Carrent condi	Coverdale PS	Coverdale PS	1994 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	2.1	2	2	1.0	11-15 3	2.0 2	2	1.0 16-	20 2	1.8	2 2	2.0 16-2	0 2 2	.0 2	2 1.5	11-15 3	2.1 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	0.0 N/A	A 0 N/	/A 2 2	2 0.0 N/A	0 N	/A 1.	9 1.0	1.0	2.0 1.8	8 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.4	5.5	В
balled ball	Crerar Boulevard PS	Crerar Blvd PS	1994 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	2.9	1	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.5 1	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.3	1 2	2.0 16-2	0 2 1	.8 1	2 1.3	16-20 2	1.6 1	2	1.2 20+	1 1.3	1 2	1.0 16-2	0 2 1.	5 1 2	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1	.5 1.	5 1.0	1.0	2.8 1.?	3 1.3	1.0 1.0	1.4	6.1	В
Oper Note 100: Oper Note 100: Not	Dalton Avenue PS	North End PS	2007 Y	Yes Yes	Industrial	3 > 10,000	0 5 E:	xtreme 5	5 Moderate 3	4.3	4	2	1.0	16-20 2	2.3 4	2	1.6 16-	20 2	2.4	4 2	2.0 16-2	0 2 2	.5 4	2 1.0	16-20 2	2.3 4	2	1.0 20+	1 2.0	4 2	1.3 20+	⊦ 1 2 .	.1 4	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 2	.3 2.	3 1.0	1.7	2.5 1.0	0 1.0	1.3 1.0	1.5	14.3	с
dreewbery betwery betw	Days Road PS	Days Rd PS	1995 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 > 10,000	0 5 E:	xtreme 5	5 Extreme 5	4.8	5	5	1.0	11-15 3	3.5 5	5	2.3 11-	15 3	3.8	5 5	3.5 5-10) 4 4	.4 5	5 2.4	5-10 4	4.1 5	5	1.8 11-15	2 3.5	5 5	1.6 16-2	0 2 3.	4 5 5	5 2.3 11-15	5 3 3	.8 3.	8 1.7	2.1	3.7 2.4	4 2.0	2.2 2.3	2.5	44.7	D
inter winter bit inter winter bit inter bit <th< td=""><td>Greenview Drive PS</td><td>Greenview Drive PS</td><td>1970 Y</td><td>Yes Yes</td><td>Residential</td><td>1 Up to 1,00</td><td>100 3 R</td><td>Remote 2</td><td>2 Moderate 3</td><td>2.1</td><td>PS c</td><td>urrently</td><td>y being up</td><td>graded - See com</td><td>ments unde</td><td>r section 7</td><td>.3.11 of Co</td><td>ondition As</td><td>essment Re</td><td>port</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Greenview Drive PS	Greenview Drive PS	1970 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	2.1	PS c	urrently	y being up	graded - See com	ments unde	r section 7	.3.11 of Co	ondition As	essment Re	port																								
Hilling Moad P5 Mong P5 Impl Vis	Hatter Street PS	Hatter St PS	1975 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 <100	2 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	1.8	1	1	1.0	11-15 3	1.5 1	1	2.0 16-	20 2	1.5	1 1	2.0 11-1	5 3 1	.8 1	1 1.3	16-20 2	1.3 1	1	1.0 20+	1 1	1 1	0.0 N/A	4 0 N/	A 1 1	1 0.0 N/A	. 0 N,	/A 1.	5 1.0	2.0	2.0 1.3	3 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.6	4.1	A
Highway 15 He40 P5 He40 P5 He40 P5 He50 Ve	Hillview Road PS	Mona Dr PS	1997 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 10,0	000 4 M	loderate 3	3 Moderate 3	3.5	4	2	1.0	16-20 2	2.3 4	2	1.1 16-	20 2	2.3	4 2	2.0 11-1	5 3 2	.8 4	2 1.3	16-20 2	2.3 4	2	1.0 20+	1 2.0	4 2	1.0 20+	+ 1 2 .	.0 4 :	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 2	.3 2.	3 1.0	1.3	2.8 1.3	3 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.5	11.7	c
James erree FS Bie APS <	Highway 15	B-40 PS	1995 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	2.9	2	3	1.0	11-15 3	2.3 2	3	1.1 20	+ 1	1.8	2 2	2.2 16-2	0 2 2	.0 2	2 2.3	11-15 3	2.3 2	2	1.3 16-20	2 1.8	2 2	1.3 11-1	.5 3 2 .	.1 2 :	3 1.0 16-20	0 2 2 .	.0 2.	0 1.0	1.1	2.3 2.3	3 1.3	2.3 1.0	1.7	9.5	В
clobe cl	James Street PS	B-64 PS	1994 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 1,00	100 3 M	loderate 3	3 Moderate 3	3.3	2	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.3 16-	20 2	1.8	2 2	2.5 16-2	0 2 2	.1 2	2 2.2	16-20 2	2.1 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	1.0 16-2	0 2 1.	.8 2 2	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1.	8 1.	8 1.0	1.3	2.5 2.2	2 1.0	1.8 1.0	1.6	9.7	В
Rerestion Grige F5 Woods Landing F5 <	John Counter Boulevard PS	John Counter Blvd PS	2011 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.0 16-	20 2	1.8	2 2	1.0 20+	· 1 1	.5 2	2 1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	0.0 N/A	4 0 N/	A 2 3	2 1.0 20+	1 1.	.5 1.	6 2.5	1.0	1.0 1.0	0 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.2	3.8	A
King Elevent el Mag Elevent el M	Kenwoods Circle PS	Woods Landing PS	1990 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2 2	2.6 11-1	5 3 2	.4 2	2 1.8	11-15 3	2.2 2	2	1.0 16-20	2 1.8	2 2	1.0 16-2	0 2 1.	.8 2 2	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1	.8 1.	9 1.0	1.0	3.4 2.0	0 1.0	2.0 1.0	1.7	6.6	В
King-lectormany PArty Lige wire wire wire wire wire wire wire wir	King Street PS	O'KIII PS	2013 Y	Yes Yes	High Risk	5 > 10,000	0 5 R	Remote 2	2 Extreme 5	3.8	4	3	1.0	20+ 1	2.3 4	3	1.0 16-	20 2	2.5	4 3	1.9 16-2	0 2 2	.7 4	3 1.4	16-20 2	2.6 4	3	1.0 16-20	2 2.5	4 3	1.3 11-1	.5 3 2 .	.8 4	3 1.0 16-20	0 2 2 .	.5 2.	6 1.0	1.3	1.9 1.4	4 1.0	2.7 1.0	1.5	14.3	с
Alise Ontario Park PS Lake Ontario Par	King-Elevator Bay PS	Commodore's Cove or	1988 N	No Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	2	1.0	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.3 16-	20 2	1.8	2 2	3.0 11-1	5 3 2	.5 2	2 1.3	16-20 2	1.8 2	2	1.2 20+	1 1.6	2 2	1.3 16-2	0 2 1.	8 2 3	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1.	.8 1.	9 1.0	1.6	3.0 1.3	3 1.2	1.3 1.0	1.6	6.1	В
Marce Contrant PS Portsmuth PS Portsmuth PS Portsmuth PS Portsmuth PS Portsmuth PS Portsmuth PS Ports Portsmuth PS Ports Portsmuth PS Ports <td>King-Lake Ontario Park PS</td> <td>Lake Ontario Park PS</td> <td>1966 N</td> <td>No Yes</td> <td>Residential</td> <td>1 <100</td> <td>2 R</td> <td>Remote 2</td> <td>2 Remote 2</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>11-15 3</td> <td>1.5 1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.3 16-</td> <td>20 2</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1 1</td> <td>2.0 16-2</td> <td>0 2 1</td> <td>.5 1</td> <td>1 2.0</td> <td>11-15 3</td> <td>1.8 1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0 20+</td> <td>1 1</td> <td>1 1</td> <td>0.0 N/A</td> <td>A O N/</td> <td>A 1 :</td> <td>1 0.0 N/A</td> <td>. 0 N/</td> <td>/A 1.</td> <td>4 1.0</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>2.0 2.0</td> <td>0 1.0</td> <td>0.0 0.0</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>A</td>	King-Lake Ontario Park PS	Lake Ontario Park PS	1966 N	No Yes	Residential	1 <100	2 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	1.8	1	1	1.0	11-15 3	1.5 1	1	1.3 16-	20 2	1.3	1 1	2.0 16-2	0 2 1	.5 1	1 2.0	11-15 3	1.8 1	1	1.0 20+	1 1	1 1	0.0 N/A	A O N/	A 1 :	1 0.0 N/A	. 0 N/	/A 1.	4 1.0	1.3	2.0 2.0	0 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.5	3.8	A
Lateshore bouleward Ps Font Rolp 19 Ves Ves <	King-Portsmouth PS	Portsmouth PS	1999 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	2	1.0	20+ 1	1.5 2	2	1.4 16-	20 2	1.9	2 2	2.1 11-1	5 3 2	.3 2	2 2.3	11-15 3	2.3 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	1.3 16-2	0 2 1.	8 2	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1.	8 1.	9 1.0	1.4	2.6 2.3	3 1.0	1.3 1.0	1.6	6.1	B
Mortlow PreePres Mortlow PreePres <th< td=""><td>Lakeshore Boulevard PS</td><td>Front Rd PS</td><td>1995 Y</td><td>Yes Yes</td><td>Residential</td><td>1 Up to 1,00</td><td>00 3 M</td><td>loderate</td><td>3 Moderate 3</td><td>2.5</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>1.0</td><td>11-15 3</td><td>2.0 2</td><td>2</td><td>1.0 20</td><td>+ 1</td><td>1.5</td><td>2 2</td><td>2.0 11-1</td><td>5 3 2</td><td>.3 2</td><td>2 2.0</td><td>11-15 3</td><td>2.3 2</td><td>2</td><td>1.4 20+</td><td>1 1.6</td><td>2 2</td><td>1.0 20+</td><td>· 1 1.</td><td>.5 2 .</td><td>2 1.0 16-20</td><td>0 2 1.</td><td>.8 1.</td><td>9 1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>2.8 2.3</td><td>3 1.4</td><td>1.0 1.0</td><td>1.6</td><td>7.4</td><td>В</td></th<>	Lakeshore Boulevard PS	Front Rd PS	1995 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	00 3 M	loderate	3 Moderate 3	2.5	2	2	1.0	11-15 3	2.0 2	2	1.0 20	+ 1	1.5	2 2	2.0 11-1	5 3 2	.3 2	2 2.0	11-15 3	2.3 2	2	1.4 20+	1 1.6	2 2	1.0 20+	· 1 1.	.5 2 .	2 1.0 16-20	0 2 1.	.8 1.	9 1.0	1.0	2.8 2.3	3 1.4	1.0 1.0	1.6	7.4	В
Noch-Mild AdPs Moth-Mild AdPs Mild AdPs	Morton Street PS	Morton St PS	2005 Y	Yes Yes	Mixed Use	4 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	2.8	2	1	1.0	20+ 1	1.3 2	1	1.1 16-	20 2	1.5	2 1	1.8 20+	1 1	.5 2	1 1.8	11-15 3	2.0 2	1	1.0 20+	1 1.3	2 1	1.0 20+	+ 1 1 .	3 2	1 1.0 16-20	0 2 1.	.5 1.	5 1.0	1.1	1.4 1.8	8 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.2	5.0	A
Palace Mode No Point Figure Palace Mode No Point Pa	Notch Hill Road PS	Notch Hill Rd PS	1970 N	No Yes	Residential	1 <100	2 R	Remote 2	2 Remote 2	1.8	1	1	1.0	11-15 3	1.5 1	1	2.0 16-	20 2	1.5	1 1	2.0 11-1	5 3 1	.8 1	1 1.5	16-20 2	1.4 1	1	1.0 20+	1 1.0	1 1	0.0 N/A	4 0 N/	A 1	1 0.0 N/A	0 N/	/A 1.	5 2.0	2.0	2.0 1.5	5 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.8	4.6	A
Name Condent of Sec.	Palace Road PS	Palace Ko PS	2005 Y	res Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	100 3 R	kemote 4	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	2	1.0	11-15 3	2.0 2	2	1.7 16-	20 2	1.9	2 2	2.0 11-1	5 5 Z	.4 2	2 1.8	11-15 3	2.2 2	2	1.0 20+	1 1.5	2 2	1.0 16-2	0 2 1.	8 2 ·	2 1.0 16-20	1 1	.8 2.	1.0	1.0	3.0 1.8	8 1.0	1.0 1.0	1./	6.9	B
Number State Number State State <td>Rankin Crescent PS</td> <td>Rankin Ur PS</td> <td>1981 Y</td> <td>res Yes</td> <td>Residential</td> <td>1 Up to 1,00</td> <td>00 3 R</td> <td>kemote 4</td> <td>2 Remote 2</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>10-20 2</td> <td>2.0 2</td> <td>3</td> <td>1.7 16-</td> <td>20 2</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>2 3</td> <td>3.2 5-10</td> <td>1 4 3</td> <td>.1 2</td> <td>3 1.3</td> <td>10-20 2</td> <td>2.1 2</td> <td>3</td> <td>1.0 16-20</td> <td>2 2.0</td> <td>2 3</td> <td>1.0 16-2</td> <td>2 2</td> <td>0 2</td> <td>3 1.0 20+</td> <td>1 1.</td> <td>.8 2.</td> <td>2 1.0</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>3.0 1.3</td> <td>3 1.0</td> <td>1.0 1.0</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>8</td>	Rankin Crescent PS	Rankin Ur PS	1981 Y	res Yes	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	00 3 R	kemote 4	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	3	1.0	10-20 2	2.0 2	3	1.7 16-	20 2	2.2	2 3	3.2 5-10	1 4 3	.1 2	3 1.3	10-20 2	2.1 2	3	1.0 16-20	2 2.0	2 3	1.0 16-2	2 2	0 2	3 1.0 20+	1 1.	.8 2.	2 1.0	1.7	3.0 1.3	3 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.6	7.0	8
Schule Schule<	Kiver Street PS	River SLPS	2006 Y	res Yes	riigri Kišk Rocidontial	5 > 10,000	U 5 R	Remote 2	2 Extreme 5	3.8	4	1	1.0	20+ 1	1.8 4	1	1.1 16-	20 2	2.0	4 1	1.0 20+	- 1 1.	.9 4	1 1.6	10-20 2	2.2 4	2	1.0 20+	1 1.8	4 1	1.2 20+		8 4	1.0 20+	1 1.	.8 1.	9 1.0	1.3	1./ 1.6	0 1.0	1.2 1.0	1.3	9.5	B
measure restriction is a constrained in the interval of the in	Westbrook PS	Westbrook PS	1007	No No	Residential	1 Up to 1,00	00 3 K	Comote 2	2 Remote 2	2.0	2	1	1.0	16-20 2	1.5 2	1	1.0 11	15 2	1.0	2 2	2.5 5.10		6 2	1 1 5	11-15 3	10 2	1	1.0 16-20	2 1.5	2 2	0.0 N/A			1 0.0 N/A			9 1.7	1.7	2.4 2.0	9 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.0	67	B
	Yonge Street PS	Yonge St PS	1993 Y	Yes Yes	Residential	1 <100	2 R	Remote 2	2 Moderate 3	1.9	1	2	1.5	11-15 3	1.9 1	2	2.0 16-	20 2	1.8	1 2	2.0 11-1	5 3 2	.0 1	2 1.5	16-20 2	1.6 1	2	1.0 20+	1 1.3	1 2	0.0 N/A		A 1	2 0.0 N/A		/A 1.	7 2.0	2.0	2.0 1.	5 1.0	0.0 0.0	1.8	5.7	B



Project No: 151-02944-00